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ARTICLE INFORMATION

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to describe ways of conceptualizing the Kazakh language from the perspectives of the representatives of the Kazakh nation. Language is a very important phenomenon for the people, which reflects their national characteristics. Of great interest is the conceptualization of the Kazakh language by representatives of the Kazakh linguoculture in the context of the situation when public opinion recognizes its position in general and its status as unsatisfactory rather than satisfactory. A special contribution of the author to the study of the topic is to conduct a free-associative experiment among native speakers of the Kazakh language on the stimulus-word “қазақ тілі”. The novelty of the study lies in the fact that the obtained associative data were distributed according to the frequency criterion with subsequent modeling of the associative field and its cognitive structure. A free associative experiment was carried out among the native speakers of the Kazakh language. The results of the study demonstrate that the Kazakh language reflects the origins of the nation, its history and customs. The native language for the Kazakh ethnic group is associated with Motherland, pride and patriotism. Analysis of proverbs, sayings and literary contexts have shown that the Kazakh language is very rich and actualizes the beginning of upbringing and morality.
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1. Introduction

The central topics of inquiry in modern linguistics (such as psycholinguistics, cultural linguistics, and sociolinguistics) are linguistic consciousness and the image of the world (Kuzembayeva et al., 2019: 30). They cover studies of units of language and linguistic structures, in which their psychologically real content is revealed, that is, in what real, “psychologically authentic” set of semantic components a certain meaning exists in the consciousness of an ethnos and is stored in its linguistic memory; how the separate meaningful components which make up a word semantics are related in terms of “brightness” and relevance, what real semantic connections of words and structures are in the language memory of a person (Popova & Sternin, 2001). Psycholinguistic meaning is usually broader and more voluminous than its lexicographic correlate (which, as a rule, is entirely included in the psycholinguistic meaning, although its components may occupy different places in the psycholinguistic meaning in terms of brightness) (Sternin & Rudakova, 2011). In this respect, Russian psycholinguists have used the associative method to investigate the so-called cultural specificity of linguistic consciousness (Pax et al, 2019: 11). The method of associative experiment based on verbal associations of subjects participating in the investigation is considered to be effective since it reveals the peculiarities of the national, linguistic awareness of people, i.e. connections of words in their consciousness, their semantic and hierarchical subordination, the brightness of certain components of the meaning of the stimulus word, their axiological features in the society (Mukhametzyanova & Shayakhmetova, 2014). Moreover, it allows revealing mental images of the external world inherent in representatives of a certain ethnic group (Kuzembayeva et al, 2019: 31).
Thus, the data obtained by means of a free associative experiment can be interpreted as a consciousness reflection of representatives from different cultures and will reveal characteristics of linguistic consciousness and the national and cultural specificity of a particular ethos.

The aim of the study is to describe ways of conceptualizing native language by representatives of the Kazakh ethnos, modeling the associative field “қазақ тілі” (the Kazakh language) in the Kazakh linguistic culture, and identifying peculiarities in the conceptualization of the native language among speakers of the Kazakh language. The importance of the study is justified as the chosen concept қазақ тілі, being the micromodel of the national cognitive mentality, serves as a tool for revealing national specifics in the minds of native speakers of a given ethnic group. A nation is often associated with its language, a very important phenomenon for the people, which reflects their national characteristics. On the one hand, it serves as one of the constants that exist in the minds of native speakers of the Kazakh language; on the other hand, it is characterized by quite rich multilateral features in terms of its content.

2. Linguistic analysis of the concept the Kazakh Language

Attempts to probe into the concept of Russian and other languages have been repeatedly made in national science (Shushakova, 2012; Tavgiridze, 2005; Musina, 2008), but no studies were conducted on the concept of the Kazakh language.  In the Dictionary of the Kazakh Literary Language (2011) the lexeme “қазақ тілі” has the following meanings: 1) түркі тілдерінің құрылығында жататын әдеби тіл; біртұтас өзінің құрылығында қалыптасқан қазіргі қазақ тілінің ұлттық тілі, Қазақстан Республикасының өмір сәттілігінің тілі (literary language belonging to the Kipchak group of Turkic languages is the national language of modern Kazakh people formed based on the popular language of oral literature, the state language of the Republic of Kazakhstan (translated by authors);

2) қазақ тілінің құрылығына енгізіп ру-тайпалар тілдерінің құрылығында XIV - XV ғасырларда қалыптасып, біртұтас қалыптасып, тіл тілдерінің құрылығында қалыптасқан тіл; түркі тілдерінің кәсіби құрылығында қалыптасқан тіл, қазақ тілінің құрылығында қалыптасқан тіл, “қазақ тілі” – өзінің құрылығында қалыптасқан тіл (language of the tribes that became part of the Kazakh people was formed in XIV-XV centuries and became a single national language, on this day, one of the richest and most developed national languages among related Turkic languages; national language, fully retaining its integrity, despite some local; a literary language that has entered a certain norm, has a tradition of writing, a style system (translated by authors). From the analysis of the dictionary definition, we can assume that the Kazakh language, based on the tribal languages that became part of the Kazakh people, was formed in the XIV-XV centuries and became a single folk language, one of the richest and most developed languages of the Turkic peoples today.

Proverbs, being a special type of linguistic and cultural text characterized by markers of the community, value-semantic, associative and expressive content, reflect national cultural information differently. They include specific and familiar images, which in the process of use and rethinking acquire a deep generalization. In ethnocultural metaphors, there are ideas about a phenomenon for the people, which reflects their national characteristics. On the one hand, it serves as one of the constants that exist in the minds of native speakers of the Kazakh language; on the other hand, it is characterized by quite rich multilateral features in terms of its content.

The Kazakh language is an immense treasure, an immense world (translated by the authors).
Respect for the native language is equivalent to respect for the country because the language is sacred for every person (translated by the authors).

Prominent Kazakh writers dreamt that one day the Kazakh language would take an important role among the Turkic world: 
Күндерде бір күн түрік балаларының тілі біріксе, ол біріккен тілдің негізі қазақ тілі болса, ес жоқ, түрік елінің келесі тарихында қазақ улты тәрізден орын алатыны (М. Жұмабаев "Педагогика", 1166) / If one day the language of the Turkic children unites, and the Kazakh language becomes the basis of a single language, of course, in the future history of the Turkic people, the Kazakh people will take its respected place (translated by the authors).

The Kazakh language is rich. It covers a wide range of science, industry, social and political life: Осының өзіңізге, қазақ тілінің өзінен жетеді, білдігіңіз өзіңізге, қазақ тілін өзіңізге, оның тәрізділігі өзіңізге, қазақ тілінің өзінен өзіңізге, оның тәрізділігі өзіңізге (Ғ. Мүсірепов Таңдамалы шығармалар, 542 б) / The language grows by speaking, reading and learning, writing and drawing. Not paying attention to any of these components is the biggest crime. It should be noted that every nation can freely understand the subtleties of ethics and aesthetics only in its language (translated by the authors). Every nation has its native language inherited from our ancestors. We see the importance of the mother tongue. Kazakh is one of the most developed, mature, rich, and flexible languages. This is the image of the people, the nation, the blood of our ancestors. But even though Kazakhstan is an independent country, the representatives of the Kazakh nation cannot achieve significant success in terms of speaking the native language. The language problem in modern Kazakh society starts with ignorance towards the language. The creator and developer of the language are the people themselves. There is no future for a nation that does not preserve its native language: Ана тілі дегеніміз – сол тілді жасаган, жасап келе жатқан халықтың, баянысың дә, бүгінгісің дә, болашагың дә танытатын, сол халықтың мәңгілігінің мәңгілік мәселесі. Ана тілін тек ведей ұлдары ғана менсінбейді, ведей ұлдары ғана акқа басады (Ғ. Мүсірепов Таңдамалы шығармалар, 542 б) / The native language is the eternal problem of the eternity of people who created and continue to create this language, both in the past and in the present and the future (translated by the authors).

In the Kazakh family, when the child begins to speak clearly, special attention is paid to the correct expression of kinship terms, family genealogy, and people of the country. Our ancestors believed that knowledge of the history of origin contributes to forming the civil and patriotic qualities in a child. So language is the beginning of upbringing: Тәрбиелен қызметінің мәңгілік қорлығы. / Upbringing begins with language (translated by the authors); әдет пен адамгершілік ана тілінен нәр алады. / Etiquette and morality are rooted in your native language (translated by the authors). Identity as a nation, a country is preserved when a nation is able to realize it through the language.

Language is the best medium for establishing friendship and harmony. The more languages people learn, the more they know. No language of the world is aimed at destruction, enmity, hatred. The functions of language are communication, cognition, friendship, and consent: Тіл – достықтық алының құпірі / Language is the golden bridge of friendship (translated by the authors).

Currently, in the context of the Policy of Trilingualism in the Republic of Kazakhstan, speaking one language is not enough. Language learning can take place in a wide variety of situations. For example, colonized countries had to speak two or three languages: Қазақ тілінің құлымында екінші тілді айту, қазақ тілінің құлымында екінші тілді айту; Қазақ тілінің құлымында екінші тілді айту, қазақ тілінің құлымында екінші тілді айту / If knowing a single international language while traveling abroad is a great insult. In Asian-African countries, most of which have been colonized before yesterday, English and French are their languages; Қазақ тілінің құлымында екінші тілді айту, қазақ тілінің құлымында екінші тілді айту / The native language of every nation is the key to education. Having analyzed the proverbs and sayings, we can assume that the Kazakh language is considered the most important cultural value of the nation. By its means, knowledge is passed from one to another.
and preserved for future generations. It is necessary to preserve the native language to keep to the national culture and traditions.

3. Methodology
The free associative experiment, being one of the ways to identify the associative fields of cultural and national images represented in the language picture of the world, was used in our study. Students from K. Zhubanov Aktobe Regional University and West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov Medical University (Aktobe, the Republic of Kazakhstan) took part in the free-associative experiment. The respondents comply with people from Uralsk, Atyrau, Aktau, Kyzylorda, and Aktobe cities studying at the universities mentioned above. The total number of respondents is 219 people aged 17-23 years, of which 86 respondents are males and 133 are females. The stimulus “қазақ тілі” was offered and the respondents issued in writing the first associations with it that came to mind. The number of reactions was not limited.

Data obtained from a free associative experiment was comprehensively analyzed by defining the frequency ratio of reactions, i.e. lexical associations, and determining the core-periphery relationship between them. By cognitive analysis of the associative field “қазақ тілі” in the Kazakh language, semantic zones in its structure were distinguished.

4. Results and Discussion
In the course of the free-associative experiment, 746 associative reactions were received, of which 47 were different. Based on the frequency of lexical associations, the core (identified by reactions from more than 40 respondents), the near periphery (20-40), the far periphery (10-20), and the outer periphery (<10) were determined (see Table 1).

Table 1: The associative field of the stimulus қазақ тілі in the Kazakh linguistic consciousness

| Core | ана тілі (mother tongue) 82, Абай (Abay) 47, қазақ ұлы (kazakh nationality) 44, Отан (homeland) 45, Қазақстан (Kazakhstan) 43, |
| Near periphery | мемлекеттік тіл (state language) 29, дәстүр (tradition) 28, аліпби (alphabet) 25, тарих (history) 23, тауелсіздік (independence) 22, ақындар (poets) 16, ұлт (nationality) 16, алаш (alash) 14, тәрбие (upbringing) 14, ата-ана (parents) 12, бай (rich) 13, отбасы (family) 12, рух (spirit) 12, Ахмет Байтұрсынов 11, ғрамматика (grammar) 11, мұра (heritage) 11, түркі тілдері (turkic languages) 11, болашақ (future) 10, абырой (reputation) 10, ана (mother) 10, |
| Far periphery | мақтаныш (pride) 19, құдайлық (value) 18, шекіре (genealogy) 17, ақындар (poets) 16, ұлт (nationality) 16, алаш (alash) 14, тәрбие (upbringing) 14, ата-ана (parents) 12, бай (rich) 13, отбасы (family) 12, рух (spirit) 12, Ахмет Байтұрсынов 11, ғрамматика (grammar) 11, мұра (heritage) 11, түркі тілдері (turkic languages) 11, болашақ (future) 10, абырой (reputation) 10, ана (mother) 10, |
| Outer periphery | ережелер (rules) 9, қітап (book) 9, шешендік (oratory) 9, қарапайым (simple) 7, латын (latin) 7, коммуникация (communication) 6, мейірімділік (kindness) 5, Шакарим (Shakarim) 5, ақындар (poets) 4, қызылорда (Kyzylorda) 4, саясат (politics) 2, тәрізді (beautiful) 2, ағын (aitys) 1, таза (clear) 1, оралымды (eloquent) 1, |

Source: authors

As a result of the cognitive analysis of the associative field “қазақ тілі” in the Kazakh language the following semantic zones we distinguished, the order of the components was determined by their number. Associations in semantic zones are given in decreasing order of their frequency:

Language (201 – 26.94%): ‘Ана тілі’ mother tongue (10.99%), ‘мемлекеттік тіл’ state language (3.89%), ‘аліпби’ alphabet (3.35%), ‘әдебиет’ literature (2.8%), ‘ғрамматика’ grammar (1.5%), ‘түркі тілдері’ Turkic languages (1.5%), ‘қітап’ book (1.2%), ‘латын’ Latin (0.9%), ‘коммуникация’ communication (0.8%);

Nation and country (155 – 20.8%): ‘Отан’ homeland (6.03%), ‘қазақ ұлы’ Kazakh nationality (5.9%), ‘Қазақстан’ Kazakhstan (5.8%), ‘ұлт’ nationality (2.14%) Қызылорда’ ‘етнос’ ethnos (0.5%), Кызылорда (0.4%);

History and ideals (132 – 17.73%): ‘тәрізді’ history (3.08%), ‘тауелсіздік’ independence (2.95%), ‘патриотизм’ patriotism (2.82%), ‘шекіре’ genealogy (2.28%), ‘Алаш’ Alash (1.88%), ‘рух’ spirit (1.6%), ‘мура’ heritage (1.48%), ‘болашақ’ future (1.34%), ‘саясат’ politics (0.27%);

Kazakh poets and writers (89 – 11.93%): ‘Абай’ Abay (6.3%), ‘қарапайым’ poets (2.14%), ‘Ахмет Байтұрсынов’ Akhmet Baitursynov (1.5%), ‘шешендік’ oratory (1.2%), ‘Шакарим’ Shakarim (0.67%), ‘айтыс’ aitys (0.13%);

Axiological notions (79 – 10.59%): ‘мақтаныш’ pride (2.55%), ‘құдайлық’ value (2.41%), ‘бай’ rich (1.74%), ‘абырой’ reputation (1.34%), ‘қарапайым’ simple (0.94%); адеңі ‘beautiful (0.54%), ‘құндылық’ complicated (0.54%), ‘тәрізді’ deep (0.27%), ‘таза’ clear (0.13%), ‘оралымды’ eloquent (0.13%);

Norms (51 – 6.84%): ‘дәстүр’ tradition (3.75%), ‘тәрізді’ upbringing (1.88%), ‘ережелер’ rules (1.2%);

Family (34 – 4.56%): ‘ата-ана’ parents (1.6%), ‘отбасы’ family (1.6%), ‘ана’ mother (1.34%);

Morals (5 – 0.67%): ‘мейірімділік’ kindness (0.67%).
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Semantic zones that were formed include language (26.94%), nation and country (20.8%), history and ideals (17.73%), Kazakh poets and writers (11.93%), axiological notions (10.59%), norms (6.84%), family (4.56%), morals (0.67%). It follows from the obtained data that the Kazakh language in the Kazakh linguistic consciousness is objectified, first of all, by the mother tongue (10.99%), Abay (6.3%), homeland (6.03%) and the Kazakh nationality (5.9%). In addition, the native language, according to the associative reactions of the Kazakh respondents, actualizes Kazakhstan (5.8%), state language (3.9%), tradition (3.8%), alphabet (3.6%), history (3.1%), independence (2.95%), literature (2.8%) and patriotism (2.8%).

5. Conclusion
This psycholinguistic (free-associative) experiment aimed at describing the concept of the native language in the minds of the Kazakh native speakers is a valuable source of information and an effective tool for determining the content of concepts in the linguistic consciousness of the ethnus. It is also efficient in studying the ethnic specificity of nations, contributing to understanding and successful intercultural interaction between representatives of different linguocultures.

The associative experiment showed that ‘the Kazakh language’ has an extensive associative field (746 reactions), which proves its importance for the Kazakh linguistic consciousness. The associative field is divided into meaningful zones without the remainder and forms 8 semantic zones: language, nation and country, history and ideals, Kazakh poets and writers, axiological notions, norms, family and morals. These semantic zones characterize various aspects of understanding the Kazakh language by representatives of the Kazakh ethnus. The most voluminous semantic zone in the associative field is the group that includes features of language in general (26.94%), nation / country (20.8%) and history / ideals (17.73%). The most prominent components of this zone is mother tongue (10.99%), state language (3.89%), alphabet (3.35%), literature (2.8%), homeland (6.03%), Kazakh nationality (5.9%), Kazakhstan (5.8%), history (3.08%), independence (2.95%), patriotism (2.82%).

Thus, the Kazakh language in linguistic consciousness of the native speakers is, in the first place, objectified by the mother tongue which is a state language, Kazakh nation and country, its history, independence and literature. The limitations of the study are connected with the geographic scope of participants. The survey respondents represented the western part of the Republic of Kazakhstan, and the limited ability to gain access to the citizens of other regions might have had its impact on the study results in describing the linguistic consciousness of the Kazakhs in general. We believe that a further comparative research of representatives of different parts of the country and a cross-cultural study of language conceptualizations of other nations has great prospects for scientific research.
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