

**SCREENING FOR NATURAL PRODUCTS OF *SHOREA* Spp. AND  
*ANISOPTERA* Spp. OF THE FAMILY DIPTEROCARPACEAE  
FROM PASIR MAYANG, JAMBI, SUMATERA**

HILMAN AFFANDI, ARIFNURYADIN, SUSILO B. PRAYOGA  
*SEAMEO BIOTROP, Jl. Raya Tajur Km. 6  
Bogor, Indonesia*

and

ROGER W. READ  
*Department of Organic Chemistry  
The New South Wales University, P.O. Box 1  
Kensington, N.S.W. 2033, Australia*

**ABSTRACT**

Screening of 12 species of *Shorea* and 2 species of *Anisoptera* from the forest of Pasir Mayang, Jambi with brine shrimp (*Anemia salina*) lethality bioassay showed that *Shorea gibosa* and *Anisoptera marginata* have sufficient activity for further investigation. Bioassay-guided fractionation of the active extract of *S. gibosa* led to the isolation of stigmasterol and the shoreaphenol. Bioassay-guided fractionation of the active extract of *A. marginata* resulted in the isolation of lupenone and 3-methoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid O-p-C glucopyranoside as the bioactive compound.

**Key words:** Plant natural products/*Shorea gibosa*/*Anisoptera marginata*/Active extracts/Bioassay/Pasir Mayang.

**INTRODUCTION**

Dipterocarp timbers are well known to resist biological attack from many sources. *Shorea robusta* was shown to be highly resistant to the termites *Microcerotermes besoni* and *Heterotermes indicola* (Sen-Sarma 1963). Particle boards constructed from *Shorea* species are also protected against *Cryptotermes cynocephalus* (Moi 1980). Fresh resin of *Anisoptera thurifera* appears to protect beehives from termites (Messer 1984).

Dipterocarp woods cause substantial mortality to insects feeding on them. Over a three-month test period, termites feeding on *Shorea* species suffered up to 99% mortality, while termites feeding on the nondipterocarp *Dyera costolata* showed only a 13% death rate (Moi 1980). Chemical factors in either resins and bark may also protect dipterocarps from microbial attack. Untreated dipterocarp timbers are reported to be highly resistant to fungal invasion (Bakshi *et al.* 1967), and volatile components of *Hopea papuana* were shown to inhibit fungal growth (Messer 1984). Bacterial growth inhibitors of dipterocarp origin include essential oils of *Valeria indica* (Bhagarva and Chauhan 1968) and stemnopolol and alpha-copalliferol from Sri Lankan dipterocarps (Sootheeswaran *et al.* 1983).

The research described here attempts to identify the active compounds that are responsible for their toxicities against brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*). The crude extracts of the stem bark of twelve species of *Shorea* and two species of *Anisoptera* collected from Pasir Mayang, Jambi, Sumatera were screened with brine shrimp lethality bioassay. Bioassay-guided fractionation of the active extracts led to the isolation of the active substances reported in this paper.

## METHODOLOGY

### General

<sup>1</sup>H n.m.r spectra were recorded at 500 MHz and <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r spectra were measured at 125.6 MHz on a Bruker AM 500 spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV with an A.E.I. MS 12 spectrometer. All measurements were performed at the School of Chemistry, University of New South Wales, Australia.

Initial column chromatographic separations were made on Merck 60 silica gel. Merck Kieselgel 60 F254 was employed for preparative TLC with a 1 mm layer of adsorbent on 20 x 20 cm glass plates and 200 mg of material applied to each plate. Analytical TLC was performed on commercial aluminium-packed Merck Kieselgel 60 F<sub>254</sub> Art. 5554 plates, visualised under UV 254 nm light or by charring after applying a 4% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/MeOH spray.

### Plant material

The stem bark of twelve species of *Shorea* i.e. *S. opalis*, *S. guiso*, *S. selanica*, *S. seminis*, *S. pinanga*, *S. stenoptera* forma, *S. stenoptera* (Burck)., *S. mecistopteryx*, *S. leprosula*, *S. palembanica*, *S. multiflora*, *S. gibosa* and two species of *Anisoptera* namely *A. marginata*, and *A. costata* were collected from Pasir Mayang, Jambi, Sumatera.

### Extraction and isolation

An amount of 20 g of air dried plant materials from each species of *Shorea* and *Anisoptera* was extracted with methanol at room temperature. The crude extracts were evaporated under reduced pressure. Sea water was poured into a small tank and shrimp eggs were added to the tank provided with an aerator. After two days the shrimp eggs hatched and mature as naupili. Each crude extract was weighed (20 mg) and dissolved in dichloromethane (2 ml). From this solution 500, 50, 5 ul were transferred to small petri dishes corresponding to 1000, 100, 10 ug/ml (ppm), respectively. The solvent was evaporated by standing at ambient temperature overnight. After two days (when shrimp larvae are ready), sea water was added to each petri dish, inoculated with 10 shrimp per dish (30 shrimp per dilution), and the

volume was adjusted with sea water to 5 ml/dish. The number of surviving shrimps were recorded after 24 hours. The data were analysed with a Finney computer program to determine  $LC_{50}$  values and 95% confidence intervals (Hostettmann 1991). Each crude extract of the sample was tested in three replicates against a single control. The controls were treated in a similar manner without the presence of crude extracts. The results of the initial screening are compiled in Table 1.

Table 1. Relative toxicity of the crude extracts against brine shrimp at 1000, 100, and 10 ppm

No	Species	$LC_{50}$ (nQ/ml) (c.i. 95%)*
1	<i>Shorea opalis</i>	513
2	<i>Anisoptera marginata</i>	293
3	<i>S. guiso</i>	1114
4	<i>S. selanica</i>	270
5	<i>S. seminis</i>	392.4
6	<i>S. pinanga</i>	717
7	<i>S. stenoptera</i> forma	691
8	<i>S. mec/sfopterix</i>	394.9
9	<i>S. leprosula</i>	259
10	<i>S. stenoptera</i> Burck.	-ve
11	<i>Anisoptera costafa</i>	-ve
12	<i>S. palembanica</i>	882
13	<i>S. multiflora</i>	346
14	<i>S. gibosa</i>	205

c.i. 95% = confidence intervals 95%

-ve = not toxic at a concentration of more than 1000 ppm

The result of the screening indicated that the crude extracts of *Shorea gibosa*, *S. leprosula*, and *S. selanica* with toxicity level ( $LC_{50}$ ) at 205, 259, and 270, respectively, showed significant activity against brine shrimp. Meanwhile among *Anisoptera* spp., *A. marginata* showed sufficient toxicity ( $LC_{50} = 293$ ). In this preliminary work, however only *S. gibosa* and *A. marginata* were chosen for further investigation. Therefore, large-scale extraction and fractionation of both species were carried out in the next phase of the research.

**Extraction and fractionation of *Shorea gibosa*.** The air-dried plant material of *S. gibosa* was extracted with methanol. The crude extracts (100.2 g) were extracted with hexane to remove the hexane-soluble fraction (19.8 g), and the residues were chromatographed by column chromatography (silica gel 60). The initial solvent system used in the column was hexane. The polarity of the solvent was gradually increased by the addition of chloroform into the solvent system until the mixture of hexane and chloroform became 60% in hexane. The solvent system was then changed, initially by adding 5% of methanol into the chloroform until the con-

centration of methanol became 30% in chloroform. The fractions were grouped and monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) to obtain 14 fractions. All fractions, including the hexane fraction, were screened with the brine shrimp lethality bioassay (Table 2).

Table 2. Relative toxicity of the fractions against brine shrimp

Fractions	LC <sub>50</sub> (ng/ml) (c.i.95%)
Fraction 1	-ve
Fraction 2	
Fraction 3	-ve
Fraction 4	
Fraction 5	823
Fraction 6	
Fraction 7	882
Fraction 8	
Fraction 9	226
Fraction 10	
Fraction 11	240
Fraction 12	
Fraction 13	132
Fraction 14	
	127

c.i. 95% = confidence intervals 95%

-ve = not resistant at a concentration of more than

The results showed fraction 9 to be the most toxic fraction, followed by fraction 14, 10, 8, and 7. However, due to time limitation and budgetary constraints only fraction 9 was subjected to further investigation. Therefore, fraction 9 (1.15 g) was purified by preparative TLC, eluted initially with hexane, and later with the mixture of hexane and chloroform (3 : 7) to yield three fractions.

Fraction 1 (0.46 g) consisted of a mixture of 4 - 5 compounds as shown by analytical TLC.

Fraction 2 (0.31 g) was dissolved in a mixture of chloroform and methanol. The mixture was allowed to stand overnight and precipitation occurred. The precipitation was filtered to give solid material as a white amorphous crystal of stigmaterol (0.13 g), m.p. 169°C.

Meanwhile solid material present in fraction 3 (0.22 g) was filtered and recrystallised with chloroform-methanol, afforded shoreaphenol as orange crystalline compounds (13.27 mg; m.p. 240°C).

**Extraction and fractionation of *Anisoptera marginata*.** Air-dried stem bark of *A. marginata* (1.7 kg) were extracted with methanol. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure the crude extract (121.4 g) was extracted with hexane, to remove the hexane-soluble fraction (19.02 g). The remaining crude extract (102.38 g) was subjected to column chromatography (silica gel 60), initially eluted with

chloroform, and later with methanol by increasing the polarity at 30%, 60%, 80% and 100% levels. The fractions were pulled and monitored by analytical TLC to yield 18 fractions. Fraction 1 to 10 were combined, since the components of those fractions were relatively similar judging from analytical TLC. All fractions, including the hexane fraction, were screened with the brine shrimp lethality bioassay (Table 3).

Table 3. Relative toxicity of the fractions against brine shrimp

Fractions	LC <sub>50</sub> (ng/ml) (c.i.95%)
Hexane fraction	-ve
Fraction 1	300
Fraction 12	114
Fraction 13	65
Fraction 14	44
Fraction 15	72
Fraction 16	121
Fraction 17	

c.i. 95% = confidence intervals 95% -ve = not resistant at a concentration of more than 1000 ppm

The results indicated that fraction 14 was most toxic. Fraction 14 (1.43 g) was subjected to preparative TLC, eluted twice initially with hexane followed by 30% chloroform in hexane, to yield fraction 1 (0.87 g), fraction 2 (0.13 g), fraction 3 (0.03 g); and fraction 4 (0.49 g).

Solid material present in fraction 1 was filtered, followed by recrystallisation in chloroform to yield the triterpenoid lupenone as a white crystalline amorph (0.21 g), m.p 160°. Fraction 4 was dissolved in methanol and a crystalline solid was produced upon addition of a few drops of methanol. The solid residue was filtered and recrystallised with a mixture of chloroform and methanol to yield a colorless crystal (0.16 g), m.p. 145°. All fractions, including two compounds isolated from fraction 1 and 2, were tested with the brine shrimp lethality bioassay (Table 4).

Table 4. Relative toxicity of the fractions against brine shrimp


Fractions	LC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml) (c.i. 95%)
Lupenone Fraction 2	-ve -ve -ve
Fraction 3 glue. comp.	7

c.i. 95% = confidence intervals 95%

-ve = not toxic at a concentration of more than 1000 ppm

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Methanolic extract of the stem bark of *S. gibosa*, followed by fractionation by column chromatography and monitored by TLC resulted in 14 fractions. The fractions were screened with brine shrimp lethality bioassay and purification of the active fractions led to the isolation of non-biologically active compound stigmasterol. The structure of stigmasterol was established by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectroscopy (Fig. 1). The <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum showed two methyl singlets,  $\delta$  0.57, 1.01; three methyl doublets,  $\delta$  0.79, 0.84, 1.03; and a methyl triplet,  $\delta$  0.80. Also three proton multiplets corresponding to two internally coupled, trans olefinic protons  $\delta$  5.03 (dd, J 15.1, 8.4 Hz, and 5.15 (dd, J 15.1, 8.4 Hz) and an isolated olefinic proton ( $\delta$  5.18, m) with long range coupling were present, but no other signals occurred at higher chemical shift than 2.5 ppm. The presence of a 1,2-disubstituted and a 1,1,2-trisubstituted olefin was supported by the presence of signals at  $\delta$  117.0 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 138.1 (CH) and 139.5 (C) in the <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r.



**Figure 1.** Structure of chemical constituents isolated from the bark of *Shorea gibosa* (1 and 2) and *Anisoptera marginata* (3 and 4).

spectrum. The presence of a quaternary signal at 8 212.0 also confirmed the occurrence of a carbonyl group in the compound. The structure of stigmasterol was also confirmed by mass spectrometry at  $m/z$  367 (M-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>), 298 (M-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>6</sub>), 271 (M-C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>9</sub>), 269 (M-C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), and comparative melting point analysis. Stigmasterol is widely distributed in the plant kingdom. Application of this compound as therapeutic agents is very limited, although extensive exploratory activities in this area have been underway during recent years (Mahato'ef *al.* 1992).

The solid material produced from fraction 4 of the active fraction was filtered, followed by repeated crystallisation to give an orange crystalline solid of shoreaphenol. The <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectroscopy (Table 5) data revealed the presence of signals at 6 8.61 (2H, br d, J 8.7Hz, H-11 and H-15), 7.84 (2H, br d, J 8,7Hz, H-12 and H-14), 7.74 (2H, br d, J 8.8Hz, H-24 and H-28), and 7.44 (2H, br d, J 8.8Hz, H-25 and H-27). These signals clearly indicated the presence of two p-disubstituted benzene nuclei. The <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum also established signals for four m-coupled proton at 6 8.42 (1H, d, J 2.1Hz, H-3), 8.11 (1H, d, J 2.1Hz, H-5), 7.58 (1H, d, J 2.5Hz, H-19) dan 7.33 (1H, d, J 2.5Hz, H-21). In addition to these signals, five

Table 5. <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectral data of compounds (1) - (4) (ppm in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>OD, and acetone - d<sub>6</sub>)

H	1	2	3	4
H-2	-	-	2.36 - 2.60 m	8.56, brs
H-3	-	8.42 d	-	-
H-4	-	-	-	7.01, s
H-5	-	8.11 d	-	-
H-6	5.45 d	-	-	-
H-7	-	-	-	5.10, d
H-9	-	-	-	3.77, m
H-10	-	-	-	3.44, dt
				5.43, d
H-11	-	8.61 brd	-	3.84, m
				5.62, d
H-12	-	7.86 brd	-	4.11, dd
H-13	-	-	-	3.62, ddt
				4.07, m
				4.87, dd
H-14	-	7.84 brd	-	9.30, brs
H-15	-	8.61 brd	-	3.79, s
H-18	0.57 s	-	-	-
H-19	1.01 t	7.58 d	1.5 - 2.0 m	-
H-21	-	7.33 d	-	-
H-22	5.15 dd	-	-	-
H-23	5.03 dd	-	1.07 s	-
H-24	-	7.74 brd	1.19 s	-
H-25	-	7.44 brd	0.94 s	-
H-26	0.79 d	-	0.97 s	-
H-27	0.84 d	7.43 brd	0.89 s	-
H-28	-	7.76 brd	-	-
H-29	0.80 t	-	4.57 dd, 4.70 d	-

phenolic hydroxyl protons were also discernible in the <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum in the region 7.92 to 7.96.

The <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectra (Table 6) were characteristic of substituted a and (3-carbons of the benzofuran nucleus. Furthermore, the appearance of one proton at 5.98 (8c 54.97, d) clearly suggested the presence of -CH-CO- in the molecule of shoreaphenol. The mass spectrometry data are consistent with the assigned structure *m/z* 466. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectral data and its melting point of shoreaphenol with those reported (Saraswathy *et al.* 1992) suggested close similarity.

The methanolic extract of the bark of the tree of *Anisoptera marginata* was fractionated by column chromatography using silica gel, and monitored with TLC to give 9 fractions. Brine shrimp bioassay of those fractions showed the fraction eluted with chloroform-methanol (8 : 2) to be the most active fraction. The active fraction was subjected by preparative TLC, and the precipitation that occurred in fraction 1

Table 6. <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. chemical shift data of compounds (1) - (4) (ppm in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>OD, and acetone -d<sub>6</sub>)

C	1	2	3	4
1	37.3	199.8, s	39.7	150.9
2	29.7	123.4, s	34.1	148.3
3	140.8	111.2, d	218.4	139.9
4	71.9	155.1, s	47.3	109.0
5	42.3	103.6, s	54.9	115.7
6	121.7	156.9, d	19.6	118.7
7	31.4	122.1, s	33.0	72.3
8	31.9	114.1, s	40.3	-
9	50.3	153.6, s	50.6	81.6
10	36.6	116.5, s	36.9	70.5
11	21.1	127.8, d	21.9	74.1
12	39.8	115.7, d	26.7	79.6
13	42.4	158.3, s	39.5	61.3
14	56.9	116.4, d	41.0	163.1
15	24.4	129.0, d	26.4	59.6
16	28.9	56.0, d	30.1	
17	56.1	129.8, s	44.9	
18	12.1	130.2, s	37.7	
19	19.4	108.8, d	52.5	
20	40.5	154.4, s	150.8	
21	21.3	102.7, d	30.3	
22	138.3	155.3, s	23.2	
23	129.4	135.2, s	26.8	
24	51.3	130.6, d	20.9	
25	32.0	115.4, d	16.3	
26	19.1	158.9, s	15.4	
27	21.1	114.8, d	14.2	
28	25.4	130.3, d	151.1	
29	12.3		101.8	
30			20.7	



was filtered and crystallised with chloroform to yield the terpenoid lupenone as a white crystalline amorph (0.21 g), m.p 160°. The structure of lupenone was established from <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. (Table 5 and 6). The <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectral data indicated the presence of five singlet resonances at  $\delta$  0.89, 0.94, 0.97, 1.07 and 1.19, arising from six methyl groups attached to quaternary carbons. There also appeared one isolated singlet at  $\delta$  1.68 due to a vinylogous methyl group, and the olefinic proton signals at  $\delta$  4.56 (dd, J 2.2, 1.4 Hz) and 4.68 (d, J 2.2 Hz) were consistent with a 1,1-disubstituted alkene, a propenyl group was likely. Low field signals resonance at  $\delta$  3.18 (dd, J 10, 9, 5.3 Hz), 2.38 (dt, J 5.7, 11.1 Hz) and 1.91 (m) occurred, but there were no other olefinic resonances. The n.m.r. signal at  $\delta$  3.18 was suggestive of an axial proton adjacent to a quaternary centre and attached to a carbon bearing hydroxyl group. The <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectrum (Table 5) supported the structural assignment of lupenone. In particular there appeared seven methyl signals, only two olefinic carbon signals  $\delta$  109.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>) and 151.0 (C), and a low field methine resonance ( $\delta$  78.9) for C3. The identity of compound (1) as lupenone was confirmed by melting point and mixed melting point with an authentic sample (Affandi *et al.* 1998).

The solid material produced in fraction 4 was filtered, followed by recrystallisation in methanol to give 3-methoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid O-p-C-glucopyranoside as a colorless crystalline amorph (0.98 g), m.p 145°. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r spectral data of 3-methoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid-O-p-C-glucopyranoside are shown in Table 5 and 6.

The Infrared (IR) spectrum of the compound disclosed the broad absorption at 3600 - 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the carbonyl stretch at 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This is in agreement with the compound being an acid. The <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum of the compound - showed the signals of the following protons (acetone-d<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz): proton in 3-methoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid,  $\delta$  3.79 (3H,s), 7.01(1H, s), 8.56 (1H, br s), 9.30 (1H, br s). Proton in a glucopyranoside ring,  $\delta$  4.11 (1H, dd), 3.77 (1H, m), 3.44 (1H, dt), 3.84 (1H, m), 3.62 and 4.07 (each 1H, ddt), proton in a hydrogen - bonded hydroxyl group,  $\delta$  4.87 (1H, dd), 5.43 (1H, d), 5.62 (1H, d). The <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectrum of the assigned structure indicated the presence of six quaternary carbon signals at  $\delta$  109.0, 115.7, 118.7, 139.9, 148.3, 150.9. Primary carbon signals were obtained at  $\delta$  70.5, 72.3, 74.1, 79.6, 81.6, and 109.0. Signals for a carbon methoxy group at  $\delta$  59.6, carbon methylene at  $\delta$  61.3 and carbon acid at  $\delta$  163.1 also were obtained. Mass spectroscopy confirmed m/z 328 of the molecular formula C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Furthermore, the location of the C-glucoside ring was confirmed by the two dimensional NOE spectroscopy (NOESY) spectrum as described in Figure 2. The long-range C-H correlation spectra with proton detection (HMBC) as described in Figure 3 permits any coupling between OH protons and the carbons to which they are attached, to be detected by the appearance of cross peaks.

Brine shrimp lethality bioassay of this compound showed to be highly toxic against brine shrimp (LC<sub>50</sub> = 7), it means that the compound caused the mortality of




Figure 2. Two dimensional NOE spectroscopy (NOESY) of assigned structure




Figure 3. Two dimensional  $^{13}\text{C}$  -  $^1\text{H}$  correlation spectroscopy (COLOC) of 3-methoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid-o- $\beta$ -c-glucopyranoside

brine shrimp more than 50% at concentration 7 ppm (jig/ml). Future investigations might reveal medicinal or other uses of the compound.

## REFERENCES

- AFFANDI, H., A. NURYADIN, and R.W., READ 1998. Studies on Natural Products of *Albizia* sp., B IOTROPIA, 11, 1 -8.
- BHARGARVCA, A.K., and C.S., CHAUAN. 1968. Antibacterial activity of some essential oils., Indian J. Pharm. 30:151-152.
- BAKSHI, B.K., Y.N., PURI, and S., SINGH. 1967. Natural decay resistance of Indian timbers. I. Introduction and method. II. Resistance of sal (*Shorea robusta* Gaertn.) and teak (*Tectona grandifloris* L.f), Indian For., 93 : 305-328.
- HOSTETTMAN, K., ED., 1991. Method in Plant Biochemistry, Vol. 6: Assays for Bioactivity, Pergamon Press, New York.
- MAHATO, S.B., A.K., NANDY, and G., ROY. 1992. Triterpenoids, Phytochemistry, 31(7), 2199-2249.
- MESSER, A.C., 1984. *Chalicodoma pluto* : The world's largest bee rediscovered living communally in termite nests (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae), J. Kans. Entomol, Soc., 57 : 165-168.
- Moi, L.C., 1980. A new laboratory method for testing the resistance of particle boards to the drywood termite *Cryptotermes cynocephalus*. Malaysian For., 43:350-355.
- SARASWATHY, A., K.K., PURUSHOTHAMAN, A., PATRA, A.K., DEY, and A.B., KUNDU. 1992. Shoreaphenol, A Polyphenol from *Shorea robusta*. Phytochemistry, 31(7), 2561-2562.
- SEN-SARMA, P.K.. 1963. Studies on the natural resistance of timbers to termites, I. Observations on the longevity of the test termite *Heterotermes indicola* Wasm. in the saw dust from forty common Indian timbers. Indian For. Bull. (N.S.) Entomol, 220 : 1-3.
- SOOTHEESWARAN, S., M.V.S., SULTANBAWA, S., SURENDRAKUMAR, and P., BLANDON. 1983. Polyphenols from a dipterocarp species: Copalliferol and stemnoporol. I. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 699-702.