Analysis of the results of cadastral valuation of buildings, premises, construction in progress and parking spaces for 2018 in the Krasnodar territory

Análisis de los resultados de la valoración catastral de edificios, locales, construcción en progreso y estacionamientos para 2018 en el territorio de Krasnodar

ABSTRACT

This article is devoted to the study of the results of the cadastral valuation of buildings, premises, construction in progress and parking lots, which was carried out in 2018 in the Krasnodar Territory (Osennyaya et al, 2017). The shortcomings of the structure of the presentation of the approved results of the cadastral assessment were identified and analyzed, a new form of entering and sorting data was proposed to simplify the work with them.
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RESUMEN

Este artículo está dedicado al estudio de los resultados de la valoración catastral de edificios, locales, construcción en progreso y estacionamientos, que se llevó a cabo en 2018 en el territorio de Krasnodar (Osennyaya et al, 2017). Se identificaron y analizaron las deficiencias de la estructura de la presentación de los resultados aprobados de la evaluación catastral, se propuso una nueva forma de ingresar y clasificar datos para simplificar el trabajo con ellos.
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1. Introduction.

Cadastral valuation, as a process of determining the cadastral value, with the transition to the latter as a tax base, has received particular attention of scientists and researchers (Osennyaya et al, 2017). Currently, there are many methods and algorithms for calculating the cadastral value for each type of real estate object, whether it is a land plot or an object of incomplete construction, and each approach is designed for the maximum approximation between the cadastral and market value, since it is precisely the market indicators that act as measure of the adequacy of the production of cadastral valuation (Ruth et al, 2015: Osennyaya et al, 2016: Osennyaya et al, 2017: Osennyaya et al, 2013).

2. Carrying out the cadastral assessment of real estate objects in 2018 in the territory of Krasnodar territory

Based on Order No. 2197 of the Department of Property Relations of the Krasnodar Territory (DIO KK) in 2018, the following real estate properties were evaluated in the region (Osennyaya et al, 2019):

- buildings;
- rooms;
- parking - places;
- the objects of the incomplete building;
- land sections from the composition of the earth of forest and aqueous stocks.

In the course of cadastral valuation in total were evaluated:

- buildings, cars, objects of incomplete construction objects 2812065;
- land plots from the forest fund - 10986;
- land plots from the water fund - 877.

The list of the above objects was compiled by the Rosreestr Directorate for the Krasnodar Territory and transferred to the cadastral valuation department of DIO KK.

The results of the cadastral valuation of buildings, premises, parking lots, objects of unauthorized construction were approved by Order No. 2368 of the Department of Property Relations of the Krasnodar Territory (DIO KK) and are presented in the form of Appendix 1 (see. 1).
3. Main disadvantages of the form of submission of information on the results of the cadastral evaluation

Based on the presentation of information on the results of the cadastral valuation, we can draw the following conclusions:

- lack of information on the type of real estate object (building, premises, construction in progress, car park) - data restriction solely by cadastral number and cadastral value;
- lack of information about the property belonging to the district (urban district) of the Krasnodar Territory (Osennyaya et al, 2016);
- A lack of information about the location of the property (address, landmark) (Osennyaya et al, 2018);
- the format of paged data (pdf) complicates the process of third-party processing of estimated cadastral values.

4. Proposals for improving the form of presenting the results of the cadastral assessment

The abovementioned features of the initial information and a huge number of objects (2,812,065) make it impossible to conduct an adequate analysis of buildings, premises, construction in progress and parking spaces and to calculate specific indicators for each type of object with a view to further comparison with average market indicators (Osennyaya et al, 2017). In this regard, a proposal is made for structuring data in the form of table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Cadastral number of the object</th>
<th>Entity legal address</th>
<th>Area, sq.m.</th>
<th>Cadastral value, rubles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23: 01: 0000000: 194</td>
<td>Abinsky District, Abinsky District, 95 km. Highways Krasnodar - Novorossiysk 1500 m to the left, plot No. 1</td>
<td>866.1</td>
<td>13313602.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23: 01: 0000000: 258</td>
<td>Abinsky District, st.n. Kholmskaya, st. Shkolnaya, 7 5</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>957,669.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the objects of the incomplete building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parking - places</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is worth noting that the information resource of the Rosreestr (Public Cadastral Map) makes it possible to identify exclusively capital construction objects and land plots (see Fig. 2).
In accordance with paragraph 10 of Article 1 of the Town Planning Code of the Russian Federation, capital construction objects (ACS) include buildings, structures, structures, construction in progress (Osennyaya & Gribkova, 2012). This list is also used in the PAC (see Fig. 3).

In this regard, it is noted that it is impossible to obtain information in the public domain regarding such real estate objects as premises and car spaces (see the example in Figure 4). Given the fact that Order No. 2368 does not contain any information except for the cadastral number and cadastral value, the researcher, for analysis, is faced with the need to order a separate extract from the Unified State Register of Real Estate (paid for individuals) for each object from the number of premises and machine - places (Osennyaya et al, 2017).

4. Summary

It is worth noting that, when eliminating the above-mentioned shortcomings, the possibilities arise for producing a mass analysis of the results of the cadastral valuation for the adequacy of its implementation compared to the average market indicators, developing new improved approaches and methods for the cadastral valuation process (Osennyaya et al, 2017: Osennyaya et al, 2016).

5. Conclusion.

In general, the importance of a thorough analysis of the results of cadastral valuation is explained primarily by the fact that it is the analysis that allows to identify errors and deficiencies inherent in the methodology for calculating the cadastral value, and due to this, take them into account as adjustments when improving existing approaches to determining cadastral value or the development of qualitatively new methods (Osennyaya et al, 2017).

It is obvious that a high level of analysis quality can be guaranteed if a number of basic criteria are met for the studied cadastral valuation data: the sufficiency and reliability of the data, as well as the observance of the necessary form of information presentation depending on the type of real estate object, goals and depth of analysis. Nevertheless, a logical question arises: why, in principle, improve the methodology for calculating the cadastral value? As mentioned earlier, the cadastral value is a taxable base, and they are interested in the correctness of its calculation as authorities (property tax is one of the main revenue items of the state budget - and an underestimated cadastral value generates a shortage of funds, and therefore, a decrease in state financing of such spheres of society such as health care, education, culture, etc.), as well as citizens who pay these funds in favor of state structures (overpriced astro value leads to an increase in the tax burden, general discontent and the number of disputes against the results of cadastral valuation, including in court) (Osennyaya et al, 2018).
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