Revising Syntactic Interference on Conversation Scripts by Contrastive Analysis Teaching Method

Ida Ayu Putri Gita Ardiantari

idaayupugitaa@unmas.ac.id
Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar

Abstract

This study is a research to the third semester of DIV Midwifery students of Kartini Polytechnique Denpasar on Bahasa Inggris I class. The purpose of this research are (1) to identify syntactic interference of Indonesian Language into English found in conversation scripts written by the third semester of DIV Midwifery students and (2) to investigate the quality of English conversation scripts after the application of contrastive analysis method. The data of this study were taken from English conversation scripts written by the third semester of DIV Midwifery students of Kartini Polytechnique. The interview and observation method also used in collecting the data of this research to find out the students understanding in English syntactic structure. The data were grouped, tabulated and analyzed through sociolinguistic theory of interference that supported by Surface Strategy Taxonomy as well, and the Contrastive Analysis Hypothesis. Based on the analysis, the syntactic interferences found are in level of phrase, clause and sentence; and the error caused by omission, addition, and mis-formation. The interview and observation method showed the increase of the student understanding in English syntactic structure after the application of contrastive analysis method that effect the better quality of English conversation scripts.

Keywords: syntactic interference, conversation script, contrastive analysis method

INTRODUCTION

Language is used as a mean to convey the process of communication. It conveys ideas, feeling, and also emotion. English is most hunted foreign language to be learned by Indonesian citizens since it becomes global language for communication. As a result, many people can communicate in English with people all around the word. The Indonesian citizen, as the example, in today's multilingual situation can communicate in English and other foreign language beside their vernacular language and Indonesian Language.

Many people can communicate in English but are not able to communicate in correct English language structure. The learning and acquisition process of English as a foreign language results a trend for most bilingual or multilingual learners to use habit from their native languages or mother tongues for producing the linguistic aspects of the foreign language. Learners tend to use one of the linguistic elements of the native language to act in English. This tendency is known as language interference.

It is well said by Bardovi-Harlig (2018: 1) in his writing, more or less, that language interference is considered as one of error sources (negative transfer), although where the relevant feature of both languages is the same it results in correct language production (positive transfer). It can result in a negative transfer which occurs when speakers and writers transfer linguistic

feature that are not the same in both language, such as grammatical form, lexical element, syntax and morphological element.

Along with the development of science and technology in this modern communication behavior, the phenomenon of language interference, especially Indonesian Language into English,is increasingly occurred. Research in the field of sociolinguistics especially on language interference in foreign language learning process is interesting to do. The research done by Suastini (2019) discuss language interference of English into Indonesia Language found in Indonesian magazine. It showed the transfers occurs on English lexical features. Other research is done by Galasso (2002) which discuss Spanish interference in English second language acquisition. It showed where and how such L1 Spanish Parameter Settings might interfere with the learning of L2. In addition, the use of contrastive analysis in teaching English as a foreign language was discussed by Okičić (2014). The author showed the grammar teaching supported by the presentation of relevant contrastive rules has proved to be an efficient learning technique in terms of reducing errors and improving both grammar and translation competence of non-native learners.

This research concern on the interference of Indonesian Language into English as well. It studies the language interference found in writing. Language interference can clearly identifiable on a writing piece, one of them is in a conversation script. A script is a message or set of previously stored messages, or previously written phrases or sentences that provide a direction in a specific conversation. The scripts can include topics to start a conversation, content of a topic, answers to questions and ideas that can connect the conversations. Writing a conversation script is easy but also hard to do. It is easy that the writer has to follow the rule in writing the script. However, it will become hard if the writer needs to deal with the language used, especially if it uses foreign language. Moreover, it can contain linguistic errors if the writer is new learner of that foreign language or a pre-intermediate student that surely cause language interference if he transforms his language feature in to the target language.

English conversation scripts written by midwifery students taken as data in this research show the syntactic interference of Indonesian language into English. There are some transfers of linguistic features found on the scripts. It might be because of the lack of understanding in English language syntax. Therefore, a suitable teaching and learning method is needed. The one that appropriate with this case is the contrastive analysis method.

Based on the phenomenon and background of this study, the research problems that arise are (1) what are the syntactic interference of Indonesian Language into English found in conversation scripts written by the third semester of DIV Midwifery students? and (2) How is the quality of English conversation scripts after the application of contrastive analysis method?

METHOD

It is a descriptive qualitative research which describes language phenomenon as the object of study. The data were taken from English conversation scripts written by the third semester of Midwifery students of Kartini Polytechnique. There are fifteen English conversation scripts. The students write a conversation script in pair about handling a patient in checking common health problem. The conversation is between health assistant and patient. This data is hereinafter referred to script 1. The data were collected by scanning and note taking technique. Indonesian syntactical interference in those scripts is the focus of the analysis. The collected data were analyzed based on the theory of sociolinguistic that focuses on language interference.

Moreover, having the result of the analysis, the contrastive analysis method is applied in teaching learning process. To find the success of this method, the students were asked to write a

conversation scripts in pair about handling a new patient in checking pregnancy. The conversation is between midwife and a patient. This data is hereinafter referred to script 2. The data were collected by scanning and note taking technique. The analysis concern on the Indonesian syntactical interference in the scripts. The collected data were analyzed based on the theory of sociolinguistic that focuses on language interference. The result of the analysis then compares with the previous interference data.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

Interference can occur in many language components intensity. Chaer and Agustina (2010:122) said there are three main elements in language interference. It happens in three branches of linguistic: interference in the field of phonology, morphology (lexical interference), and syntax. This research focused on syntactic interference. Syntactic interference is associated with errors in grammar which occur in student's translation. Suwito (1983:56) said, interference occurs when the syntactic structure of a language is absorbed by the other language. Since this interference relate to grammatical errors, this research also used theory of Surface Strategy Taxonomy to support the analysis in finding error and to correct it into the right expression in English.

Theory of Surface Strategy Taxonomy explore the changes of sentence's surface structures. This theory examines errors on components of sentence as a result of omit, add, mis-form, or even mis-order by the learner. Rusminto (2011: 26) classified the errors into four: (1) omission, which is an error distinguished by the void of an element have to be formed in written or spoken language expression; (2) addition, that characterized by the presence of a language component that have to be not exist in a language expression; (3) mis-formation that marked by the wrong morpheme used or incorrect structure; and (4) mis-ordering, an error that can be identified by incorrect morpheme or groups of morpheme placement in an utterance.

James (1980), on his book, propose this theory clearly. (1) Omission arises by abstain certain elements that have to be appear in a well-performed utterance. For instance, words divided into two classes, those are the content word such as noun, verb, adjective, and adverb; and the grammatical word as noun and verb inflections, articles, auxiliaries, and prepositions. James in David (2005) said that language learners generally omit grammatical morpheme much more frequently than content word;

(2) Addition Errors, opposite the omission, characterized by the presence of elements that should not be emerged in a well-performed utterance. The existence of this error mostly in learner's second language acquisition. As proof of this error are the regularizations, double markings, and simple addition in a language expression;

(3) Mis-formation is distinguished by the use of incorrect from of a structure or morpheme. This error is divided into three type. First, regularization that define as error in ignoring exception and dissemination rules. Here, the language learner unfollowed the rules of verb transformation and the use of countable and uncountable noun. Second, archi-form that means error in choosing one form of word class to substitute and express something else on the class. The last is alternating form, which related to archi-form where it provides quite free and incorrect alternation form use in sentence;

(4) Misording errors define as the incorrect morpheme placement in an utterance. This error systematically arises in acquired constructions for first and second language learning; and

(5) Blending which also identified as contamination error, cross-association error and(or) hybridization error. This error is error that combine or mix (a substance) with another so that its

component parts cannot be distinguished from one another. It characterized by the production of a non-grammatical blend as a consequent of the combination of two alternative grammatical forms with each other.

This research found that the syntactic interferences on script 1 occur in two level of language components. Those are the syntactic interference in the level of clause and in the level sentence. Based on the error, there are the omission, and misinformation error found on the scripts. The data presented below are in the form of conversation in order to know the context of talk. P refers to patient and HA refers to health assistant. The analysis of this study will be as follow.

1   P: I want to check my health
        HA: Oh yeah. Before what is your name?
        The syntactic interference found on the script above is on the level of sentence. The interference is on "Before what is your name?". Based on the context, this sentence is not asking about the previous name of the second speaker but the first speaker (HA) need to know the name of the second speaker (P) before M check the patient up. Natives English in their communication are not use that expression in asking name or someone identity. This interference occurs might be because of the habit in Indonesian Language used by the speaker. If it is transferred into Indonesian can be "Sebelumnya siapakah namamu?". Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to addition error. The addition here is word "before". The correct expression in English that fit with the context is just "What is your name?" or can be replace by "May I know your name?"

2   HA: Is there anything unclear that need to be asked?
        P: No, Mam. Thank you. I will excuse myself.
        The syntactic interference found on the script is on the level of sentence. The interference is on "I will excuse myself". There is no a kind expression in English like that interference. Based on the theory of Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to addition error. On that theory, this error can be examined in the learner's first and also second language expression. This interference occurs might be because of the influence of the learner's habit in Indonesian Language. In Indonesian can be said as "saya permisi/ saya pamit". This interference can be corrected by saying "Good bye".

3   HA: What's your name and how old you?
        P: My name is Meida. I am 21 years old.
        The syntactic interference found on the script is on the level of kalimat. The interference is on "how old you?". It occurs in forming a nominal sentence. In Indonesian it is "berapa umurmu?" The to be is needed in forming that sentence. The sentence should be "how old are you?". Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to omission error. The omission here is word to be "are" since the subject "you".

4   HA: Where you're come from?
        P: I'm from Australia
        The syntactic interference found on the script is on the level of sentence. The interference is on "Where you're come from?". The interference occurred effected by because the structure of Indonesian sentence. The direct translation of that sentence into Indonesian is "Dari mana kamu berasal?" Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to omission error, addition error

and mis-formation error. The omission error can be found by the missing of auxiliary verb "do" on that sentence. The addition error can be seen on the adding of shortened to be in the sentence "-'re" on clause "you're". To be on that sentence is not required because there is verb "come". The auxiliary verb that needed is "do" not "are". The misformation error can be found on misformating the interrogative sentence. The sentence is interrogative one, so the auxiliary verb should be placed before subject "you" not after it. Therefore, based on the analysis, the correct sentence should be "Where do you come from?".

5   HA: What's your marital status?
       P: I married and I have one son.
       The syntactic interference found on the script is on the level of clause. The interference is on clause "I married". The interference occurs by the effect of Indonesian sentence structure. The sentence in Indonesia is "saya menikah". To be formed in English, auxiliary verb (to be) is needed. Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to omission error. The error can be found on the omission of auxiliary verb (to be) on that clause. The correct clause should be "I am married".

6   HA: Can I help you?
       P: I want to checking my healthy
       The syntactic interference found on the script is on the level of clause. The interference is on "…to checking…" on the sentence "I want to checking my healthy". Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to addition error. It is characterized by the presence of an item which must not appear in a well-formed utterance in spoken or sentence in written form. On the grammar rule, the verb that is correct to be placed on the sentence is "check". "To" is followed by base verb. Therefore, the correct sentence should be "I want to check my health".

7   HA: Can I help you?
       P: I want to checking my healthy
       The syntactic interference found on the script is on the level of phrase. The interference is on "…my healthy" on the sentence "I want to checking my healthy". Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to addition error. It is characterized by the presence of an item which must not appear in a well-formed utterance in spoken or sentence in written form. On the English grammar, the possessive pronoun "my" is followed by noun. On the phrase above, the word "healthy" is belong to adjective. The adjective "healthy" have to be changed into noun that become "health". Therefore, the correct sentence should be "I want to check my health".
       Based on the data, it can be found that there are some Indonesian interferences on the students' English conversation script. The syntactic interference found are dominated by addition error and omission error. Most of the error are on the grammatical word classes such as noun and verb inflection which it is different from the Indonesian grammatical word.
       Furthermore, after the result of data analysis are obtained, the contrastive analysis teaching method is applied on the English subject on the class. Contrastive analysis means the comparison of two languages by paying attention to differences and similarities between languages being compared. This teaching method is helpful for both the teacher and the students. By applying this method, the differences and similarities between source language (L1) and target language (L2) can be noticed clearly. Therefore, it is easier to learn and adjust to the target language.
       Related to the data of syntactic interference found on students' conversation scripts the students then were taught English grammar use and usage that compared with the Indonesian

language grammar. This is important to be taught the syntactic structures of two languages as different as Bahasa Indonesia and English. The students were also explained common language expressions used in English conversation. In the end of the session the students were asked to write another conversation script about handling a new patient in checking pregnancy.

Based on the result of data analysis, can be found that the English language quality of the conversation in script 2 are better than the script 1. This can be seen from the correct language expression used on the script and also from the small number of errors found on the script. Even though the quality of English used in script 2 is better, there can be also found Indonesian syntactic interference on the script. The syntactic interference found is the addition, omission, and misformation error on the scripts.

The data presented below are in the form of conversation in order to know the context of talk. P refers to patient and M refers to Midwife. The analysis of this study will be as follow.

1 M: will checking your blood pressure.
   P: Yes, please.

The syntactic interference found on the script above is the addition error on the Midwife expression "I will checking your blood pressure". The error here is on the predicate of the sentence "checking". From the context of the conversation we know that the action is going to do by the midwife right after the patient agree. This mean we need to use future tense sentence on that expression. The predicate is base verb "check". The correct expression in English that fit with the context is "I will check your blood pressure"

2 P: How my pregnant, mam?
   M: Its good. The amniotic fluid is normal and the fetus also good.

The syntactic interference found on the script above is the omission error and addition error both on the Midwife expression and the patient expression. The omission error can be found on the expression "How my pregnant, mam?". This sentence is interrogative sentence. Based on the context, it asks the pregnancy condition. The sentence needs auxiliary verb. The auxiliary that fix with the expression is "is". Therefore, the correct expression is "How is my…". The second error on the conversation above is on the midwife expression "Its good." The word "its" is not fit with the expression. The expression should be in form of sentence. "Its" is possessive pronoun. We need subject and predicate to fix the expression. Therefore, "its" need to be changed into "it is" or "it's". The correct expression will be "It is good." or "It's good." And then, the third error is on midwife's expression "The amniotic fluid is normal and the fetus also good." The error is on "…the fetus also good". The word "fetus" belongs to Noun and the word "good" is Adjective. This sentence needs auxiliary as the predicate. The auxiliary that fit with the sentence is "is". Therefore, this sentence should be "…the fetus is also good."
Moreover, the addition error can be found on patient expression "How my pregnant, mam?" From the context of the conversation, the patient asks about her pregnancy, therefore the phrase "my pregnant" is not fit with the sentence. In Indonesia Language pregnant means hamil. Hamil or pregnant is a adjective. It will be suitable if the word is changed into a noun that follow the possessive pronoun "my". The noun that fit with the phrase is "pregnancy" and the phrase is "my pregnancy".

3 M: What is mother work?
   P: I'm a housewife.

The syntactic interference found on the script is on the level of phrase. The interference is on phrase "mother work" on the sentence "what is mother work?". The interference occurs by the effect of Indonesian sentence. Based on the context of the conversation, the M asked about P's occupation. The Indonesian of that sentence could be "apakah pekerjaan ibu?". Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to misformation error. It has wrong form of nominal phrase. Since the conversation is between two speakers, its mean that the interrogative sentence is addressed to the second speaker, not the other "mother", thus the word "mother" need to be replaced by "you". The word "work" needs to be replaced by "job/ occupation" as well. Therefore, the correct sentence should be "what is your job? Or what is your occupation?".

4.   M: Okay, next I will check your vital sign that for knowing if there something wrong
            maybe with it.
        P: Ok, mam.

The syntactic interference found on the script above is on "that for knowing" on the sentence "…I will check your vital sign that for knowing…" and on clause "…if there something wrong maybe with it." The interferences occur by the effect of Indonesian sentence.

Based on the context of the conversation, on the first error, the midwife wants to check the patient's vital sign to investigate something. Based on the Surface Strategy Taxonomy, it belongs to misformation error by the use of the inaccurate structure or morpheme from and also belongs to the addition error by the existence of items that should not presence in the utterance. "for knowing" is not fit with the sentence that based on the context of the conversation it is the action or condition of having knowledge. Therefore, the correct is "to know". Moreover, the addition error can be found on the presence of "that" on the sentence. "That" is used as determiner, relative pronoun, compliment, as subject and as object. The sentence does not need "that". The verbs on the sentence is indicate an action and a purpose. The second verb can be in form of to-infinitive. Therefore, the correct sentence will be "…I will check your vital sign to know…"

Moreover, on the second interference "…if there something wrong maybe with it.", the interference belongs to addition error by the presence of unsuitable element in the expression. This interference is by the effect of Indonesian sentence. This is called Indonesian Language deviation on aspects of illogical sentence by the presence of word "maybe" on a sentence. Therefore, that word does not need on the utterance of the midwife. The correct sentence is "…if there something wrong with it."

Accordingly, the correct sentence that should be said by the midwife is "Okay, next I will check your vital sign to know if there something wrong with it."

CONCLUSION

The analysis showed the occurrence of Indonesian Language syntactic interference in English conversation scripts done by the third semester of DIV Midwifery students of Kartini Polytechnique before and after the contrastive analysis applied. Based on the analysis above, it can be concluded that (1) the syntactic interference of Indonesian Language into English found in conversation scripts written by the third semester of DIV Midwifery students are in the level of phrase, clause and sentence; and the error caused by omission, addition, and mis-formation. The omission occurs when the student is leaving off necessary items that must presence in a well-performed sentence, the addition that are characterized by the existing of feature that should not being present in a well-performed utterance, and the mis-formation that characterized by the application of the inaccurate from of structure or morpheme. (2) After the contrastive analysis

Method applied on the teaching process, it can be found that the English language quality of the conversation in script 2 are better than the script 1. This can be seen from the correct language expression used on the script and also from the small number of errors found on the script. Even though the quality of English used in script 2 is better, there can be also found Indonesian syntactic interference on the script. The syntactic interference found is the addition, omission, and misformation error on the scripts.

Therefore, it can be summarized that the Indonesian Languagae syntactic interference in English can be revised by applied the suitable teaching method, that is the contrastive analysis teaching method. This method is helpful for both the teacher and the students. By applying this method, the differences and similarities between source language (L1) and target language (L2) can be noticed clearly. Therefore, it is easier to learn and adjust to the target language.

REFERENCES

Aissa, H. (2015). The Second Language Influence on Foreign Language Learners' Errors: The Case of the French Language for Algerian Students learning English as a Foreign Language. The Online Journal of New Horizons in Education, page 126-127.

Bardovi-Harlig, K. and R. A. Sprouse. (2018). Negative Versus Positive Transfer. The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Chaer, A. dan L. Agustina. (2004). Sosiolinguistik Perkenalan Awal (edisi Revisi). Jakarta: Rinneka Cipta.

Dewantara, IPM and Wisudariani, NMR. (2016). Penggunaan Bahasa Indonesia Lisan Mahasiswa Undiksha: Tinjauan Aspek Kata Dan Kalimat. Proceeding of SEMINAR NASIONAL RISET INOVATIF (SENARI) KE-4, Denpasar: 19 November 2016. page 314-321.

Galasso, J. (2002). Interference in Second Language Acquisition: A Review of the Fundamental Difference Hypothesis. Transferring the 'Pro-drop' Parameter from Spanish to English. Northridge: California State University.

James, C. (1980). Contrastive Analysis. London: Longman.

James, C. (2005). Contrastive analysis and the language learner. In David J. Allerton, Cornelia Tschichold, and Judith Wieser (eds.), Linguistics, Language Teaching and Language Learning, 1–20 Basel: Schwabe.

Komariah, S. (2008). Interferensi Bahasa Inggris dalam Bahasa Indonesia pada Surat Kabar di Surabaya. Surabaya: Balai Pustaka Surabaya.

Okičić, M. and M. Osmankadić. (2014). The Use of Contrastive Analysis in Teaching English as a Foreign Language at Tertiary Level. Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics, Vol. 1, No. 2, page 187-220.

Rohmadi, I. (2020). Error Analysis of English Written Text of Higher-Level English Foreign Language Learners. IJELAL (International Journal of English Learning and Applied Linguistics), vol. 01. No. 01, page 44-55.

Rusminto, Nurlaksana Eko. (2011). Analisis Kesalahan Berbahasa (Sebuah Kajian Keterampilan Berbahasa pada Anak- anak). Bandarlampung: Universitas Lampung.

Suastini, NW., and IAPG Ardiantari. (2019). English Interference Found in Indonesian Magazines. International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation (IJLLT), Vol. 2, No. 6, November, page 297-302.

Suhono. (2015). An Error Analysis on Written Production Made by Efl Students of International Program of Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta. Tesis. Magister Pengkajian Bahasa Inggris. Surakarta: Universitas Muhammadiyah.

Suhono. (2016). Surface Strategy Taxonomy on The Efl Students' Composition: A Study of Error Analysis. Iqra Journal, Vol. 1, No. 2, November, page 1-30.

Suwito. (1983). Pengantar Awal Sosiolinguistik Teori dan Praktik. Surakarta: Henary Offset.