### STUDENTS' PERSONALITY TRAITS AND THEIR SPEAKING SKILL

#### **Batdal Niati**

UniversitasPasirPengaraian batdaln@gmail.com

#### Nurhasanah

UniversitasPasirPengaraian nur19951107@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT**

The purpose of this research was to determine whether there is significant correlation between students' personality traits and their speaking skill based on Big Five Personality theory: Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism and Openness (Costa and McCrae: 1999). The correlation research was needed to analyze deeply. The instruments of this research were questionnaire and speaking test. The data were analyzed with Pearson Product-Moment. The result of this research was personality traits and speaking skills had correlated each other. It means that the higher extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness are the higher speaking skill is. However, the higher neuroticism is the lower speaking skill will be.

**Keywords:** Personality Traits, Speaking Skill

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Speaking is the skill that the students should be mastered. And the proofs of the students able to do that are they can speak English and they can take the information well. According to Harmer (2007) speaking is a skill which becomes important part of daily life. It is the line for people to create social relationship as human being, so it needs developed be and practice independently the grammar curriculum. By speaking someone also

can spend their time in a positive thing such as making a conversation, debating, and dialogue.

There was some factors that affect speaking skill. One of them was affective factors. Affective refers to emotional side of human behavior that has important impact to language learning. According to Andres (2002) the importance of affective factors has been an interest in the field of language learning because of their high effects on learning a foreign or a second language.

This indicated that speaking and affective factors or something like personality traits are very closed each other. There are many theories of personality traits. One of them was Big Five Theory by Costa and McCrae, 1999; extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness

The formulation of the Research can be formulated as: "Is there any significant correlation between students' personality traits (Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism and Openness) and their speaking skill at the eleventh grade of Senior High School 1 Rambah Hilir?"

This research was conducted to find out whether there is or no significant correlation between students' personality traits (Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism and Openness) and their speaking skill at the eleventh grade of Senior High School 1 Rambah Hilir.

## REVIEW OF RELATED THEORIES

Personality is dimensions of individual differences in tendencies to show consistent patterns of thought, feeling, and action (McCrae and Costa, 1990:23). It means that Personality describes as different of characteristic of that is found in a person that influences to their action, ideas, thought, etc. Furthermore. Walter Michael (1981) writes there are many people who put forward definition regarding the term personality but he

agrees that is refers to the distinctive patterns of behavior (including thought and emotion) that characterize each individual's adaption to the situation of his or her life. Then, according to Hockenbugh (1997), trait theory of personality is one that focuses on identifying, describing and measuring individual differences. Based on some theories above it can be concluded that personality is the sum total of the behavior and mental characterized that are distinctive of an individual that refer difference of though, feeling, emotions, and actions, motivations and behaviors.

Speaking is an interactive process of constructing meaning which is comprised of producing and receiving information (Brown, 1994; Burn & Joyce, 1997). Harmer (2001) adds that speaking happens when two people are talking to each other and they are sure that they are doing it for good reason. Their reason may be that they want to say something or they have some communicative purposes. It means that speaking is an interaction to producing and receiving information of the two people or more, then people can express their feeling to other people. In addition, according to Nunan (1995) speaking is to say words orally, to communicate as by talking, to make a request, and to make a speech. Chaney (1998) defines that speaking is the process of making and sharing meaning by using verbal and non-verbal symbols in different context. On the other hand, speaking is a way to convey message to other orally by using verbal and non-verbal symbol, for example is communicate to other people. Next, according to Brown (2001) there are some aspects in speaking skill, such as pronunciation, vocabulary, fluency, accent. grammar that should be mastered in order to be able to speak well. There are some types of speaking performance that can help students to improve speaking skill (Brown, 2007:271-274) imitative, intensive, responsive, transactional (dialogue), interpersonal (dialogue), extensive (monologue).

Based on some theories above, it can be concluded that speaking is the most important skill and speaking skill is always related to communication. By speaking, people can make a request, speech, producing and receiving information. Speaking also about expressing our though, feeling, idea.

#### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The design of research was correlation. According to Cresswell (2008), correlation is a statistical test to determine the tendency of pattern for two or more variables or two sets of data to vary consistently. It has two variables, the variables shared common variance, or they co-vary together. There were two variables used, they are variable X as the independent variable and variable Y as dependent variable. In this research. variable refers students' X to personality traits and variable Y refersto speaking skill of the students.

The population in this research were 52 students. They are eleventh grades of Senior High School 1 Rambah Hilir. In this research, the researcher

used Cluster Random Sampling by using lottery. So, the sample are eleventh science 1. It consists of 26 students. The instrument of this research was defined into two kinds. They were questionnaire and the result of students' speaking test.

There were some steps that the researcher did in collecting the data. Ffirstly, to collect the data of students personality traits, the researcher make a questionnaire adapted from big five personality traits inventory. Secondly, the researcher explained to the students how to answer the questionnaire. Thirdly, for speaking test, the researcher asked the students to speak in front of the class, and the researcher gave several topicd and they chose one topic. Finally, they spoke in front of the class and the researcher recorded them.

#### FINDING AND DISCUSSION

The data were presented based on five items. In this research the five items in questionnaire of personality traits, such as strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, and strongly disagree. The students answered based on their own personality traits.

No	Questions	SD		D NAND		NAND	A		SA		Total		
		F	%	F	96	f	96	F	96	F	96	N	96
1	1	0	0 %	3	11.5%	5	19.2%	9	34.6%	9	34.6%	26	100%
2	2	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	9	34.6%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
3	3	ō	0%	ī	3.8%	5	19.2%	10	38.4%	10	38.4%	26	100%
4	4	ō	0.%	3	11.5%	6	23.0%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	26	100%
5	5	ŏ	0%	3	11.5%	6	23.0%	9	34.6%	9	34.6%	26	100%
6	6	7	26.9%	7	26.9%	12	46.1%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
7	7	ó	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	8	30.7%	9	34.6%	26	100%
ś	8	12	46.1%	10	38.4%	4	15.3%	ő	0%	ő	0%	26	100%
9	9	10	38.4%	9	34.6%	7	26.9%	ŏ	0%	ŏ	0%	26	100%
10	10	0	0%	4	15.3%	6	23.0%	8	30.7%	8	30.7%	26	100%
11	11	ŏ	0%	4	15.3%	6	23.0%	8	30.7%	8	30.7%	26	100%
12	12	ŭ	42.3%	10	38.4%	5	19.2%	õ	0%	õ	0%	26	100%
13	13		0%	5	19.2%	5	19.2%	8	30.7%	8	30.7%	26	100%
14	14	ö	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	11	42.3%	6	23.0%	26	100%
		_											
15	15	1	3.8%	3 4	11.5%	6	23.0%	8	30.7%	8	30.7%	26	100%
16	16	0	0%		15.3%	5	19.2%	8	30.7%	9	34./6%	26	100%
17	17	0	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	26	100%
18	18	12	46.1%	9	34.6%	5	19.2%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
19	19	0	0%	4	15.3%	6	23.0%	6	23.0%	10	38.4%	26	100%
20	20	1	3.8%	2	7.6%	6	23.0%	6	23.0%	11	42.3%	26	100%
21	21	10	38.4%	9	34.6%	7	26.9%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
22	22	11	42.3%	8	30.7%	7	26.9%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
23	23	10	38.4%	10	38.4%	6	23.0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
24	24	10	38.4%	12	46.1%	4	15.3%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
25	25	0	0%	3	11.5%	5	19.2%	8	30.7%	10	38.4%	26	100%
26	26	0	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	26	100%
27	27	9	34.6%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
28	28	0	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	10	38.4%	7	26.9%	26	100%
29	29	0	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	26	100%
30	30	0	0%	5	19.2%	5	19.2%	8	30.7%	8	30.7%	26	100%
31	31	11	43.3%	9	34.6%	6	23.0%	0	07%	0	0%	26	100%
32	32	0	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
33	33	ō	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	8	30.7%	9	34.6%	26	100%
34	34	10	38.4%	11	42.3%	5	19.2%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
35	35	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	9	34.6%	ō	0%	ō	0%	26	100%
36	36	0	0%	3	11.5%	5	19.2%	7	26.9%	11	42.3%	26	100%
37	37	ıĭı	42.3%	10	38.4%	5	19.2%	ó	0%	0	0%	26	100%
38	38	0	0%	3	11.5%	5	19.5%	6	23.0%	12	46.1%	26	100%
39	39	ŏ	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	5	19.2%	12	46.1%	26	100%
40	40	ŏ	0%	4	15.3%	6	23.0%	8	30.7%	8	30.7%	26	100%
41	41	9	34.6%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	ő	0%	ő	0%	26	100%
42	42	ő	0%	4	15.3%	5	19.2%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	26	100%
43	43	9	34.6%	10	38.4%	7	26.9%	0	07%	ŏ	0%	26	100%
44	44	9	34.6%	9	34.6%	8	30.7%	0	0%	ö	0%	26	100%
Total	. 11	122	34.076	261	34.076	260	30.776	212	U76	221	076	26	100%

Table 1
The Recapitulation of Students' Personality Traits

Based on recapitulation above, personality traits were analyzed by giving score and percentage as follow:

- Strongly Disagree (SD)

$$172 \times 1 = 172$$

- Disagree (D)

$$261 \times 2 = 522$$

- Neither Agree Nor Disagree (NAND) 260 x 3 = 780
- Agree (A)

$$212 \times 4 = 848$$

- Strongly Agree (SA)

$$231 \times 5 = 1155$$

The totality 172+522+780+848+1155= 3477 (F)

172+261+260+212+231=1136 (N)

It can be concluded by using the formula below:

$$P = \frac{F}{N} \times 100:$$

$$= \frac{3477}{1136} \times 100:5$$

$$= 3,06 \times 100:5$$

$$= 61,2\%$$

# Data presentation of students' speaking skill

The researcher presented the data of students' speaking skill in the table 2. the data were taken from the test that given to the students.

Table 2
Data presentation of students' speaking skill

No	Students	Score	Criteria
1	1	55	Poor
2	2	53	Poor
3	3	70	Average
4	4	55	Poor
5	5	60	Average
6	6	48	Poor
7	7	60	Average
8	8	63	Average
9	9	82	Very good
10	10	72	Good
11	11	77	Good
12	12	50	Poor
13	13	73	Good
14	14	43	Poor
15	15	73	Good
16	16	60	Average
17	17	80	Very good
18	18	50	Poor
19	19	50	Poor
20	20	90	Very good
21	21	60	Average
22	22	57	Poor
23	23	60	Average
24	24	63	Average
25	25	48	Poor
26	26	60	Average

Based on total score above, the researcher found the mean of speaking score was 60,9. Then, the researcher

presents the score of each students to the table interpretation, as follow:

Table 3 the interpretation of data analysis of speaking skill

Proficiency	Category level	Frequency	Percentage (%)		
10-39	Very poor	-	=		
40-59	Poor	10	38,46%		
60-70	Average	9	34,61%		
71-79	Good	4	15,38%		
80-100	Very good	3	11,53%		
,	Total	26	100%		

Based on the table above, the researcher found that, there were many kind of level that the students stand in the speaking skill at the eleventh science 1 students in Senior High School 1 Rambah Hilir. The students got different score each other. It because of their ability in speaking have a different level.

The result were 10 students or 38,46% in the poor level, 9 students or 34,61% in the average level, 4 0r 15,38% in the

good level, 3 or 11,53% in the very good level and there were no one in the very poor level.

It has been mentioned before, the data gained from the students' score of personality traits questionnaire and their speaking skill of the eleventh science 1 at Senior High School 1 Rambah Hilir. The researcher used the pearson product moment correlation coefficient because the variable are interval and ratio.

Table 4
Result of Correlations

TRAITS	-	EXTRAVE RSION	AGREEABL ENESS	CONSCIEN TIOUSNES S	NEUROTI CISM	OPENNES S	SPEAKING SKILL
EXTRAVERSI	Pearson Correlation	1	.979**	.509**	159	.959**	.729**
ON	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.008	.438	.000	.000
	N	26	26	26	26	26	26
AGREEABLEN ESS	Pearson Correlation	.979**	1	.431*	195	.946**	.669**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.028	.340	.000	.000
	N	26	26	26	26	26	26
CONSCIENTIO USNESS	Pearson Correlation	.509**	.431*	1	.011	.486*	.566**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.008	.028		.959	.012	.003
	N	26	26	26	26	26	26
	Pearson Correlation	159	195	.011	1	179	110

72|

Journal of English Education Vol. 4 No. 2 December 2018 P-ISSN: 2459-9719, E-ISSN 2597-7091

NEUROTICIS	Sig. (2-tailed)	.438	.340	.959		.382	.594
M	N	26	26	26	26	26	26
OPENNESS	Pearson Correlation	.959**	.946**	.486*	179	1	.640**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.012	.382		.000
	N	26	26	26	26	26	26
SPEAKING SKILL	Pearson Correlation	.729**	.669**	.566**	110	.640**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.003	.594	.000	
	N	26	26	26	26	26	26

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Based on the data analysis, the percentage obtained for students' personality traits is 61.2%. Based on the analysis, the mean students' Speaking score was 61,9. The result of this research was detailed based on five factors, as follow: extraversion = 0.729. 0.669. Agreeableness conscientiousness = 0.566, neuroticism = 0.110, and openness = 0.640, bigger than  $r_{\text{table}}$  at level 5% (0.388) and 1% (0.495). So, alternative hypothesis  $(H_a)$ is accepted. It means that, there was significant correlation between student's personality traits and speaking skill at the eleventh science grade of Senior High School 1 Rambah Hilir.

The finding showed that, there was a positive significant correlation between extraversion of personality traits and their speaking skill. It supported astudy by Eysenk (2003)that the extraversion tends to full of enthusiasm, Sociable, lively, active, assertive, sensation-seeking, carefree, dominant, and venturesome. Then, it was supported by Mount, Barrick, and Stewart (1998) who argued that although agreeableness is generally

preferred and can be an asset in most situations, disagreeableness has its obvious advantages especially in situations requiring tough decision-making and absolute objectivity. There was a positive significant correlation between conscientiousness of personality traits and their speaking skill.

Next, McCrae, Costa and Busch (1986)believe that highly conscientiousness people tend to be more successful in the education and their work. It is in line with the findings of Duff, et al (2004) who reported that conscientiousness showed the large correlation coefficient between the big five factors and academic performance. The research also found, positive significant correlation between openness of personality traits and their speaking skill. Openness was often characterized by learners' studiousness and innovative and creative performance (Diseth, 2003). The learners that have high level of openness and previous experiences from their English classroom have more speaking-competency which will lead to

higher speaking confidence 1996; (MacIntyre&Charos, Apple, 2011:607). Especially in neuroticism, the result has negative correlation. It was supported by Eysenck (2003:2) the high neuroticism (N) scorer is someone who towards anxiety tends depression, worries, has bad sleep and psychometric disorder, allows emotions to affect judgment, and is preoccupied with things that might go wrong.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the data analysis and discussion, it could be concluded that personality traits and speaking correlate each other. The findings showed that extraversion Sufficient was in Correlation. Agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness was in Fair Correlation. **I**t means extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness and openness has positive correlation, while neuroticism has negative correlation. Then, the higher extraversion, traits agreeableness, conscientiousness. openness, the higher speaking skill. The higher neuroticism the lower speaking skill. It means that, the research question of the research was answered already.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

2002 "The Influence of Andres. Affective Variables on EFL/ESL Learning and Teaching." The Journal of the *Imagination* inLanguage Learning and Teaching. Vol. VII.

- Brown, Douglas. 2000. Principle of Language Learning and Teaching. White Plains, NY: Pearson Longman.
- Brown, Douglas. 2001. Teaching by Principle: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy. San Fransisco: San Fransisco University
- Brown, Douglas. 2004. Language
  Assesment: Principle and
  classroom Practice. New York:
  San Fransisko State University.
- Brown, Douglas. 2007. Teaching by Principle: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy. White Plains, NY: Pearson Longman.
- Chaney, A. 1998. *Teaching Oral Communication in Grades* K-8. USA. A Viacom Company.
- Costa, IT. Jr. and McCrae R. 1990.

  Personality Disorders and the five-FactorModel of personality Journal of Personality Disorders, 4, 362-371.
- Creswell, John W. 2008. Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research. New Jersey: Pearson Education.
- Gerald Matthew, and friend. 2003. Personality Traits,2nd Ed. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Harmer.J. 2001. The Practice of English

  Language Teaching. London
  and New York: LongMan
  Group.
- Hockenbugh, H. O. Hockenbugh, Z. 1997. Personality traits in learning and education,

- European journal of personality 10, 185-200.
- McCrae, R. R. & Costa, P. T. Jr. 1987.

  The Five Factor Model of Personality across Instrument and Observes, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology New York 52. 81 91.
- McCrae, R. R. & Costa, P. T. Jr. 1980.

  Openness to Experience in

  Hogan R. & Jones W. H.

  Perspective in Personality

  New York Vol 1: 145 172
- Nunan, David. 1995. Language Teaching Methodology: A Textbook for Teachers. NY: Phoenix Ltd

Journal of English Education Vol. 4 No. 2 December 2018 P-ISSN: 2459-9719, E-ISSN 2597-7091