



Subdigraphs of almost Moore digraphs induced by fixpoints of an automorphism

Anita Abildgaard Sillasen

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Aalborg University, Denmark
anitasillasen@gmail.com*

Abstract

The degree/diameter problem for directed graphs is the problem of determining the largest possible order for a digraph with given maximum out-degree d and diameter k . An upper bound is given by the Moore bound $M(d, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k d^i$ and almost Moore digraphs are digraphs with maximum out-degree d , diameter k and order $M(d, k) - 1$.

In this paper we will look at the structure of subdigraphs of almost Moore digraphs, which are induced by the vertices fixed by some automorphism φ . If the automorphism fixes at least three vertices, we prove that the induced subdigraph is either an almost Moore digraph or a diregular k -geodetic digraph of degree $d' \leq d - 2$, order $M(d', k) + 1$ and diameter $k + 1$.

As it is known that almost Moore digraphs have an automorphism r , these results can help us determine structural properties of almost Moore digraphs, such as how many vertices of each order there are with respect to r . We determine this for $d = 4$ and $d = 5$, where we prove that except in some special cases, all vertices will have the same order.

Keywords: k -geodetic digraph; Moore digraph; the degree/diameter problem

Mathematics Subject Classification : 05C12, 05C20, 05C75

DOI: 10.5614/ejgta.2015.3.1.1

Received: 27 December 2013, Revised: 21 July 2014, Accepted: 09 October 2014.

1. Introduction

Let G be a digraph and u be a vertex of maximum out-degree d in G , and let n_i denote the number of vertices in distance i from u . Then, we have $n_i \leq d^i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, and thus the order n of G is bounded by

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^k n_i \leq \sum_{i=0}^k d^i. \tag{1}$$

If equality is obtained in (1) we say that G is a *Moore digraph* of degree d and diameter k , and the right-hand side of (1) is called the *Moore bound* denoted by $M(d, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k d^i$. Moore digraphs are known to be diregular and exist only when $d = 1$ (cycles of length $(k + 1)$) or $k = 1$ (complete digraphs with order $d + 1$), see [6] or [12]. So we are interested in knowing how close the order can get to the Moore bound for $d > 1$ and $k > 1$. Let G be a digraph of maximum out-degree d , diameter k and order $M(d, k) - \delta$, then we say G is a $(d, k, -\delta)$ -digraph or alternatively a (d, k) -digraph of defect δ . When $\delta < M(d, k - 1)$ we have out-regularity, see [5], whereas in general it is not known if we also have in-regularity. Of special interest is the case $\delta = 1$, and a $(d, k, -1)$ -digraph is also denoted as an *almost Moore digraph*. Almost Moore digraphs do exist for $k = 2$ as the line digraphs of K_{d+1} for any $d \geq 2$, see [9], whereas $(2, k, -1)$ -digraphs for $k > 2$, $(3, k, -1)$ -digraphs for $k > 2$, $(d, 3, -1)$ -digraphs for $d > 1$ and $(d, 4, -1)$ -digraphs for $d > 1$ do not exist, see [10], [5], [7] and [8]. We do know that almost Moore digraphs are diregular for $d > 1$ and $k > 1$, see [11].

In the last section of the paper, we will be needing the following theorem which summarises some of the above results.

Theorem 1.1 ([10],[5]). *Almost Moore digraphs of degree 2 and 3 and diameter $k > 2$ do not exist.*

Furthermore, almost Moore digraphs satisfies the following properties, where a $\leq k$ -walk is a walk of length at most k .

Lemma 1.1 ([4]). *Let G be an almost Moore digraph, then*

- (i) *for each pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ there is at most one $< k$ -walk from u to v ,*
- (ii) *for every vertex $u \in V(G)$ there exist a unique vertex $r(u)$ such that there are two $\leq k$ -walks from u to $r(u)$.*

The mapping $r : V(G) \mapsto V(G)$ is in fact an automorphism, see [4] and thus the two $\leq k$ -walks from u to $r(u)$ are internally disjoint. The vertex $r(u)$ is said to be the *repeat* of u . If we have $u = r(u)$, thus u has order 1 with respect to r , u is said to be a *selfrepeat*. If there is a selfrepeat in G , then there are exactly k selfrepeats, which lie on a k -cycle, see [3].

In this paper we will give some conditions for the existence of an almost Moore digraph G with respect to some automorphism $\varphi : V(G) \mapsto V(G)$. These results can then be used to investigate the orders of the vertices with respect to the automorphism r . Before stating the core result of this paper, we will introduce another type of digraph which shows to be important when characterizing induced subdigraphs of almost Moore digraphs.

Let D be a digraph such that for each pair of vertices $u, v \in V(D)$ we have at most one $\leq k$ -walk from u to v , then we say D is k -geodetic. Let u be a vertex of minimum out-degree d , and let n_i be the number of vertices in distance i from u for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Then, $n_i \geq d^i$ and the order n of D is bounded by

$$n \geq \sum_{i=0}^k n_i \geq \sum_{i=0}^k d^i. \tag{2}$$

Notice that the right-hand side is the Moore bound, $M(d, k)$ and that the diameter for a k -geodetic digraph is at least k . As we already know, Moore digraphs exist only for $d = 1$ or $k = 1$, we wish to know how close the order of a k -geodetic digraph can get to the Moore bound. By a (d, k, ϵ) -digraph we understand a k -geodetic digraph of minimum out-degree d and order $M(d, k) + \epsilon$. Alternatively we say that we have a (d, k) -digraph of excess ϵ . The first case which is interesting is when $\epsilon = 1$. A $(d, k, 1)$ -digraph has diameter $k + 1$, and for each vertex u there is exactly one vertex, the outlier $o(u)$ such that $dist(u, o(u)) = k + 1$, see [13].

A $(d, k, 1)$ -digraph is diregular if and only if the mapping $o : V(D) \mapsto V(D)$ is an automorphism, see [13]. From [13] we also have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 ([13]). *No diregular $(2, k, 1)$ -digraphs exist for $k > 1$.*

2. Results

For simplicity, we will, in the remaining part of this paper, let a $(d, k, -1)$ -digraph (almost Moore digraphs) denote any digraph which has degree $d > 0$, diameter $k > 0$ and order $M(d, k) - 1$, thus we will let k -cycles be included in this class. Similarly, a $(d, k, 1)$ -digraph will denote any k -geodetic digraph of minimum out-degree $d > 0$ and order $M(d, k) + 1$.

The scope of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be an almost Moore digraph of degree $d \geq 4$ and diameter $k \geq 3$ and let H be a subdigraph induced by the vertices which are fixed by some automorphism $\varphi : V(G) \mapsto V(G)$. Then, H is either*

- (i) *the empty digraph,*
- (ii) *two isolated vertices,*
- (iii) *an almost Moore digraph of degree $d' \leq d$ and diameter k , or*
- (iv) *a diregular $(d', k, 1)$ -digraph where $d' \leq d - 2$.*

In the remaining part of this paper we will assume G to be an almost Moore digraph of degree $d \geq 4$ and diameter $k \geq 3$, and H to be a subdigraph of G induced by the fixpoints of some automorphism $\varphi : V(G) \mapsto V(G)$.

We start by stating some properties of the fixpoints of G .

Lemma 2.1. *Let u and v be fixpoints of G with respect to the automorphism φ , then*

- (i) *$r(u)$ is a fixpoint,*
- (ii) *if there is a $\leq k$ -walk P from u to v and $v \neq r(u)$, all vertices $w \in P$ are fixpoints,*

(iii) if $v = r(u)$ and P and Q are the two $\leq k$ -walks from u to v , either all internal vertices on P and Q are fixpoints, or none of them are. Furthermore, if $\text{dist}(u, r(u)) < k$, then all vertices on P and Q are fixpoints.

Proof. (i) We know there are two $\leq k$ -walks, P and Q , from u to $r(u)$. Now, $\varphi(P)$ and $\varphi(Q)$ are two $\leq k$ -walks from u to $\varphi(r(u))$, and hence $\varphi(r(u))$ is a repeat of u . As u only has one repeat, the statement follows.

1. Let P be the unique $\leq k$ -walk from u to v . Then, $\varphi(P)$ will also be a $\leq k$ -walk from u to v , and hence $P = \varphi(P)$.

(ii) Assume not all vertices on the $\leq k$ -walk P are fixpoints, hence there exists a vertex $w \in P$ such that $w \neq \varphi(w)$ and thus $\varphi(P) \neq P$ is also a $\leq k$ -walk from u to $v = r(u)$. As there are only two $\leq k$ -walks from u to $v = r(u)$, we must have $\varphi(P) = Q$ and thus none of the internal vertices of P are fixpoints, as P and Q are internally disjoint. Now if $\text{dist}(u, r(u)) < k$, then P and Q are obviously of different length, so we must have all vertices on P and Q as fixpoints.

□

Corollary 2.1. *Let φ be an automorphism of G , then all $\leq k$ -walks among the fixpoints of φ in G are preserved to H , except for possibly the k -walks from a vertex to its repeat.*

Notice, that if u and v are selfrepeats fixed by φ , then there are exactly d internally disjoint $\leq (k + 1)$ -walks from u to v , (u, u_i, \dots, v_i, v) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Hence if the order of u_i with respect to φ is p , and the order of v_i with respect to φ is q , then $(u, u_i = \varphi^p(u_i), \dots, \varphi^p(v_i), v)$ and $(u, u = \varphi^q(u_i), \dots, v_i = \varphi^q(v_i), v)$ are both $\leq (k + 1)$ -walks, and thus we must have $p = q$. Said in another way, the permutation cycles with respect to some automorphism φ of the vertices in $N^+(u)$ and $N^-(v)$ are the same when u and v are selfrepeats.

The following lemma is a more general result than that of [2].

Lemma 2.2. *If G has a selfrepeat which is fixed by φ , then H is an almost Moore digraph with selfrepeats of degree $d' \leq d$ and diameter k .*

Proof. Let $z = r(z) = \varphi(z)$, then according to Lemma 2.1 we must have all vertices on the two $\leq k$ -walks from z to $r(z)$ as fixpoints, and all the selfrepeats lie on the non-trivial walk from z to z , so H contains a k -cycle.

Notice that $d_H^+(z) = d_H^-(z) = d' \leq d$ for all $z = r(z) \in V(H)$, as the permutation cycles in $N^+(z)$ and $N^-(z)$ are the same. Now, if we have a vertex $u = \varphi(u) \neq r(u)$, then we can pick a selfrepeat z such that $r(u) \notin N^-(z)$, as otherwise we would have $r(u) \in N^-(z')$ for all selfrepeats z' of G , and therefore $r(r(u))$ would be a selfrepeat, a contradiction as u is not a selfrepeat. Thus for this u and z we have d internally disjoint $\leq (k + 1)$ -walks (u, u_i, \dots, z_i, z) in G . Then, d' of the internally disjoint $\leq (k + 1)$ -walks from u to z will also be in H , due to Lemma 2.1, and thus $d^+(u) \geq d'$. Assume that $d^+(u) > d'$, then there exists a $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ such that $u_j = \varphi(u_j)$ and $z_j \neq \varphi(z_j)$. But then (u_j, \dots, z_j, z) and $(u_j, \dots, \varphi(z_j), z)$ are two distinct $\leq k$ -walks from u_j to z , a contradiction as z is a selfrepeat.

So H is a diregular digraph of degree d' . Now, assume H has diameter $k + 1$, this implies that there exists a vertex v such that $dist_H(v, r(v)) = k + 1$ thus the order of H is $n = 1 + d' + d'^2 + \dots + d'^k + 1 = M(d', k) + 1$, according to Corollary 2.1. However, looking at a selfrepeat $z \in H$, we get the order as $n = 1 + d' + d'^2 + \dots + d'^k - 1 = M(d', k) - 1$, a contradiction.

So H must be diregular with degree $d' \leq d$, diameter k and its order must be $M(d, k) - 1$, hence it is an almost Moore digraph with selfrepeats, as the girth of H is k . \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let φ fix at least three vertices, then H is diregular of degree d' and either*

- (i) H is an almost Moore digraph of degree $d' \leq d$ and diameter k , or
- (ii) H is a $(d', k, 1)$ -digraph of degree $d' \leq d - 2$.

Proof. If φ fixes a selfrepeat, then we have the first case of the statement according to Lemma 2.2. Thus we can assume φ does not fix any selfrepeats.

Let u and v be any two fixed vertices in G , thus they are not selfrepeats, and let $N^+(u) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d\}$ and $N^-(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d\}$. Assume $r(u) \neq v_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Then, in G we have internally disjoint $\leq (k + 1)$ -walks (u, u_i, \dots, v_i, v) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. As r is an automorphism, we get $r(u_i) \neq v$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Now, we have $u_i = \varphi(u_i)$ if and only if $v_i = \varphi(v_i)$ due to Lemma 2.1, hence $d_H^+(u) = d_H^-(v)$. As we could have $v = r(u)$, we see that each vertex in H is balanced, as $d^+(u) = d^+(r(u))$ and $d^-(u) = d^-(r(u))$.

Now, assume H is not diregular, thus for each vertex $u \in V(H)$ we must have a vertex $v \in N^+(r(u)) \cap V(H)$ such that $d_H^+(u) \neq d_H^-(v)$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be a vertex of minimum degree $d_1 \leq d$ in H , and let $v \in V(H)$ be a vertex with $d_H^-(v) > d_1$. Then, $d_H^-(v) = d_1 + 2$ as we must have $v \in N^+(r(u))$ with $dist_H(u, r(u)) = k + 1$ and $dist_H(r^-(v), v) \leq k$. But then there must be at most d_1 vertices of degree different from d_1 in H and at most $d_1 + 2$ vertices of degree different from $d_1 + 2$, hence $|V(H)| \leq d_1 + (d_1 + 2)$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $|V(H)| \geq d_1 + d_1^2 + \dots + d_1^k$ as the diameter of H is at least $k \geq 3$. So, obviously H is diregular. If $dist(u, r(u)) = k + 1$, then each vertex in H must have at least two out-neighbors of order two with respect to φ and thus the statement follows. \square

Theorem 2.1 now follows directly from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

3. Almost Moore digraphs of degree 4 and 5

In this section we will look at almost Moore digraphs of degree 4 and 5 and specify the order of the vertices with respect to the automorphism r .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in V(G)$ be a vertex with $\varphi(u) = u \neq r(u)$, then if H is two isolated vertices or has diameter $k + 1$ we must have two vertices in $N_G^+(u)$ which have order 2 with respect to φ .*

Proof. In G we have two $\leq k$ -paths, P and Q from u to $r(u)$. If H is either two isolated vertices or has diameter $k + 1$, we must have that the internal vertices on P and Q are not in H . Thus, $\varphi(P) = Q$ and $\varphi(Q) = P$, and hence $\varphi^2(v) = v$ and $\varphi(v) \neq v$ for all internal vertices v on P and Q . \square

The following theorem is a more general result than that of [1] and [2].

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be an almost Moore digraph of degree 4, then the vertices of G have orders with respect to the automorphism r according to one of the following:*

- (i) *there are k vertices of order 1 and $M(4, k) - 1 - k$ of order 3, or*
- (ii) *all vertices are of the same order $p \geq 2$.*

Proof. Assume throughout that not all vertices are of the same order. Let u be a vertex of G of the smallest order p with respect to r in G . Let $N^+(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$, then we can split $N^+(u)$ into permutation cycles with respect to r^p in one of the following ways: $(u_1)(u_2)(u_3, u_4)$, $(u_1)(u_2, u_3, u_4)$, (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) or $(u_1, u_2)(u_3, u_4)$. Notice however that the splitting $(u_1)(u_2)(u_3, u_4)$ is not possible, as there according to Theorem 2.1 where $\varphi = r^p$ would exist a $(2, k, -1)$ - or $(2, k, 1)$ -digraph as an induced subdigraph of G , a contradiction to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

First assume there is a vertex u of order 1, thus u is a selfrepeat and hence there are exactly k vertices of order 1 inducing a k -cycle in G . Thus among the above ways of having permutation cycles, the only possibility is $(u_1)(u_2, u_3, u_4)$. Then, all vertices which are not selfrepeats must have order 3 according to Lemma 2.2 by letting $\varphi = r^3$.

Now assume $u \in V(G)$ has the smallest possible order $p \geq 2$, then according to Lemma 3.1 the only possible permutation cycles are $(u_1, u_2)(u_3, u_4)$. In turn, this is only possible if $p = 2$, as there will always be at least p vertices of order p in G .

Thus G will contain $M(4, k) - 3$ vertices of order 4, thus 4 should divide $M(4, k) - 3$. But in fact

$$M(4, k) - 3 \equiv -2 + 4 + 4^2 + \dots + 4^k \equiv 2 \pmod{4},$$

a contradiction. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be an almost Moore digraph of degree 5, then the vertices of G have orders with respect to the automorphism r according to one of the following:*

- (i) *there are $M(3, k) + 1$ vertices of order $p \geq 2$ and $M(5, k) - M(3, k) - 2$ of order $2p$*
- (ii) *there are $k + 2$ vertices of order $p \geq 2$ and $M(5, k) - 3 - k$ of order $2p$*
- (iii) *there are k vertices of order 1 and either $M(5, k) - 1 - k$ of order 2 or $M(5, k) - 1 - k$ of order 4*
- (iv) *all vertices are of the same order $p \geq 2$.*

Proof. Assume throughout that not all vertices are of the same order. Let u be a vertex of G of the smallest order p . Let $N^+(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$, then we can split $N^+(u)$ into permutation cycles with respect to r^p in one of the following ways: $(u_1)(u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$, $(u_1)(u_2)(u_3)(u_4, u_5)$ or $(u_1)(u_2, u_3)(u_4, u_5)$ due to Lemma 3.1 and Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

If the permutation cycles are $(u_1)(u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$, then due to Lemma 3.1 we must have u is a selfrepeat, hence there is k vertices of order 1 and $M(5, k) - k - 1$ of order 4. If instead the permutation cycles are $(u_1)(u_2, u_3)(u_4, u_5)$, then we could have k vertices of order 1 and $M(5, k) - k - 1$ of order 2 or $k + 2$ vertices of order $p \geq 2$ and $M(5, k) - k - 3$ of order $2p$.

Finally, if the permutation cycles are $(u_1)(u_2)(u_3)(u_4, u_5)$, then if $\varphi = r^p$, we would have H to be either a $(3, k, -1)$ -digraph or a $(3, k, 1)$ -digraph. But $(3, k, -1)$ -digraphs do not exist according

to Theorem 1.1, thus we must have $M(3, k) + 1$ vertices of order $p \geq 2$ and $M(5, k) - M(3, k) - 2$ of order $2p$. \square

Acknowledgements

The work was funded by Prof. Carsten Thomassen's Grants 0602-01488B from The Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences.

References

- [1] Edy Tri Baskoro and Amrullah, Almost Moore digraphs of degree 4 without selfrepeats, *Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society (MIHMI)* **8(1)** (2002), 51–60.
- [2] E.T. Baskoro, Y.M. Cholily and M. Miller, Enumerations of vertex orders of almost Moore digraphs with selfrepeats, *Discrete Mathematics* **308** (2008), 123–128.
- [3] Edy Tri Baskoro, Mirka Miller and Ján Plesník, On the structure of digraphs with order close to the Moore bound, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **14(2)** (1998), 109–119.
- [4] Edy Tri Baskoro, Mirka Miller, Ján Plesník and Štefan Znám, Digraphs of degree 3 and order close to the Moore bound, *J. Graph Theory* **20(3)** (1995), 339–349.
- [5] Edy Tri Baskoro, Mirka Miller, Jozef Širáň and Martin Sutton, Complete characterization of almost Moore digraphs of degree three, *J. Graph Theory* **48(2)** (2005), 112–126.
- [6] W.G. Bridges and S. Toueg, On the impossibility of directed Moore graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Series B* **29(3)** (1980), 339–341.
- [7] J. Conde, J. Gimbert, J. González, J.M. Miret and R. Moreno, Nonexistence of almost Moore digraphs of diameter three, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15** (2008), #R87.
- [8] , J. Conde, J. Gimbert, J. González, J.M. Miret and R. Moreno, Nonexistence of almost Moore digraphs of diameter four, *Electron. J. Combin.* **20(1)** (2013), #P75.
- [9] Miguel Angel Fiol, J. Luis A. Yebra, and Ignacio Alegre de Miquel, Line digraph iterations and the (d, k) digraph problem, *IEEE Trans. Computers* **33(5)** (1984), 400–403.
- [10] Mirka Miller and Ivan Fris, Maximum order digraphs for diameter 2 or degree 2, *Pullman Volume of Graphs and Matrices, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **139** (1992), 269–278.
- [11] Mirka Miller, Joan Gimbert, Jozef Širáň and Slamin, Almost Moore digraphs are diregular, *Discrete Mathematics* **218(1-3)** (2000), 265–270.
- [12] J. Plesník and Š. Znám, Strongly geodetic directed graphs, *Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian.*, Math. Publ. **23** (1974), 29–34.
- [13] Anita Abildgaard Sillasen, On k -geodetic digraphs with excess one, *Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications* **2(2)** (2014), 150–159.