

Load Frequency Control in Four Area Power Systems Using Fuzzy Logic PI Controller

Ch. V. Narasimha Raja

Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Science (affiliated to Andhra University) Sangivalasa, Visakhapatnam 531162, India e-mail: chvnraja.eee@anits.edu.in

Abstract

This paper presents a load frequency control in four area power systems using fuzzy gain scheduling of PI controller is realized. The system simulation is realized by using Matlab/Simulink software. System dynamic performance is observed for conventional PI, fuzzy PI and fuzzy logic controllers.

1. Introduction

In recent years, power systems have more complicated and nonlinear configurations. Many industrial establishments are affected by operating point variations [1]. Electricity sector and end user are concerned about power quality reliability, efficiency and energy future. There are many reasons about increasing concerns on power quality. The microprocessor based equipments and power electronic devices are more sensitive to power quality. On the other hand, an electric network consists of many interconnected subsystems. If a fault occurs in a subsystem, disturbances and interruptions adversely affecting power quality take place in the power system. Any disharmonies between energy generation and demand cause frequency deviations. Thus, significant frequency deviations lead to system blackouts [2].

Power system loads are usually variable so that controller system must be designed to provide power system quality. Interconnected power systems regulate power flows and frequency by means of an automatic generation control (AGC). AGC is a feedback control system adjusting a generator output power to remain defined frequency [3]. AGC comprises a load frequency control (LFC) loop and an automatic voltage regulator (AVR) loop. LFC system provides generator load control via frequency [3]. Zero steady-state errors of frequency deviations and optimal transient behavior are objectives of the LFC in a multi-area interconnected power systems. The aim is a design of feedback controller to realize desired power flow and frequency in multi-area power system. In literature, control strategies based on conventional, fuzzy and neural network controller are proposed [5]. Several authors suggest variable-structure systems, various adaptive control techniques and Riccati equation approach for load a frequency controller design [6, 7].

There are many studies about different control strategies having advantages and disadvantages [1, 2, 5], [8-10]. In Reference [9], a load frequency control using a conventional PID controller is applied and it is emphasized that the controller performance is better that others. However, if a power system structure has nonlinear dynamics and parts, the system operating point varies and conventional controllers needing system model must not be used. In Reference [5], a modified dynamic neural networks controller is proposed. It is determined that the proposed controller offers better performance than conventional neural network controller. In Reference [2], for a single area system and two area interconnected power systems, artificial intelligence techniques are purposed for the automatic generation control and the comparison is performed between intelligent controllers and the conventional PI and PID controllers. In Reference [10], a robust decentralized control strategy is used for Load frequency control for four area power systems to obtain robust stability and better performances. In References [1, 8], power system load frequency control is realized by fuzzy gain scheduling of PI controller.

2. Four-area Power System

Power systems have variable and complicated characteristics and comprise different control parts and also many of the parts are nonlinear [8]. These parts are connected to each other by tie lines and need controllability of frequency and power flow [4]. Interconnected multiple-area power systems can be depicted by using circles. A simplified four area interconnected power system used in this study is shown in Figure 1 [6].



Figure 1. Simplified Interconnected Power System Diagram

In Figure 2, a four-area interconnected system block diagram is depicted. The system frequency deviation Δf_i , the deviation in the tie-line power flow $\Delta P_{tie,i}$, load disturbance ΔP_{Di} . The system parameter values are given in Appendix. The system state-space model can be represented as:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx$$
(1)

Where, system matrix A, input matrix B, state matrix x, control matrix u and output matrix C [8, 11].

$$u = [u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4]^T$$

$$y = [y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4]^T = [\Delta f_1 \Delta f_2 \Delta f_3 \Delta f_4]^T$$

$$x = [\Delta f_1 \Delta P_{T1} \Delta P_{G1} \Delta P_{c1} \Delta P_{tie1}$$

$$\Delta f_2 \Delta P_{T2} \Delta P_{G2} \Delta P_{c2} \Delta P_{tie2}$$

$$\Delta f_3 \Delta P_{T3} \Delta P_{G3} \Delta P_{c3} \Delta P_{tie3}$$

$$\Delta f_4 \Delta P_{T4} \Delta P_{G4} \Delta P_{c4} \Delta P_{tie4}]^T$$

(2)



Figure 2. Blok Diagram of a Four-area Power System

3. Fuzzy Logic Controller

Since power system dynamic characteristics are complex and variable, conventional control methods cannot provide desired results. Intelligent controllers can be replaced with conventional controllers to get fast and good dynamic response in load frequency control problems [12]. If the system robustness and reliability are more important, fuzzy logic controllers can be more useful in solving a wide range of control problems since conventional controllers are slower and also less efficient in nonlinear system applications [8, 14]. Fuzzy logic controller is designed to minimize fluctuation on system outputs [14]. There are many studies on power system with fuzzy logic controller [15-17].

3.1. Fuzzy PI Controller

The block diagram of the fuzzy PI controller is shown in Figure 3. In this figure, e(k) is the error at the kth sample. The change in error is defined as:

$$de(k)=e(k)-e(k-1)$$
 (3)



Figure 3. Fuzzy PI Controller Block Diagram

Figure 5. Fuzzy Inference System for FLC

FLC designed to eliminate the need for continuous operator attention and used automatically to adjust some variables the process variable is kept at the reference value. A FLC consists of three sections namely, fuzzifier, rule base, and defuzzifier as shown in Figure 4, 5 for KP, KI and FLC, respectively.



TABLE I FUZZY RULES DECISION TABLE FOR FLC, FLC1 AND FLC2

		ė				
		NB	NS	ZZ	PS	PB
	NB	S	S	Μ	М	В
	NS	S	Μ	Μ	В	VB
	ZZ	М	Μ	В	VB	VB
e	PS	М	В	VB	VB	VVB
	PB	В	VB	VB	VVB	VVB

Figure 4. Fuzzy Inference System for K_P and K_I

The error, e and change in error, de are inputs of FLC. Two input signals are converted to fuzzy numbers first in fuzzifier using five membership functions: Positive Big (PB), Positive Small (PS), Zero (ZZ), Negative Small (NS), Negative Big (NB), Small (S), Medium (M), Big (B), Very Big (VB) and Very Very Big (VVB). Triangular membership functions are used in this paper since it is easier to intercept membership degrees from a triangle. Then they are used in the rule table shown in Table I to determine the fuzzy number of the compensated output signal. Finally, resultant united fuzzy subsets representing the controller output are converted to the crisp

Load Frequency Control in Four Area Power Systems Using Fuzzy... (Ch. V. Narasimha Raja)

values using the central of area (COA) defuzzifier scheme. The FLC parameters are chosen on the basis of a trial and error study of the control.

The main fuzzy reasoning blocks and the defuzzification process of the FLC used in this study are given in Fig. 6. The FLC used here is developed in Matlab/Simulink environment for multipurpose use as a control tool. With some simple modifications it can be used to control different systems. More detailed information about the Matlab/Simulink modeling of the FLC used here can be found in [18, 19].



Figure 6. Fuzzy Reasoning Representing the Process from Fuzzification to Defuzzification

4. Simulation Results

The system dynamic performance is observed for three different controller structures, PI (Proportional + Integral), Fuzzy PI and Fuzzy controller. The simulation results are shown in Figure 7-10 in this study.

> 0.01 0.00



Figure 7. Dynamic Response of Area 1



Figure 9. Dynamic Response of Area 3





Figure 10. Dynamic Response of Area 4

5. Conclusion

In this paper, a fuzzy logic PI controller is designed for load frequency control of fourarea interconnected power systems. The system dynamic performances are observed via using different controllers.

References

- [1] I Kocaarslan, E Cam. Fuzzy logic controller in interconnected electrical power systems for loadfrequency control. Electrical Power and Energy Systems. 2005; 27(8): 542-549.
- [2] VDM Kumar. Intelligent controllers for automatic generation control. IEEE Region 10 International Conference on Global Connectivity in Energy, Computer, Communication and Control, TENCON '98. 1998; 2: 557-574.
- [3] A Ismail. Improving UAE power systems control performance by using combined LFC and AVR. The Seventh U.A.E. University Research Conference, ENG., 2006; 50-60.
- [4] CS Chang, W Fu. Area load frequency control using fuzzy gain scheduling of PI controllers. Electrical Power and Energy Systems. 1997; 42(2): 145-152.
- [5] K Sabahi, MA Nekoui, M Teshnehlab, M Aliyari, M Mansouri. Load frequency control in interconnected power system using modified dynamic neural networks. Proceedings of the 15th Mediterranean Conference on Control & Automation. T26-011. 2007.
- [6] TC Yang, ZT Ding, H Yu. Decentralised power system load frequency control beyond the limit of diagonal dominance. Electrical Power and Energy Systems. 2002; 24(3): 173-184.
- [7] H Cimen. Decentralised load frequency controller design based on SSVs. Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences. 2000; 8(1): 43-53.
- [8] E Cam, I Kocaarslan. Load frequency control in two area power systems using fuzzy logic controller. Energy Conversion and Management. 2005; 46(2): 233-243.
- [9] A Salami, S Jadid, N Ramezani. The Effect of load frequency controller on load pickup during restoration," 1st International Power and Energy Conference, PECON 2006, 2006; 225-228.
- [10] HS Moghanlou, HA Shayanfar. Robust decentralized LFC design in a restructured power system. International Journal of Emerging Electric Power Systems. 2006; 6(2): Art. 4.
- [11] J Talaq, F Al-Basri. Adaptive fuzzy gain scheduling for load frequency control. IEEE Transaction on Power Systems. 1999; 14(1): 145-150.
- [12] HD Mathur, S Ghosh. A Comprehensive analysis of intelligent controllers for load frequency Control. IEEE Power India Conference 2006. 10.1109/POWERI.2006.1632619.
- [13] CC Lee. Fuzzy logic in control systems: fuzzy logic controller-part I. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man. and Cybernetics. 1990; 20(2): 404-418.
- [14] HD Mathur, HV Manjunath. Study of dynamic performance of thermal units with asynchronous tie-lines using fuzzy based controller. Journal of Electrical Systems. 2007; 3-3: 124-130.
- [15] YH Song, AT Johns. Applications of fuzzy logic in power systems: part 1 general introduction to fuzzy logic. Power Engineering Journal. 1997; 219-222.
- [16] YH Song, AT Johns. Applications of fuzzy logic in power systems: part 2 comparison and integration with expert systems, neural networks and genetic algorithms. Power Engineering Journal. 1998; 185-190.
- [17] YH Song, AT Johns. Applications of fuzzy logic in power systems: part 3 example applications. Power Engineering Journal. 1999; 97-103.
- [18] IH Altas, AM Sharaf. Novel maximum power fuzzy logic controller for photovoltaic solar energy systems. Renewable Energy, 2007, doi:10.10 16/j.renene.2007.03.002, 2007.
- [19] IH Altas, AM Sharaf. A Generalized direct approach for designing fuzzy logic controllers in Matlab/Simulink GUI environment. International Journal of Information Technology and Intelligent Computing, Int. J. IT&IC. 2007; 1(4).

Appendix

Area-1

Tp1=20sec, Kp1=120, TT1=0.3sec, TG1=0.08sec, R1=2.4

Area-2

Tp2=25sec, Kp2=112.5, TT2=0.33sec, TG2=0.072sec, R2=2.7

Area-3

Tp3=20sec, Kp3=125, TT3=0.35sec, TG3=0.07sec, R3=2.5

110 🔳

Area-4

Tp4=15sec, Kp4=115, TT4=0.375sec, TG4=0.085sec, R4=2 T12=T13=T14=T21=T23=T31=T32=T41=0.545 T24=T34=T42=T43=0 K1=K2=K3=K4=0.6 BS1=BS2=BS3=BS4=0.425 a12=a41=a23=a31=-1 KIPI=-0.5, KPPI=0.05

TT: Turbine time constant,	TG: Governor time constant,	Tp:Power system time constant,
R: Regulation parameter,	Kp: Power system gain,	T12: Synchronizing coefficient,
B: Frequency bias parameter,	PD: load disturbance,	Ki: Integration gain