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ABSTRACT

Pactum subjectionis or governmental contract is a covenant or compact between the ruler and the ruled envisaging their mutual rights and responsibilities [Abidin 1971:159; Harvey 1974:18; Riekerk quoting Catlin 1969:12]. Among the early states discussed by various scholars, e.g., Claesen and Skalnik (1978), Geertz (1979), Selo Soemardjan (1978), Coedes (1967), Hall and Whitmore (Aeusri vongse 1979), Reid and Castles (Macknight 1975), none subscribed to the practice of governmental contracts, except Bone in South Sulawesi. Despite its uniqueness, to my knowledge nothing has been written on the pactum subjectionis of early kingdoms on South Sulawesi, nor has any research been undertaken on this subject. This paper discusses the formation of main kingdoms in South Sulawesi and especially the governmental contract in the so-called Cappagalae (he big three–Luwu”, Bone and Gowa); two members of Tellumpoccoe (the three allied kingdoms in 1592), that is, Wajo” and Soppeng; and a petty kingdom already known in the I La Galigo Epic Cycle, Cina, later called Pammana. Examples of such governmental contract are found at the beginning sections of historical chronicles (Lontara attoriolong). Usually the very first parts of the chronicles contain a political myth which explains the origin of a dynasty as founded by a king or queen descending from heaven. Thus prior to the emergence of kingdoms in South Sulawesi, the first king called To Manurung (lit. He who came from the sky) had to make a contract with the representatives of the people called Ulu Anang or Matoa (Bugis) or Kasuwiang (Makassar).
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INTRODUCTION

The tale is told in a matter-of-fact way and purely from the human side [Noorduyn 1965:138]. The description runs roughly as follows: “People in the olden times called him (the first king) To Manurung because no one knew his father or mother”.

The story of the To Manurung and the governmental contracts in the Lontara’ seems to be connected with the I La Galigo Epic Cycle. For example the chronicles of Bone, Soppeng and Pammana all begin with a passage such as the following: “After the kings mentioned in the I La Galigo had been completely swallowed up upon attending a great reunion in Luwu’, for seven Pariamang there were no kings; there was no ade’ (customary law); there was no focus of unity; and they swallowed one another like fish; the strong were successful; the weak were trampled on; ...hunger was everywhere...”. The Lontara’s of Gowa do not include such statements but begin with
the following passage: “Before the To Manurung reigned, there were four kings. The first king was Batara Guru”. The I La Galigo Epic Cycle also recognizes La Toge’langi’ titled Batara Guru as the first king of Luwu’.

The I La Galigo Epic Cycle is one of the most remarkable literary works in Indonesia. Certainly, this work must rank among the longest pieces of literature in the world – European scholars alone assembled about 6,000 folio pages of it (Kern, 1954; Matthes 1864 and 1872, Vol. II: 416-537, Notes 250-253). As R.A. Kern has pointed out, it is most remarkable that the pre Islamic Bugis people, who were still very small in number, produced one of the world’s most extensive bodies of literature and considered it the source of the pre-history of the Bugis people (Kern 1954:v). Since the I La Galigo itself forms a source for the later belief that the first kings of South Sulawesi were descendants from the kings of the I La Galigo ‘period,’ the investigation on the I La Galigo is necessary. The mythological elements may provide clues to the early history of South Sulawesi and insight into ideas of its society and governance (Harvey, 1974:17).

The To Manurung stories are political myths par excellence, and prevailed not only among primitive tribes but throughout the civilizations of the ancient world, and even in recent times. Maharajahs were incarnations of the God Krishna. The Egyptian kings were the sons of Ra. The Tibetan Grand Lamas were reborn Buddhas. These myths, arising from and playing upon man’s social nature, bring to governmental a ratification without which no prince or parliament, no tyrant or dictator could ever rule a people (Mac Iver, 1954:17).

One element of the To Manurung political myths can be proved to have actually existed, that is, the formula of the governmental contracts. These contracts are written at the very beginning of the Lontara’s and they still had to be read out when kings were installed during the Dutch period. For example, some kings, who died not long ago, had to make a contract with those ‘representatives’ of the people who were approved by the Dutch colonial government. Among them were Andi’ Jemmabarue, Datu Luwu’ (before World War II); Andi’ Mappanyukki’, Arumpone (March 17, 1931); and Andi’ Wana Sultan Salahuddin, Datu Soppeng (January 9, 1941); Andi’ Mangkona’, Arung Matao Wajo’ (1933) and Andi’ Ijo Karaeng Lalolang, Somba of Gowa (1936). The formula of the contracts of the first kings stipulated in the Lontara’s were read by the ‘representatives’ of the people at the inauguration of the last kings of South Sulawesi during the Dutch period¹. Of course the matoas (elders and the traditional chiefs) were replaced by one of the members of the central adat council or by the first ‘minister’, since the Dutch had abolished the institution of matoas.
The Dutch colonial government was unaware of the checks and balances and moderating influence of the *adat* council and of *matoas* and *kasuiangs* within the South Sulawesi systems. Partly because an autocratic state was easier to control, the Dutch strengthened the power of the kings and queens and gave them more power over their chiefs and people, widening the gap between the rulers and the ruled and so indirectly encouraging arrogance and insensitivity or the former (Sutherland 1980:239).

**SOURCES AND SCOPES OF THIS PAPER**

We are aware that the history of the emergence of kingdoms belongs to the *terra incognita* and the darkest era of the history of South Sulawesi. Generally speaking, the foundation of kingdoms began somewhere in the dawn of history and it begins anew in the life of each person (Isjawara, 1964:131-132) or in the words of Sophocles in his Antigone “not of today nor yesterday, the same. Throughout all the time they live; and where they come, none knoweth”. Since the external documentary sources for South Sulawesi are disappointingly few (Pelras, 1981), we have to rely on the I La Galigo Epic Cycle, the *Lontara*’s, the *bestuursmemorie* of the Dutch civil servants, the royal genealogies, interviews and oral traditions.

There is very little information on Luwu’, Bantaeng and Makassar in Nagarakertagama in the fourteenth century. It is perhaps worth noting that the identification of Luwu’ is not quite certain. The Malay Annals record an attack made about a century later on Malacca by ‘Keraing Semerluki’ (Karaeng Sumanga’rukka of Tallo’) from Makassar (Macknight, 1975:131). The *Lontara* of Tallo’ also records an attack on Malacca and Pasai by the fleet of Sumanga’rukka, the second king of Tallo’ (Abd. Razak Daeng Patunru, 1969:10).

The Chinese sources, which have much to contribute to the history of lands around the South China Sea, are much less helpful on further islands in the archipelago. Some of the unidentified names in geographical works, such as the thirteenth-century Chu Fan Chi, may well refer to a place in Sulawesi, but even if secure identifications could be made, the descriptions of the places are not extensive (Macknight, 1975:132). If the Chinese did not bring the abundant porcelain to South Sulawesi, then we may assume that the Bugis-Makassar people had brought it, since they have long been renowned -or should I say notorious- for their adventurous and roving spirit since 900 A.C. (de Greeve 1907, Emanuel 1948), which, from the late seventeenth century, took them to all corners of the Malay world and beyond as traders and as conquerors of numerous petty states (Lineton, 1975:173).

The Portuguese material in the sixteenth century is more helpful. A full and careful review of it may reveal more than has been hitherto known. Two points of Portuguese content in 1543 were Suppa’ near the modern Pare-Pare and Siang, the modern Pangkajene-Kepulauan area (Pelras, 1973:210-211). Fortunately some Portuguese account, *inter alia* the Christianization of the kings of Suppa’, Alitta, Bacukiki’ and Siang, are to be found in the *lontara*’ *Sukku’na Wajo*’ (LSW). Similarly even the rather garbled account of Sulawesi and its trade with Malacca, Java, Brunei, Siam, Pahang and Cambodia given by Tome Pires al little earlier is valuable evidence as far as it goes (Macknight, 1975:42-44). Tome Pires asserted that there were more than fifty *rajahs* in Sulawesi, which was abundant in food, and that the inhabitants of Makassar (South Sulawesi) were
the greatest pirates in the world and were much respected. M. Godinho de Eredia, a half-caste Portuguese, whose mother was a Bugis noblewoman of Suppa’, gives us information that Siang is older than Gowa, and was founded by Godinaro (Karaeng Kodinggareng) in 1112 during the reign of Dom Alfonso, the first king of Portugal and Pope Pascal II (Pelras, 1973). Haji Kulle, who has read the *Lontara’ Siang*, told us that the first ruler of Siang called karaeng Kodingareng was a daughter of a king of Luwu’, even though he was not able to disclose the governance of Siang, except that the queen was assisted by a council of tribal chiefs.

Some other materials, such as the *Latoa* (lit. the old-a collection of *adat* sayings and stories) and the *Lontara’ ade’* or the *Rapangs* (Mak.) (e.g., the legal digests) are better viewed as a possible basis for retrospective rationalization. These materials perceive kingship in a much less sacred and symbolic way than the *To Manurung* stories; it is fundamentally concerned with the proper functioning of the society within which the ruler is but a single element, albeit an important one, of the whole society (Andaya, 1975: 115). Because of the limitation of space, we would not go further into explaining this second type of sources. They were already discussed by Niemann (1884), Mattulada (1975) and recently by Andaya (1975).

We could neither discuss the Weltanschauung of the Bugis-Makassar people called *siri’* in detail since it needs to be written in a separate paper. This subject was discussed by Chabot (1950), Errinton (1977) and at the seminar on *siri’* problems in Ujung Pandang (July, 11-13, 1977).

*Siri’*, the way of life of the people in South Sulawesi, functions to preserve, maintain, defend and advance their dignity. In short, *siri’* is human dignity. It was also believed that the *To Manurungs*, who were accredited with magical powers, had the purest white blood they had, the more *siri’* the had. The more *siri’* they had, the more just and wiser kings they were considered. A king who did not rule in accord with the governmental contract was considered not to have a perfect *siri’*. His origin in heaven may have been doubted or it may have been suspected that he must have slave blood in his veins. Anybody who has no *siri’* is regarded not as a man, but as an animal. The *siri’* of a king was also regarded as identical with that of his family or his close relatives, and even of his ancestors. In the *Latoa*, the ruler is, again, envisaged as an instrument for the promotion of the welfare of the people. He is advised to mix with his people so that he can learn about their work. For example, some of the sayings in the legal digest of Bone are (Andaya, 1975:120):

The people can offer devotion to a ruler if the ruler is of good character and just. A wicked king will have a short life and receive the anger of God. A ruler can be deposed if he does not maintain the welfare of Bone and of his family.

**WORKING HYPOTHESES**

Working hypotheses for further research into South Sulawesi kingship are follows:

1. The *To Manurung* stories of South Sulawesi are not merely legends, but they are political myths which were the basis for the king’s divine right to rule and a justification for the privileged position of the aristocracy.

2. The governmental contracts, contained in the myths of the origin of the kingdoms in South Sulawesi, delineate the rights and responsibilities of the rulers and the ruled; they indicate that the king’s power was not absolute.
3. The transfer of authority from the Ulu Anangs, Matoas, or Kasuiangs (all of them) to be higher and centralized authority of the first kings was not the result of conquest, war, physical force or coercion.

4. The transformation of authority from the Matoa community by the Matoas and Kasuiangs into a centralized kingdom is to be regarded not only as a historical or sociological fact, but also as a legal fact which created the kingdom.

5. Even though a few of the early kings of South Sulawesi were given Hindu God’s titles, as is exemplified by Batarä Guru, Batara, and Dewaraja or a more Bugisnized Arung Mata Esso (Sun King), the kingdoms in South Sulawesi were not fully Indianized.

EXAMPLES OF GOVERNMENTAL CONTRACTS

1. **Luwu’ during the Lontara’ Period**

   We do not know exactly when the first To Manurung concluded a covenant with the Luwu’ people, since none of the Lontara’s concerning Luwu’, which are preserved in Leiden and Ujung Pandang, mention the existence of a governmental contract. Neither do they mention the lengths of the reigns of kings before 1603 when Islam was embraced by Datu Luwu’ La Patiware’ Daeng Parebung. No one in South Sulawesi denies the importance of Luwu’. According to a popular belief and genealogies of the kings and the nobility in South Sulawesi, Luwu’ was founded before the formation of Bugis, Makassar and Mandar kingdoms. Several Lontara’ readers estimated that Luwu’ was founded in the thirteenth century, while two Assistant Commissioners of Bone, de Greeve (1907) and Emanuel (1948) estimated, without giving any evidence, that Luwu’ was founded about the twelfth century. According to Couvreur, the Governor of Celebes (1929), Luwu’ was the most powerful kingdom in Sulawesi from the tenth to the fourteenth century. This opinion is supported by the highest respect that the nobility in Luwu’ traditionally enjoyed. Even petty principalities like Selayar, Siang, Lamatti’ and Bulo-Bulo claimed that their first kings had come from Luwu’ (van den Brink, 1945:181, 242-267).

   Since the Lontara’s Luwu’ do not mention the dates and the lengths of the kings’ reigns, we have to consult Lontara’s Wajo’ and Bone, and the diaries of Gowa and Tallo’ (Lontara’ Bilang of Gowa). For example, LSW provides us with data concerning the eleventh king of Luwu’, To Sangereng, titled Dewaraja Datu Kelali’ (lit. the king with a cockscomb). While living in Cenrana (a place in Bone), he made a treaty with the second Arung Matoa Wajo’, La O’bi’Settiware’. By using Noorduyn method (1965:145-146), we are able to determine the reigns of Settiware’ and the first Arung Matoa. Thus, the reign of Settiware’ is assigned to about 1482 to 1487. To Sangereng Dewaraja concluded a second treaty of friendship with the fourth Arung Matoa Wajo’, La Tadampare’ Puang ri Ma’galatung (1491-1521) to attack Sidenreng. This treaty is called Singkeru’ Patolae ri Topacce’do’, the treaty of Topacce’do’. After Sidenreng was defeated by Luwu’ and Wajo’, the Datu Luwu’ attacked Bone, but was defeated and had to conclude a treaty with La Tenrisukki’, the fifth king of Bone. During the last phase of the reign of Arung Matoa Wajo’ La Tadampare’, Wage, Tampangeng, Singkang (modern Sengkang) and Tempe and all vassals of Luwu’ were annexed by Wajo’. According to
LSW, those vassals of Luwu’ were given as a wedding present by the second Datu Luwu’, Anakaji to his consort We Tappacina, a daughter of the king of Mancapai’ (Majapahit). We estimate that Anakaji ruled at the end of the thirteenth century. According to a Lontara’ Luwu’ kept by Andi’ Sumange’rukka, Datu Pattojo in Soppeng, Lontara’ Cod Or 5449 and NB 208 of the University of Leiden and a genealogy of Andi’ Paramata in Sengkang, his father was simpurusiang, the first To Manurung during the Lontara’ period. Some Lontara’s depict him as the youngest son of Sawerigading.

Van Vraam Morris, Governor of Celebes (1889:499-546) provided us with some information concerning Luwu’ at the end of the nineteenth century. Described below is the ceremony of the installation of We Tenriwaru, the twenty-fifth Datu Luwu’, who married La Mappapoleonro, the King of Soppeng. This ceremony seems to have been connected with the To Manurung myth.

In order to obtain the title Pajung the king or queen of Luwu’ had to be installed. If not, he or she was only titled Datu Luwu’. Before the inauguration, a red umbrella had to be made by using whalebone from Lelewau in North Mengkoa’ (modern kabupaten Kolaka in Southeast Sulawesi); the red material which was more valuable than silk and satin had to be brought from Weula, which was located on the top of the mountain range of Ussu’ (where the first To Manurung descended from the sky); the handle had to be made in Baebunta only by a descendant of Pancai’, perhaps a tribal chief, living in Pao, east Palopo. The candidate king or queen titled Opu Cening (lit. the Sweet Lord/Lady) had to undertake a kind of initiation ceremony for seven days and seven nights: he/she was not allowed to wear any clothes except a loincloth; he/she was not permitted to leave his/her bed and must use only a coconut as a pillow. During the initiation ceremony, the Latoa book, consisting of adat savings, government ethnics and etiquette, had to be read to him/her. This ceremony aimed at guarding the candidate from bad spirits. In my opinion, the interpretation of van Braam Morris is not correct. According to Haji Andi’ Pangerang Opu To Sinalele, a retired Opu Pa’bicara, the early kings had to be put in a langkea, a small house built of bamboo with tall piles and no roof; and be given only a little food and water so that he might experience the sorrow and suffering of his poor people (interview in 1975).

If the candidate passed the test, a chair of state made in Wotu (one of the secret places in Luwu’) appeared in front of the palace door. The candidate accompanied by the Opu Patunru’ (the first minister) at his right side and by the Opu Pa’bicara (the second minister) at his left side was led to the panca (chair of state) and was carried to a large house called tana bangkala’ (lit. inauguration ground). Formerly the tana bangkala’ had been an open square ground with a square stone at the center, like the tana bangkala’ in Watampone, Pammana, Soppeng, Gowa and Wolio (Buton).

The candidate and the Opu Patunru’, who was ‘representing’ the Luwu’ people, stood opposite each other and both put their right foot on the square stone while holding their sword’s hilt with their right hand. The Opu Patunru’ then held left tub of the candidate with his left thumb. This deed symbolized the conclusion of a contract between the candidate ruler and the representative of the people. According to Opu To Sinalele, formerly, the Anreguru Anakarung
(chief of the nobility) read out the governmental contract concluded between the To Manurung and his people. The formula of the covenant, not mentioned by van Braam Morris (interview), reads:

Oh my Lord (Lady), please listen. My Lord (Lady) does not possess a torchlight, neither do the ruled people (meaning that without a previous warning from the people, the Lord (Lady) is supposed to take steps which will lead to the prosperity of the people). My Lord (Lady) and the people are not allowed to form a clique. My Lord (Lady) should listen to complaints of the people. Those who are in trouble with the law should be given an opportunity to explain their grievances. My Lord (Lady) is not allowed to impose his will upon the people since it does not conform to the customary laws (adat). my Lord (Lady) should not commit anything injurious to the people, while the people should not stop the flow of legal revenue to the King.

We continue the description of the installation of We Tenriwaru. While the Opu Patunru’ and the candidate were performing the symbolic ritual, Pancai’ holding the red umbrella below the tana bangkala’ shouted that she would like to say something. When the crowd calmed down, Pancai’ invited the Luwu’ people to buy her umbrella for sheltering their Pajung, queen. The Opu Pa’bicara came to face Pancai’. Both of them held the handle of the umbrella with their left hand, while their right hand grasped firmly their sword hilt. The Opu Pa’bicara asked Pancai’ to hand over her umbrella, but Pancai’ said: “You may not obtain it, unless you pay the price: four beautiful virgins and four strong and shapely buffalo guardians. If you try to obtain it by force, we will fight for it”. The Opu replied: “I will purchase it for that price, Pancai’, to keep it over my Queen’s head”. When the purchase was agreed upon, the Opu Pa’bicara handed the umbrella to the Opu Patunru’. The latter opened it and posed it so that he head of the queen might be overshadowed. From that moment she was no longer called Datu but Pajung Luwu’, the umbrella of Luwu’. After the ministers, not only the nine members of the Pangadereng Macoae (Prime Council), but the chiefs and officials of the regions and the vassals stated their loyalty to the queen by holding out their keris or swords while shouting: U Sompai’, Puang (We honor you, Lord (Lady)). The Pajung also held out her keris and promised to be loyal to the kingdom’s regalia (onro sao or arajang) and the Luwu’ people. Then, the Bissus, the royal priests, under their two leaders titled Pua’ Matoa, performed a traditional ceremony according to the I La Galigo Epic Cycle.

The Pua’ Matoa below answered: “Please, listen to my speech. She is a queen who is descended from the family of Batara Guru, who in a golden bamboo descended from heaven and lived on earth together with his royal consort We Nyili’timo’, the queen from the foam of the sea. She is also free to enter the royal palace and has the right to be honoured like her ancestors”. Then the queen was brought upstairs to enter the royal palace, while the people shouted with joy. Finally the ceremony ended after the queen and the people partook of a great banquet.

2. Cina and Pammana
A Lontara’ Pammana kept by andi’ Makkaraka, after depicting events from the foundation of Cina up to the disappearance of I La Galigo, the third king of Cina, and his family, *inter alia* his son, La Tenritatta’, who was the fourth and the last king of Luwu’ in that epoch, states that in remembrance of the I La Galigo kings, the Bugis people later wrote down their history on *Aka’* or *Corypha Gebanga* Bl. And called the manuscript I La Galigo after the name of the last king.

The chaos usually described in various Lontara’s of Bone, Soppeng, Luwu’, Suppa’ and others is also depicted in the Lontara’ Pammana. The chaos in Cina ended when Sumpurusiang Manurungnge ri Lompo’ descended in Tampangeng. This *To Manurung* had the same name as the *To Manurung* in Luwu’, and only their titles differed. Simpurusiang Manurungnge ri Tampangeng, is also referred to as Manurungnge ri Talettu’ in other chronicles.

Before Simpurusiang became the first *Datu Cina*, he had to conclude a covenant with the tribal chiefs who had competed with one another before the advent of the *To Manurung*. In the name and on behalf of the Cina people, one of the chiefs said:

Listen, thou the strange and pious being- to what we say. Our coming to this place is to declare that thou art the one we revere and pay homage to, that tour art considered *Datu* (Lordship) of Cina. Thou grivets us the blanket against the cold (meaning you should guarantee our health and our prosperity). Thou protected us from the *pipit* (sparrow) so that we will not be hollow (you should protect our people fro bad people and devote yourself to reaping a good harvest). You should not reveal things which might humiliate us. We will come to you anytime you summon us. We will do whatever you would like us to do as long as it will contribute to the greatness of your kingdom.

Simpurusiang answered:

I also agree to what thou told me. If my son or daughter or wife does something bad that is injurious to you then his or her deed will be classified as a dishonour to my person.

We do not know when and by whom this formula was extended. Lontara’ Leiden (Ms. NB 109), Mak. (115) and Matthes (1864 and 1872, Vol. I) only declare, that when the childless La Sangaji Aji’pammana, the twenty-second *Datu* Cina, was dying, he asked the members of the Adat Council and the *Matoas* to change the name of Cina into his own name and proposed one of the five candidates living in Bone, Soppeng and Wajo’ to be elected his successor. After his death, Cina was called Aji’pammana or Pammana. Eventually, We Tenrilallo, the chief of a principedom in Wajo’ titled Arung (queen) Liu at the end of the fifteenth century, was chosen *Datu* Cina; she had to conclude a contract with the *Matoas* of Pammana. The installation of the new queen was performed in Wawolonrong near the old kingly graves. It is interesting to note that the candidate made a contract with *Matoas* (tribal chiefs), not with the first minister, which was the case with Luwu’, and that she had to listen to the *Matoa* stating the content of the contract and to nod as a sign of agreement.

After We Tenrilallo rested her right foot on a square stone, *tana bangkala’,* Matoa To Panennungi representing the people said loudly:
Oh my Lady (Lord), please listen. You are decreed by heaven and embraced by pertiwi (county; earth) and witnessed by the Almighty Creator, and tranted blessings by the Dewata (God). You are inheriting the glory of your ancestors and called upon to assume the responsibilities of the kingdom of Pammana. You shall protect us from the sparrows so that we will not be hollow. You shall provide covers for the people of Pammana in order that they may not suffer from cold (meaning that you should see to it that we have decent houses and clothes so as to keep us from the cold). You are our Lady (Lord) and we are your servants, we are slaves and you the mistress (master). Call us and we shall answer, order us and we shall execute it provided it conforms to the customary laws. Whenever you stand on a hill, we shall be there surrounding you, and whenever you stand on a wide plain, we shall be there crowding around you, providing it is in accordance with what the customary laws prescribe. You shall lead your people of Pammana to nearby or distant places as the customary laws prescribe. You shall lead them into evil or to praiseworthy deeds on condition that it be based on the customary laws. You are the only queen in your country and clothed from head to toes, and only when the adat council awaken you, shall you wake up. Our agreement also includes that you shall not make us descend down to the plain. Your country’s customary laws describe that the Queen and the people shall not hide anything from each other. They shall not refer to the bush (meaning that they shall not say that something does not exist while the other party says it does). Also agreement states that you shall not bite your lips towards us (meaning that you shall not decline our request that can be reasonably granted). You shall not threaten us with the whip (you shall not resort to any revengeful act against us). Our agreement also says that you shall not lay traps for your people of Pammana and that you shall not hide the whip from us (you shall not secretly decide upon punishments and duties to be imposed upon us). You invite us to come in, and we shall enter. You order us to leave, and we shall depart, providing that you have consulted your adat council upon the acts. With regard to problems arising in the country of Pammana, whether big (serious) or small (simple), they shall be all within the responsibilities of the adat council. Also, we have mutually pledged that there shall not be mutual infringements upon the rights of you and your adat council and that the adat (customary laws) shall remain unaltered. You do not have any knowledge or view of all adat of your country. All you know is what you realize does exist, but what escapes your eyes you do not know (your duty is only to execute the existing customary laws and you do not have to concern yourself with nonexistent customary laws and problems you do not know how to solve). Sleep and be covered with your glories. Lie down motionlessly in your greatness. Your glories shall comprise four factors. Firstly a home shall be provided for you. Secondly your rice fields shall be tilled for you by the people. Thirdly you shall be attended to wherever you go, on the understanding that you provide us with food. Fourthly your wedding ceremony and all its financial expenses shall be borne by us no matter how heavy it may be.

Also your source of income shall comprise:
First: when a person is proven guilty of having committed a disgraceful act by calling other persons’ names, his ‘mouth shall be sliced’ with a fine of two reals;

Second: when a person is assigned to some work as prescribed by the adat but refuses to execute it, he shall be fined four reals, and, if the person is a free man, one suku;

Third: when proved to have committed incest, a person will be forced to pay a fine of ten reals; this penalty rule shall be applied to noblemen as well as to free men;

Fourth: when a person acts against the established customary laws (for example, treason), he shall be fined a sum equal to his physical value totalling twenty reals;

Fifth: when an official is found guilty of reversing the decision on cases that have been settled by the court or adat council, he and his relatives shall be taken captive;

Sixth: when a person is found guilty of burglary, his family’s properties and those of accomplices with him shall be confiscated; babies of free people shall not be taken captive with the exception of those of slaves.

Our agreement stipulates that you shall not take anything into your possession during your reign. Only when we offer you something, shall you take it. Only when we feed you, shall you open your mouth. Even cooked food shall turn uncooked when you help yourself. On the contrary, uncooked food shall turn cooked when given to you by the adat. We shall remove all bones from it in order that they may not stick in your throat which may lead to your death. We shall fan it in order that you may cause your death. All these shall contribute to your growth and your glories as prescribed by the established customary laws. We shall take care (guard) of you day and night. The hereditary customary laws of your country also prescribe the following four stipulations:

First: when an ordinary person passes away leaving his properties behind, a water buffalo shall be slaughtered and you shall get the rump of the slaughtered animal, and the noblemen have to provide you with spices;

Second: you shall get the taxes imposed upon any kind of crops yielded in the fields;

Third: you shall get your provisions from the lakes once a year;

Fourth: you shall get your side dishes from the forests once a year.

The Ade’ ammaradekangeng (the rights of freedom) of the people of Pammana according to the customary laws are of four kinds:

First: you govern the people but you are not to govern them arbitrarily; you are appointed Datu (queen) only in accordance with the adat (customary laws) and it is solely on the basis of this adat that you hold sway over us;

Second: you inherit the people from your ancestors, but you may not bequeath them to other persons;

Third: they (the people of Pammana) may not be raised while they are lying face down and they may not be stopped when they are fleeing;

Fourth: you have no right to intervene in negotiations among our fellow free citizens. In opening the door of Pammana and stepping out, it is their legs that take them out and
it is also their legs that bring them in. Our promise is that if the fire is out, if the stove wears out, and if your direct descendants are extinct, then your Datu-ship will be replaced. We will choose your successor from your distant relatives. The heir who will be elected Datu is the one in deemed capable of bearing offsprings. We also take on oath of fidelity that even if the sail snaps or the rudder breaks off, the mistress (master) and the servants, even the most humble ones, shall not have any other intention (shall not break their agreement). You say: “You are my subjects” and we will say in return: “You are my Lady (Lord)”. If you obtain happiness then you will have to seek to share it with your humble servants. If your servants acquire a fortune, they will look for you to share it with you. Our other commitment is that we should help each other to rise after having fallen down. You and your council should pay attention to this. One should help the other who is being drowned and should warn the other when one makes an error. One should listen to the other’s advice. If the council says something, the Datu must believe it and if the Datu makes a solemn statement, the council should believe it. It you and your council disagree with each other, you two should consult with each other several times to resolve the issue so that it will end well. Another token of our being your servants is our request to you to declare us right if we are right and to blame us when we are wrong. The token of the freedom of the people of Pammana is classifiable into four attitudes: you are not to intervene in transactions among fellow free citizens; you are not to intervene their coming into agreement on something; you have no right to lay your hands on their heirlooms; you should not do harm to them in their business transactions; on the other hand, they should refrain from taking advantage of you. Another token of the Pammana people’s acceptance of being your subjects lies in the case where there is an occasion of sadness or happiness water buffaloes are slaughtered. On such an occasion the stables of the free citizen are marked off. If a buffalo is born under the care of a free citizen, the Datu’s purchase of it amounts to four reals. If the buffalo is bought, then you must pay for it. If you can not get a buffalo from the free citizens, then the sables of the nobility will be inspected. A buffalo born under the care of nobleman is worth one tai’ (equivalent to eight reals and 16 sukus). If the buffalo is bought by a nobleman, then you have to refund the amount spent for the purchase.

Please, listen, you, who are present, the relatives of the Datu and the representatives of the friendly countries of Pammana, please also witness all the contracts of Pammana based on the established customary laws and offered to the Datu. Nothing should be mentioned twice between the mistress (master) and the servants. There is no cheating in good intercourse. Datu, please stay in your country. Don’t you remember the agreement we have made? We will not pull out the plants of the Datu and the members of the council. There should be no act of wildly cultivating the rice fields of another person, there should be a feeling of readiness to rescue others who are falling or drowning. One should believe another’s words, one should true another. One should warn another if an error has been made; one should heed another’s
warning several times, and cease only if one is aware of his mistake. We will not wait until the Datu and the members of the council make mistakes. There is no obstruction mutual effort; there is no depriving other persons of their rights by force. If the Datu breaks her promise, her servants will perish. If the council does not fulfil its promise, then the greatness of the Datu will fade. The mistress (master) and her servants should refrain from initiating something not praiseworthy. There should be a mutual respect towards each other’s custom by not trying to effect change in it. The mistress (master) should not lay trap which might harm her subjects and the subjects are not to molest their mistress (master) when the latter is walking. All these, namely the long lasting contract between the people and the Datu, have to be well preserved by the Watampanua (Prime Minister), so that the Watampanua may be accorded the appellation of the ‘mid-wall of the Datu’. If the Datu makes an error, she will be warned; if the council commits an error, it will be reprimanded. Let this contract be witnessed by the Dewata Seuae, the One Almighty God [Lontara’ Leiden NB 109]

Pammana, one of the oldest kingdom in Sulawesi, joined the elective kingdom of Wajo’ during the reign of La Tadampare’ Puang ri Ma’galatung (1491-1521)

3. Gowa

The Lontara’ Gowa does not give a detailed story concerning the emergence of its kingdom. At the very beginning four mythical kings were mentioned: Batara Guru; a brother of Batara Guru, who was killed in Talali; Ratu Sapu; and Karaeng Katangka. After this mythical period, there were nine Kasuiangs (tribal chiefs) in Gowa, who confederated their territories and elected a primus inter pares titled Paccalaia. After a while, quarrels broke out among the tribal chiefs and the Paccalaia failed to reconcile them. The confederated tribes were nearly dispersed when the Garassi’, Untia and Lambangi people attacked them. The attack, however, caused the confederation to unite again and it defeated the enemy. They deliberated and agreed unanimously to pray and ask the Rewata (God) to bestow his representative on earth upon them.

The Rewata then sent a beautiful woman. She descended in Taka’bassia near a mango tree together with a large palace, a gold necklace and a Jawa plate. The nine Kasuiangs and the Paccalaia quickly besieged the mysterious woman and asked her to become their ruler. But the woman said: How do I rule over you if I have to pound rice and carry water? The Paccalaia said: Oh my Lady (Lord), even our humble wives do not pound paddy and do not carry water. Why should you?

The beauty agreed then to be appointed Somba (queen) of Gowa. The gold necklace and the Jawa plate became the regalia of Gowa. When other tribal chiefs and petty principalities knew the advent of the To Manurung to Gowa, they soon joined the federation. The Lontara’ depicts that the people called the queen To Manurung because “no one knew her name and where she came from”.

Once upon a time, two brothers came to Gowa from the south. They were called Lakipadada and Karaeng Bayo’, whose country, status and parents were not known. Lakipadada bore a sword called Tanru’balanga while his brother’s sword was called Su’danga.
Soon the people recognized them as princes. Karaeng Bayo’ was asked to get married to the queen of Gowa. The stranger then said: “Even if you, owners of this country, send me to the underground, I will be obedient. When you honour me by putting me on the top of the coconut tree, why shouldn’t I?”

After Karaeng Bayo’ got married to the To Manurung, both of them were called Sombaia or Sombangku. The sword Su’danga became part of the regalia of Gowa and had to be carried by later kings during their installation. The short contract of the To Manurung was then concluded, perhaps proposed by Karaeng Bayo’, since hae was the first speaker and said the Kasuiangs: Because you made me your Lord, if I speak, you have to nod.

The Paccalaia answered:

We have made you our king and we have become your servants. You are the cord onto which we hold and we are the lau (pumplum which functions as a water container) that hangs from you. If the cord breaks but the lau does not fall and shatter, then we shall die. Your weapon cannot stab us, nor can you die our weapons. Only the Rewata (God) can take our lives, and only the Rewata can put you to death. You order and we obey, but when our hands carry a load, our shoulders will not take any, and if our shoulders are already burdened, our hands will no longer carry a load. You are the wind and we the leaves of a tree, but you can shake down only dry and withered leaves. You are water, and we are a floating trunk in the stream, but only a flood is able to carry it away. Our children and wives, if not in favour with the state, will find no favour with us either. We make you a king over us but you are not a king over our possessions. You are not to take our chickens from their perches, nor our eggs from our baskets. If you wish to purchase something from us, you have to buy what is suitable you to buy and you have trade what is suitable for you to trade. You ask for things that are correct for you to request, and we will give them to you, but you are not to take things away from us. The king is not to decide on any matter concerning domestic affairs without the gallarang (council), and the gallarang is not to decide on anything concerning war without the king [ibid.: 235-236, a few words are slightly paraphrased here; the source material being an unnumbered Lontara’ Gowa of the Yayasan Kebudayaan Sulawesi Selatan and a Lontara’ Gowa kept by Maluddin Sikki’ in Sungguminasa].

Karaeng Bayo’ became the co-ruler in collaboration with his consort, the first and the last queen of Gowa. The nine Kasuiangs became members of the adat council called Batu Salapanga (lit. the nine banners) presided over the Paccalaia. As was the case with Luwu’, Soppeng and Bone, the king later appointed his sons and relatives to be his assistants, but one of them became powerful and acted as the prime minister.

4. Wajo’

When La Patiroi, the successor of We Tenrisui died, the Cinnottabi’ officials appointed La Tenribali and La Tenririppe’, son of La Patiroi to be dual monarchs. La Tenritippe’ deprived the Matoa Pa’bicara’ of authority and for this injustice he was regarded by most of the people as having evaded the contract. Most of the people, led by three cousins of the kings and the
Matoas, left Cinnottabi’ and built up three settlements known as Lipu’ Tellu-Kajuru’e (the three countries united as a kemiri fruit) in Boli.

The three chiefs in Boli and the Matoas agreed to appoint a king to be called Arung Mataesso (lit. Sun King). La Tenribali, who had also left Cinnottabi’, was elected Sun King and concluded a contract with the three chiefs of Boli. The covenant recognized the Cinnottabi’ contract as the ‘constitution’ of Boli, acknowledged the three chiefs as Pa’danrengs (ruling mates of the Sun King) and confirmed their chieftainship over their respective region called Limpo. It also defined the functional and authoritative relationship between the king and the Pa’danrengs, and among the Pa’danrengs themselves. The Sun King was given the title Batara (lit. sky) based on his speech made before closing the ceremony: It is only the batara which is above our contract and it is only the earth that is under it.

It was agreed to change the name of Boli into Wajo’, because the covenant was set up under a tall bajo’ tree, symbolizing the function of the kingdom and its patronage. The expression in the contract “ma’bajowajo macekke’mi ri Wajo’” (“we only get shelter under the fresh Wajo’ shadow”) affirms this metaphor. A unique decision made by the first Batara was to make ade’assitrurseng, customary laws based on deliberation, by means of voting, which was not known anywhere in Indonesia.

The third Batara, La Pate’dungi To Samallangi’ liked to take advantage of the women of Wajo’ and this caused him to be deprived of his office as a result of the deliberation of the Wajo’ people led by an informal leader, La Tiringeng To Taba’. The third Batara was forced to resign by the people of Wajo’ led by an exiled prince from Bone, La Tadampare’ Puang ri Ma’galatung. The deposed Batara was killed by a Wajo’ prince outside the frontier of Wajo’.

One and a half years after the third Batara was discharged and killed, the Pa’danrengs and the Matoas elected La Tadampare’ as their new king, but the candidate refused the appointment and preferred to go back to Palakka (Bone). La Tiringeng To Taba’ also refused their election of him as their new king. According to the established law of Wajo’, a nobleman who refused to be elected king had ‘to be sent to his ancestors whom he had never met’. This informal leader of the common people preferred to leave Wajo’ and said that there was also another hereditary customary law which had preceded the foundation of Cinnottabi’ and had been incorporated into the laws of the land: “Wajo’ people are free”.

After La Tiringeng left Wajo’, the Pa’danrengs and the Matoas decided in a meeting to appoint La Tiringeng as Arung Simettempola (lit. our Lord with a tall house), who will be ‘the mother’ (defender) of the Wajo’ people. La Tiringeng To Taba’ accepted the decision on condition that he and the people of Wajo’ would conclude a contract to establish the ‘constitution of the kingdom’.
Arriving in Wajo’, Arung Simettempola, first concluded a covenant with the people of the three limpos (regions), whom he had helped by deposing the wicked Batara La Pate’dungi To Samallangi’. His immense popularity among the people was established by his direct ties with them and not through the Matoas or the Pa’danrengs. Arung Simettempola, facing the Wajo’ people, then said:

Those who are overhead, underneath, in the south, in the north, in the west, in the east, in front and at the back, please listen to me. I, Arung Simettempola, will strengthen your rights of freedom and I will uphold the contractual relations. Since there is no ‘Sun King’ in Wajo’, I represent you in making negotiations inside and outside Wajo’. I will govern to seek good deeds and stay away from seeking bad ones whether within the country or outside of the country. I will firmly keep your rights of freedom and your hereditary customs.

People of Wajo’ answered:

On the hill or on the plain where Arung Simettempola stands, there, we will also gather around him and address him as Your Highness. If he summons us, we will come; whenever he invites us, we will always attend; whenever he orders, we will do whatever he ask for the sake of Wajo’ and for the benefit of the people. Also, we agree with you, Arung Simettempola, that whenever you sit, lie down or walk with the intention of harming our offsprings, the Wajo’ people, you shall surely die at the place where you are sitting, where you are lying or where you are walking.

Arung Simettempola replied:

Listen, people of Wajo’. Even if you bear malice in your heart and move your tongue with the intention of dismissing me from my position as Arung Simettempola, you will be swept away by a stream. Needless to say, if you intend to do malicious things to me you will be dried like salt.

Then Arung Simettempola and the Wajo’ people came to an agreement and declared that this contract would bind their children, grandchildren and their descendants and that their agreement had been witnessed by the Dewata Seuae (the One Almighty God). A few day later, Arung Simettempola, the three Pa’danrengs, the Matoas and all the adult people of the three regions gathered at Lapadeppa’ under two tamarind tree planted by Arung Cinnottabi’ La Patiroi to make the second contract.

After Arung Simettempola restated the history of Cinnotabi’, Boli and the foundation of Wajo’, he announced, corroborated by an oath and witnessed by the Dewata Seuae, that the new contract reaffirmed the Cinnottabi’ contract and the Majauleng contract, i.e., the contract between the first Batara Wajo’ La Tenribali and the Boli people. Arung Simettempola then said:

…There shall be no violation of rights between the rule and the ruled. Should errors occur, they should remind each other of them until they show improvement; they shall not distort the customary laws; they (the three Pa’danrengs) shall not suppress the honour of the
three regions; they, the ruler and the ruled shall not plant and pull up each other’s plants and shall not fish in others’ fish ponds; they (the functionaries) shall not take others’ rights to talk in a court session; they shall try a case together; they shall not hinder one one another’s effort; each functionary shall lead his own people and shall perform his own duty; none shall keep his belongings secretly from others; they (the ruler and the ruled) have their own rights to own something; neither of them shall accuse the other of owning something the other does not actually have; they shall share their possessions with each other; they shall trust each other. Let the One Almighty God witness our agreement and let it be eternalised by not only us but also by our descendants. And let us offer to the One Almighty God.

The Wajo’ people affirmed the contract by stating together their agreement. Both parties took an oath one after the other, put an egg in a hole and broke it with a stone, an act symbolizing that whoever broke the contract will be shattered like the crushed eggs. In remembrance, both parties erected a big long stone in the hole. The governmental contract is called *Lamumpatue* or *Jancie ri Lapa’dепpa’*, which literally means the stone erecting or The Covenant at Lapa’dепpa’.

After closing the ceremony, Arung Simettempola proclaimed again:

The rights of freedom of the Wajo’ people will be maintained and defended by Arung Simettempola; their property will not be confiscated; they will not be arrested for crimes they have not committed; other people under the same roof shall not be responsible for crimes and civil wrong doings if they themselves have no connection with the crimes and civil wrong doings; their families and relatives shall not be punished if they are not accessories; they shall not become the object of the king’s absolute will; they shall not be halted if they flee (meaning that let those who wish to be free get their freedom); they shall not be raised while they lean on their elbows (meaning that they shall not be forced to perform duties which are not based on customary laws); the door of Wajo’ shall be open when they enter; the door of Wajo’ shall be open when they leave; they enter on their own feet and they leave on their own feet. As for the duties and responsibilities of the people of Wajo’, they shall never cease, shall never be changed and shall never exist in different forms. No matter how heavy their punishments and responsibilities, as long as they are based on the customary laws, they are obliged to keep them. Even if it is only a very light stem of cotton (meaning a very light duty or sentence) that has been inflicted on them, if it is not based on the customary laws, they have the right to protest or to leave the country, because the law concerning responsibilities and punishment are mainly admonitory. A death sentence has no validity, except their own severe crimes will kill them. It is their own overt acts and words that kill them. They shall not be sentenced by the court, except their overt acts and words make them guilty.

The Wajo’ people then asked Arung Simettempola: “Why didn’t you mention it before we crushed the eggs with a stone?” Arung Simettempola explained: “The rights of freedom of the
Wajo’ people which I mentioned after the erection of the stone shall not be suppressed by a stone, because this freedom is hereditary and this freedom is your obedient service (meaning that you are servants of the customary laws); it is an inherited adat of Wajo’ since the great Cinnottabi’ up to Boli now; we only respect fixed and permanent adat, the government and the people that guarantee our freedom”. Arung Simettempola then explained the so-called ade’ ammaradekangeng:

One is allowed to do an act based on his intention freely; he is allowed to go anywhere he wants to; he is allowed to express his opinion, but he has to keep watch over his conduct, and control his manner and behaviour. This is the only hereditary adat of Wajo’ that is not yellow, not red, not white and not even black (meaning that they are the only true customs). If there are those among you who say that the hereditary adat of Wajo’ is not like this, they are lying; this is the reason why I did not proclaim it before the stone was buried, because this is also your obedience, you the people of Wajo’.

According to Andi’ Makkaraka (interview in 1967), the Wajo’ people had been free people before Wajo’ has founded and the ade’ ammaradekangeng had been known since the Lampulungeng community was founded by a Ulu Anang; this kind of adat may not be altered (pura onro), since it is a crime to change an established adat (popo gamaru) based on adat sayings:

Adaemi natotau, which means ‘only our words made us human beings’ or ‘you can trust a man’s words but you can only keep an animal on a rope’. Ri laleng tampa’umupinamradeka to Wajo’e, which means ‘the Wajo’ people have been free since they were in their mother’s womb’.

Arung Simettempola formulated the ade’ ammaradekangeng in a short sentence when Settiiriware’ was istalled in the position of the second Arung Matoa (principal ruler) of Wajo’ in about 1474. The sentence reads as follows:

Maradeka to Wajo’e, najajiang alena maradeka, tanaemi ata, naia to makketanae maradeka maneng, ade’assamaturusennami napopuang. Literally this means that the Wajo’ people are free, they are born free, the country is only a servant, while the owners (people) of the country are free, and only their master.

It was also agreed that Arung Simettempola, being the Inanna Limpoe (the mother of the people of the three regions), should be the spokesman of Wajo’ and for the sake of and in the name of the people he had the authority to install and dismiss the king after the deliberation by the three Pa’danrenggs, chiefs of the Limpos and the Matoas; he had also a duty to solve urgent problems not settled by the king and the council; being the Inanna Limpoe, he could not be elected king and was not a member of the council, even though he was allowed to attend every kind of meeting.

It was decided to elect thirty Arung Ma’bicaras (lit. princely judges), ten for each limpo. Four had the authority of the magistrates of each ana’ limpo (sub-region) assisted by the other six appointed Arung Ma’bicaras with no magistracy in proposing, examining and adjudicating
cases and executing decisions. The *Arung Ma’bicaras* were also members of the regional administrative council presided over by the *Ranreng*, the new name of the *Pa’danreng*, the first hereditary chief of each *limpo*. The thirty *Arung Ma’bicaras*, most of whom were not noblemen, were also members of the Wajo’ council called *Puang ri Wajo’* (the highest authority of Wajo’)

The thirty three members of the Wajo’ council together with the Arung Simettempola elected La Palewo To Palipu’, *Matoa* of the *limpo* Bettempola, as the first *Arung Matoa*. He was installed in the corner of his house while taking an oath to defend the ‘constitution’ of Wajo’ established at Lapa’deppa’. The king was not titled *Batara* anymore, but titled *Arung Matoa*, principal ruler. The position could not be inherited and could be held only on condition of good conduct. It could not be held simultaneously with other positions.

When three *Bate Lompos* (lit. Standard Bearers), commanders of the three *limpos* and three *Suro Pallele Toanas* whose duties were delivering messages within and outside Wajo’, were appointed, the Central Council of Wajo’ was called *Arung Patappuloe*, the forty central government chiefs. The *Arung Patappuloe* was presided over by the king, who was also a member of the council. The daily executives consisted of three *Ranrengs* and three *Bate Lompos* and were called *Petta Ennengnge* (Our Six Lord) and presided over by the *Arung Matoa*. Arung Simettempola was not a member of either council. Arung Penrang was elected Adviser of the council. Both of them had the right to attend both council’s meetings.

**CONCLUSION**

1. We are well aware of the fact that the historical process in each kingdom of South Sulawesi was unique in the sense that the specific circumstances under which a given people acted in a given way will not be found recurring anywhere else. However, when one brings the discussion to a higher level of abstraction, it will possible, nonetheless, to discern a number of historical processes (Claesen and Skalnik, 1978:619).

   All of the kingdom discussed in this paper have a *To Manurung* story to explain the manner in which their royal families came to rule except Wajo’. These stories are basic myths of ideology (Claesen and Skalnik) which contained the conception of kingship and also legitimised, explained and justified the divine rights of the early kings to rule. This fact is in accord with the ‘case histories’ presented by twenty-one authors of the *Early States* edited by Claesen and Skalnik [ibid.]. The only difference is that the sacred kings in South Sulawesi were believed to be the representatives of the *Dewata Seuae*, who had the most pure ‘white blood’ and therefore were regarded as possessing the most complete *siri* (human dignity) and had been conceived by the people to restore the ties between the God and mankind. Furthermore, none of the twenty-one kingdoms discussed by the writers of the *Early States* are said to have practiced *pactum subjictionis* envisaging the rights and responsibilities of the ruler and the ruled. Some of the early states described were monarchies under more or less absolute, sometimes even quite despotic sovereigns with executive powers [ibid., quoting Nettleship 1978: 626], while the early kings of South Sulawesi had to deliberate with the *Adat* Council, whose members were the *Matoas* or tribal chiefs representing their people. The ideological basis, i.e., the *To Manurung*
stories are to be found in five of the six kingdoms of South Sulawesi. The governmental contract is proven to have been practiced in all of the kingdoms discussed in this paper.

2. Our first working hypothesis appears to be in agreement with the Bugis and Makassar To Manurung stories described in the Lontara’s. They are not legends, but political myths, which formed the ideological basis of the formation of kingdoms in South Sulawesi. Since Luwu’ is recognized by the Bugis people as the cradle of civilization and kingship, we believe that Luwu’ was a pristine kingdom, while the other five kingdoms were all to the secondary type, which implies that the latter developed under the influence of Luwu’s conception. Their stories were individualized into the separate tradition of each kingdom in accord with the circumstances surrounding the ‘discovery of a To Manurung’ who became the founder of each royal family.

In Wajo’, a new situation developed. Their leaders realized that the time was ripe to create or reorganize new institutions. The rights of freedom of the people, which had already existed in the pre-state period and have been recognized by two kingdoms preceding Wajo’, were made explicit by the famous statesman La Tiringeng To Taba’, the founder of the only known elective kingdom in Indonesia.

3. We have proven that the contents of the governmental contract of Luwu’, Soppeng, Pammana, Gowa and Wajo’ depict that the kings had limited power and the situations were far from Thomas Hobbes’ Leviathan. The contracts of our ‘case histories’, except that of Bone, explicitly state that the kings were regarded as the protector of the people and also as ‘representatives’ of God who were obliged to maintain the welfare of the people.

The conception of power in Bone -and also in other kingdoms- is found not only in the governmental contract, but also in the legal digest called Latoai (lit. the old) and Lontara’ Ade’. According to the Latoa and the Lontara’ Ade’, the king was not beyond reproach, nor was his will and he could be deposed if he did not maintain the welfare of his people. The king was regarded to be an instrument for the promotion of the welfare of his people. Bone’s king were addressed ‘mangkau’ meaning ‘doing work’ or ‘deed’. This implies that the ruler did not act arbitrarily, but only implemented what was agreed upon by the adat council. In 1670 Admiral Speelman, who had defeated Gowa with the assistance of Arung Palakka, reported the Arung Pitu exercised broad sovereign authority over all affairs of the kingdom. Everything, without exception, must be decided on by it, whether it be civil matters, a declaration of war or peace, or the election and the deposition of kings (Andaya, 1975:122).

Finally, in the Lontara’s of the six kingdoms of South Sulawesi discussed in this paper, we can find many cases where rulers were killed or deposed because of their crimes against the people or accused of having broken the covenant. A few examples of these cases are as follows: La Ulio Bote’e, the sixth king of Bone, and La Ica’, the eight king of Bone, were killed by the people in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries respectively; La Tenriawe, the eleventh king of Bone, We Batari Toja, the sixteenth queen of Bone, La Panaongi To Pawawoi, the nineteenth king of Bone and Besse Kajuara, the twenty-seventh queen of Bone were deposed; La Pate’dungi To Samallangi’, the third Batara Wajo’ was deposed and later killed; La Pakallongi To Alinrungi, the fifteenth Arung Matoa of Wajo’, was deposed in 1626; We Tenrileleang, Datu of Luwu’ was deposed in the eighteenth century; I Manggorai Daeng Mammeta, the twelfth king
of Gowa was murdered in 1593; I Tepukaraeng Daeng Para’bung Tunipassulu’, the thirteenth king of Gowa (1565-1590), La Pareppe’ To Sappewali, the twentieth king of Gowa (1709-1711), I Mappaurangi Sultan Sirajuddin, the twenty-first king of Gowa (1771-1783), and I Mallawangau’ Sultan Abdul Khair, the twenty-fourth king of Gowa (1735-1742) were deposed; and La Onrong Datu Pattiro, the king of Soppeng was deposed in the nineteenth century.

4. Our third working hypothesis is also confirmed by the stories concerning the formation of early kingdoms: “State formation is not caused by war, but is greatly promoted by war, or by the threat of war and by social stress (Claesen and Skalnik quoting Nettleship and Corning, 1978: 626). This further endorses service’s view that ‘the benefit of being part of the society obviously outweighed the other alternative’. The need for protection under these circumstances is obvious; as a result of this, it was better to be a member of the state than not to be one. We, moreover, believe that Lowie’s idea that the voluntary association was one of the roots of state formation is confirmed by some of the data of our case studies”. At any rate, only a few of the cases in the samples of Claesen and Skalnik seem to corroborate the ‘Ueberlagerungs’ theories of Gumplowicz and Oppenheimer.

5. Since the governmental contracts in South Sulawesi are regarded as ade’ pura onro, established customary laws or legal rules, and the transformation of authority from a Matoa community with the Matoas representing their followers into a centralized kingdom represented by the first kings that created the kingdom in to be found in all of our samples, we may conclude that such an act is to be regarded as a legal deed. We use the word ‘transformation’, even though the position of the Matoas in their territory remained unaltered, because the Matoas and Kasuiangs obtained a new positions in the central government as powerful members of the adat council of the kingdom. Estellie Smith is right when he expresses his view that ‘the simpler form of socio-cultural organizations neither vanished, nor merely remained in fossil form, but adapted themselves so as to become specialized parts of a larger configuration’ [ibid.: 622]. The Matoa communities had to adapt themselves to a new centralized government and had to maintain a balance of power policy in the central government by using their council’s authority.

The governmental contracts in South Sulawesi created states and the ‘constitutions’ of the kingdoms. At least they brought forth centralized authorities as they had not been known before, and created the Grundnorm of the kingdoms. Our fourth hypothesis appears to be corroborated by functions and roles of the governmental contracts.

6. Our fifth working hypothesis appears also to be confirmed by the status, functions and roles of kings in South Sulawesi. The kings of South Sulawesi, although believed to be divine, were not the ‘Great God’ like the sacred kings of ancient Cambodia (Aeu srivongse, 1979:107). They were not Devaraja or ‘god-king’, but believed to have been sent by the Dewata Sewae to restore law and order on earth and to maintain the welfare of the people. They were regarded as the protectors of their people in accordance with the governmental contracts.

We would like to emphasize that in South Sulawesi there was no concept of the rulers being the ‘sacred lodestone’ around which the community evolved as in the Indianized kingdoms in Southeast Asia, for South Sulawesi was the place of the arajang, onro sao or gaukang, i.e., the regalia. The kings could be deposed at any time but the arajang or the gaukang continued to be
accorded the highest veneration of the community. A ruler without arajang had no authority to rule whatsoever whereas the arajang retained its power by virtue of its being considered the representatives of God on earth (Andaya, 1975:120).

The regalia personified the kingdom and not the king. The strength of the Bugis and Makassar community and their ability to survive as well-integrated units through centuries can be attributed to the presence of the regalia, the palladium of the community. It acted as a social integrator and a unifying element in the kingdom, around which a power centre could form.

Wajo’ did not have regalia as other kingdoms did. Only some of its vassals have arajangs, but they were not the palladium of Wajo’. In Wajo’ the arumpanua, i.e., the rulers and the people, were regarded as the owners of the kingdom, but they were subjected to the customary laws of the land. The Wajo’ people including the nobility regarded their country as the servant of the people. Their master was not the ruler, but the ade’ assituruseng, i.e., customary laws based on consensus.
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