

SOME IDENTITIES INVOLVING MULTIPLICATIVE (GENERALIZED) $(\alpha, 1)$ -DERIVATIONS IN SEMIPRIME RINGS

G. NAGA MALLESWARI¹, S. SREENIVASULU², AND G. SHOBHALATHA¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishnadevaraya University,
Anantapur-515003, malleswari.gn@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Government College (Autonomous),
Anantapur-515001

Abstract. Let R be a semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and α be an automorphism of R . A map $F : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation associated with a map $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. In the present paper, we shall prove that R contains a nonzero central ideal if any one of the following holds: (i) $F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y] = 0$, (ii) $F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y) = 0$, (iii) $F[x, y] = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$, (iv) $F[x, y] = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$, (v) $F(x \circ y) = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$ and (vi) $F(x \circ y) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in I$.

Key words and Phrases: Semiprime rings, Multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivations, Ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be an associative ring with center Z . For any $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ stands for the commutator $xy - yx$ and symbol $x \circ y$ denotes for the anti-commutator $xy + yx$. Recall, a ring R is prime ring if $xRy = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ and R is semiprime ring if $xRx = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Let α and β be automorphisms of R . For any $x, y \in R$, $[x, y]_{\alpha, \beta} = x\alpha(y) - \beta(y)x$ and $(x \circ y)_{\alpha, \beta} = x\alpha(y) + \beta(y)x$. By considering $\beta = 1$, where 1 is an identity mapping on R , we have $[x, y]_{\alpha, 1} = x\alpha(y) - yx$ and $(x \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} = x\alpha(y) + yx$. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. The concept of a derivation was extended to generalized derivation by Bresar [2]. An additive mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N60, 16W25.

Received: 18-03-2021, accepted: 28-10-2021.

Inspired by the work of Martindale III [11], Daif [5] introduced the concept of multiplicative derivations. Accordingly, a map $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a multiplicative derivation of R if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. Of course, these maps are not necessarily additive. Then the complete description of these maps was given by Goldman and Semrl [9]. Further, Daif and Tammam-El-Sayiad [7] extended the notion of multiplicative derivation to multiplicative generalized derivation of R if $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, where d is derivation on R . Recently, the definition of multiplicative generalized derivation was extended to multiplicative (generalized)-derivation by Dhara and Ali [8] as follows: a map $F : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)-derivation if $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, where d can be any map(not necessarily additive nor a derivation).

Chang [4] introduced the notion of a generalized (α, β) -derivation of a ring R and investigated some properties of such derivations. let α, β be mappings of R into itself. An additive mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized (α, β) -derivation of R such that $F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ where α and β are automorphisms on R . A mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -derivation if there exists a map d on R such that $F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Obviously every generalized (α, β) -derivation is a multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -derivation. In 1992, Daif [6], proved a result that if R is a semiprime ring, I be a non-zero ideal of R and d is a derivation of R such that $d([x, y]) = \pm[x, y]$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I \subseteq Z(R)$. Quadri [12] extended the result of Daif by replacing derivation d with a generalized derivation in a prime ring. Recently, shauliang [10] studied the identities related to generalized (α, β) derivation on prime rings. Asma Ali et al.[1] studied the identities related to multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -derivations in semiprime rings. In this line of investigation, in the present paper we shall prove that R contains a non-zero central ideal if any one of the following holds: (i) $F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y] = 0$, (ii) $F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y) = 0$, (iii) $F[x, y] = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$, (iv) $F[x, y] = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$, (v) $F(x \circ y) = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$, (vi) $F(x \circ y) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in I$.

Throughout the present paper, we shall make use of the following basic identities without any specific mention:

- (i) $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$,
- (ii) $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$,
- (iii) $x \circ yz = (x \circ y)z - y[x, z] = y(x \circ z) + [x, y]z$,
- (iv) $xy \circ z = x(y \circ z) - [x, z]y = (x \circ z)y + x[y, z]$,
- (v) $[xy, z]_{\alpha, 1} = x[y, z]_{\alpha, 1} + [x, z]y = x[y, \alpha(z)] + [x, z]_{\alpha, 1}y$,
- (vi) $[x, yz]_{\alpha, 1} = y[x, z]_{\alpha, 1} + [x, y]_{\alpha, 1}\alpha(z)$,
- (vii) $(x \circ (yz))_{\alpha, 1} = (x \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}\alpha(z) - y[x, z]_{\alpha, 1} = y(x \circ z)_{\alpha, 1} + [x, y]_{\alpha, 1}\alpha(z)$,
- (viii) $((xy) \circ z)_{\alpha, 1} = x(y \circ z)_{\alpha, 1} - [x, z]y = (x \circ z)_{\alpha, 1}y + x[y, \alpha(z)]$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In order to prove our main theorems, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. ([13, Lemma 2.1]) *Let R be a semiprime ring and I is a nonzero two sided ideal of R and $a \in R$ such that $axa = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $a = 0$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and α is an automorphism of R . Suppose that F is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on R associated with the map d on R . If $F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y] = 0$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then d is commuting on I .*

PROOF. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.1)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.1), we obtain that

$$F([x, y]x) \pm \alpha([x, y]x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

and so

$$F([x, y])\alpha(x) + [x, y]d(x) \pm \alpha([x, y])\alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$[x, y]d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.2)$$

Replacing y by ry in (2.2), we get

$$r[x, y]d(x) + [x, r]yd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Using (2.2), we obtain

$$[x, r]yd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.3)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.3), we get

$$[x, r]yxd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.4)$$

Right multiplying (2.3) by x , we have

$$[x, r]yd(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.5)$$

Subtracting (2.4) from (2.5), we get

$$[x, r]y[x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Replacing r by $d(x)$ in the last equation, we have

$$[x, d(x)]y[x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

That is

$$[x, d(x)]I[x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

By lemma 2.1, we conclude that $[x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in I$. Therefore d is commuting on I .

Theorem 2.3. *Let R be a semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and α is an automorphism of R . Suppose that F is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on R associated with the map d . If $F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y) = 0$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then d is commuting on I .*

PROOF. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.6)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.6), we obtain that

$$F((x \circ y)x) \pm \alpha((x \circ y)x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

and so

$$F((x \circ y))\alpha(x) + (x \circ y)d(x) \pm \alpha((x \circ y))\alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$(x \circ y)d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.7)$$

Replacing y by ry in (2.7), we find that

$$r(x \circ y)d(x) + [x, r]yd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Using (2.7), we obtain

$$[x, r]yd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.8)$$

Using the same arguments as used in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we get the required result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and α is an automorphism of R . Suppose that F is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on R associated with the map d . If $F[x, y] = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then d is commuting on I .*

PROOF. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F[x, y] = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.9)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.9), we obtain that

$$F([x, y]x) = y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} + [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}\alpha(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

and so

$$F([x, y])\alpha(x) + [x, y]d(x) = y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} + [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}\alpha(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$[x, y]d(x) = y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.10)$$

Replacing y by ry in (2.10), we find that

$$r[x, y]d(x) + [x, r]yd(x) = ry[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Using (2.10), we get

$$[x, r]yd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.11)$$

Using similar argument as used in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we get the required result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and α is an automorphism of R . Suppose that F is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on R associated with the map d . If $F[x, y] = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then d is commuting on I .*

PROOF. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F[x, y] = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.12)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.12), we obtain that

$$F([x, y]x) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) - y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I$$

and so,

$$F([x, y]) \alpha(x) + [x, y] d(x) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) - y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$[x, y] d(x) = -y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.13)$$

Replacing y by ry in (2.13), we get

$$r[x, y] d(x) + [x, r] y d(x) = -ry[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Using (2.13), we get

$$[x, r] y d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.14)$$

Arguing in the similar manner as in Theorem 2.2, we get the result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and α is an automorphism of R . Suppose that F is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on R associated with the map d . If $F(x \circ y) = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then d is commuting on I .*

PROOF. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(x \circ y) = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.15)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.15), we obtain that

$$F((x \circ y)x) = y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} + [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

and so

$$F((x \circ y)) \alpha(x) + (x \circ y) d(x) = y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} + [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$(x \circ y) d(x) = y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.16)$$

Replacing y by ry in (2.16), we get

$$r(x \circ y) d(x) + [x, r] y d(x) = ry[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Using (2.16), we have

$$[x, r] y d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.17)$$

Arguing in the similar manner as in Theorem 2.2, we get the result.

Theorem 2.7. *Let R be a semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R and α is an automorphism of R . Suppose that F is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on R associated with the map d . If $F(x \circ y) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then d is commuting on I .*

PROOF. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(x \circ y) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.18)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.18), we obtain that

$$F((x \circ y)x) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) - y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

and so

$$F((x \circ y)) \alpha(x) + (x \circ y) d(x) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) - y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$(x \circ y) d(x) = -y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.19)$$

Replacing y by ry in (2.19), we find that

$$r(x \circ y) d(x) + [x, r] y d(x) = -ry[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Using (2.19), we have

$$[x, r] y d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R. \quad (2.20)$$

Arguing in the similar manner as in Theorem 2.2, we get the result.

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be a semiprime ring. Suppose that F, d is a multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation of R . If any one of the following holds:*

- (i) $F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y] = 0$
- (ii) $F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y) = 0$
- (iii) $F[x, y] = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$
- (iv) $F[x, y] = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$
- (v) $F(x \circ y) = [F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$
- (vi) $F(x \circ y) = (F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \forall x, y \in R$

then d is commuting on R .

3. EXAMPLE

In this, we construct an example to the condition (i) of corollary 2.8 so that the semiprimeness condition of the ring is essential.

Example 1. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integers and $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$, $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Let us define $F, d, \alpha : R \rightarrow R$ by $F \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$, $d \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to verify that I is an ideal on R , F is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation associated with the map d , α is an automorphism on R . We see that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, but $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is nonzero element of R . It implies that R is not semiprime.

Acknowledgement. The authors are very thankful to the referees for his/her careful reading of the paper and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, A. and Bano, A., "Identities with Multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -derivations in semiprime rings", *Int. J. Math. and Appl.*, **6(4)**, (2018), 195-202.
- [2] Bresar, M., "On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations", *Glasgow Math. J.*, **33**, (1991), 89-93.
- [3] Bell, H. E., Martindale III, W. S., "Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings", *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **30(1)**, (1987), 92-101.
- [4] Chang, J. C., "On the identity $h(x) = af(x) + g(x)b$ ", *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **7(1)**, (2003), 103-113.
- [5] Daif, M. N., "When is a Multiplicative derivation additive?", *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, **14(3)**, (1991), 615-618.
- [6] Daif, M. N., Bell, H. E., "Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings", *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, **15(1)**, (1992), 205-206.
- [7] Daif, M. N and Tammam-El-Sayaid, M. S., "Multiplicative generalized derivation which are additive", *East-West J. Math.*, **9(1)**, (1997), 31-37.
- [8] Dhara, B and Ali. S., "on Multiplicative (generalized)-derivations in prime and semiprime rings", *A equat. Math.*, **86(1)**, (2013), 65-79.
- [9] Goldman, H and Semrl, P., "Multiplicative derivations on $C(x)$ ", *Monatsh. Math.*, **121(3)**, (1996), 189-197.
- [10] Huang, S., "Notes on commutativity of prime rings", *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, ICAA.*, **174**, (2016), 75-80.
- [11] Martindale III, W. S., "When are multiplicative maps additive", *proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **21**, (1969), 695-698.
- [12] Quadri, M. A, Khan. M. S, Rehman. N., "Generalized derivations and commutativity of prime rings", *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **34(9)**, (2003), 1393-1396.

- [13] Samman, M. S, Thaheem, A. B, "Derivations on semiprime rings", *Int. J. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **5(4)**, (2003), 465-472.
- [14] Tamam-El-sayiad, M. S, Daif, M. N. and Filippis, V. D., "Multiplicativity of left centralizers forcing additivity", *Boc. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, **32(1)**, (2014), 61-69.