CONTENT ANALYSIS: EXPLORING THE SUITABILITY OF BUSINESS ENGLISH TEXTBOOK FROM LEARNER’S PERSPECTIVE
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ABSTRACT
This research aims to find out how the following aspects of analysis in textbook evaluation can facilitate assessment of the suitability of the textbook students have been using as the main course book. The aspects are (1) use of business language skills and grammar (2) business vocabulary (3) business related materials (4) pedagogic features. The textbook being referred to is Market Leader, Third edition, Elementary published by Pearson Education, London, United Kingdom. The gap filled in this research and prior research is that they are prior-use textbook evaluation, while this article is based on an in-use textbook evaluation. To achieve the aim, this research employs Philipp Mayring’s Qualitative Content Analysis research method, and Chengqian Guo’s new textbook evaluation model as the framework developed from previous evaluation models.
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INTRODUCTION

The application of information technology for communications and development in international trade and international business has ever since intensified globalisation in those areas respectively. The pressure felt by nations participating in international business is primarily in improving communication competence of their people engaged in day-to-day business operations. This said competence can be achieved by having people go through learning and training in Business English.

The quality of Business English courses rely heavily on two elements. The first and foremost are the quality of language instructors, and followed by the quality of the teaching materials, in particular the textbooks that the instructors and the course participants use. Assessing the quality of courses involve two proposed steps. The first step is evaluating the suitability of the textbook being used in the course. Should evaluation findings indicate that the textbook suits as a coursebook, then its use by the instructors shall be continued. Otherwise, other textbook(s) shall be considered to substitute. The second step proposed is evaluating the quality of the suitable textbook. This article shall focus on presenting evaluation of the suitability of a given Business English textbook to perform as a Business English coursebook, and not yet to present the quality of the textbook.

Aspects of analysis in a textbook evaluation in this research consists of (1) use of business language skills and grammar (2) business vocabulary (3) business related materials (4) pedagogic features. Measuring suitability of a textbook involves comparing its contents to a set of criteria. Sets of criteria are obtained from theoretical propositions made by experts in the field of teaching material development and teaching material evaluation.

There are various definitions on the
term *suitability* from the perspective of its performance as a coursebook; nevertheless, the term used here is taken from one coined by Hutchinsons and Waters. Hutchinsons and Waters in Dali Ning (Ning, 2019) defined textbook suitability as “the measure of fullfillment of a book against the a set of requirements for the book to perform as a coursebook.”

Business English is a part of of English for Specific Purposes. It is English primarily used by people engaged in international business. These people have a set of communication needs unique to these users of English. Maia Kutateladze in her research has identified several needs of these people namely the need to engage in internatinal business negotiations, to present a business proposal or a product, or to converse in social functions attached to business. Kutateladze further asserts (Kutateladze, 2014), that it is the very needs of these people which navigate textbook writers in designing textbooks intended for learners of Business English.

Piotr Romanowsky (Romanowski, Business English coursebooks - why and how to evaluate them, évfolyam 2016) wrote an article in a journal entitled “Business Coursebooks – why and how to evaluate them.” In Romanowsky’s study, he proposed checklists designed as instruments specifically for evaluating Business English textbooks. His instruments considers various aspects of analysis for textbook evaluation, namely the content aspect of the textbook, the methodological aspect of of the textbook, and several other aspects of analysis proposed by the experts. In relation to this article, Romanowsky’s research became an inspiration in determining the aspects of analysis introduced in this article.

Another work referenced here is one that was presented in researchgate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/250002927_Textbook_evaluation_as_an_essential_part_of_business_English_teacher’_s_professional_competence) was a research by
Slavica Cepon from University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Economics, Slovenia, entitled “Textbook evaluation as an essential part of BE teachers’ professional competence”. Cepon discusses how teachers assess Business English textbooks (Cepon, 2004). She studied three Business English textbooks, and her findings were that for teachers evaluating Business English textbooks, employing objective categories is easier than employing subjective categories. The difference between Cepon’s research and this research is that her research is a prior-use textbook evaluation, while this article is based on an in-use textbook evaluation.

A relevant research was done by Nicolae Adina. Adina’s research inspired the writing of this article. In her article entitled “Limits Of Current Practice In Teaching BE”, she exposed elements found in communication competence learning models. Adina citing Canale, Swain, dan Bahman (Adina, 2012) mentions that these elements are grammar competence, discourse competence, sociolinguistic competence, and strategic competence. These four competence became reference in formulating the aspects of analysis employed in this article.

Halka Capkova dan Jarmila Kroupova wrote (Capkova, Halka and Kroupova, Jarmila, 2017) in the journal ERIES vol.10 no.1 entitled “Language Needs Analysis of Students of Economics,” that it is very important for ESP textbook writers to know exactly what are (1) the needs (2) the wants (3) the hopes, and (4) the aspirations of Business English learners.

Khalid, Zafar, dan Saeed in their article entitled Textbook evaluation through quality indicators: The Case of Pakistan, employes (Khalid Mahmood, Muhammad Zafar Iqbal, Muhammad Saeed, 2009) Garvin’s quality dimensions to measure the quality of textbooks. As indicated early in this article, quality does
not equate suitability; nevertheless, many of Garvin’s dimension employed by Khalid, Zafar, dan Saeed are similar to the textbook suitability criteria. This article is different from Khalid, Zafar, dan Saeed’s research in the sense that their research does not evaluate any particular textbook.

Mutiara Ayu and Rita Indrawati from Universitas Teknokrat Indonesia wrote an article (Mutiara Ayu & Rita Indrawati, 2018) published in researchgate entitled EFL Textbook Evaluation: The Analysis of Task Presented in English Textbooks. The difference between this article and the one written by Mutiara Ayu et. al. lies in the learning process researched. Mutiara Ayu et. al. evaluated an EFL textbook, while this article evaluated an ESP textbook. Furthermore, Mutiara Ayu et.al. research focused on the pedagogic aspect only, namely the features of the exercises and tasks contained in the textbook. On the other hand, this article focuses on four aspects namely (1) use of business language skills and grammar (2) business vocabulary (3) business related materials (4) pedagogic features.

Another relevant article is one entitled An Empirical Study on Business English Teaching and Development by Dai Guiyu dan Liu Yang. (Dai Guiyu & Liu Yang, 2016). The similarities of the article written by Dai dan Liu with this article is that both articles develop their research on needs analysis of Business English learners. The difference lies in the purpose of the research. Dai and Liu’s article dwells on new findings in needs analysis in learning meanwhile this article focuses on use of business language, business vocabulary and lexis, business contents and business related learning materials, and pedagogic features.

FOCUS OF THIS STUDY
This study focuses on aspects of analysis in textbook evaluation. The aspects should help direct the evaluator to put the needs of...
the learner-users of the coursebook into logical categories. Romanowski quoting Frendo asserts that (Romanowski, 2017) in the case of teaching in-company Business English courses, these courses imply taking into consideration the sponsors perspective and/or demand on what should be covered during the course. Sponsors perspective would commonly entail issues such as capacity of the employees to generate more profit, be more motivated, be better negotiators, better presenters, or managers.

Based on the aforementioned background, this article focuses more on the results of observing four aspects of analysis unique to evaluating textbooks designed for use as Business English coursebooks, i.e. (1) use of business language skills and grammar (2) business vocabulary (3) business related materials (4) pedagogic features.

THEORETICAL BENEFIT OF THIS STUDY

Mayring’s Qualitative Content Analysis implemented to Guo’s new textbook evaluation model used in this study could help foreign language courses and teachers perform a systematic yet relatively simple textbook evaluation to assess a textbook’s suitability as a coursebook.

EVALUATION METHOD AND MODEL

The research approach employed is qualitative, and the method used is the deductive approach in Philipp Mayring’s Content Analysis (Mayring, 2004). The evaluation model employed is the new textbook evaluation model developed by Chengqian Guo (Guo, 2018).

Mayring’s method begins with raising the research questions on what will be the aspects of analysis in the evaluation process. This is followed by theoretically-based assigning the definitions to each and every aspect of analysis. Once the aspects are determined and defined, main
categories and sub categories need to be assigned to each aspect. Theoretically, learner’s needs become the basis for determining the aspect of analysis to evaluate textual and content suitability of any sort of textbook. In particular to Business English textbooks, there are four aspects, namely (1) the need to improve familiarity with grammar and improve skills in use of language, (2) the need to improve familiarity with business vocabulary, (3) the need to improve familiarity with business related content, and (4) the need of learning materials (this research focus solely on textbooks) having pedagogic features.

Mayring’s method thus prescribes that to be able to assign main and or sub-categories to the aspects of analysis, an evaluation model is required as a reference. In this research, Guo’s new textbook evaluation model is employed. Guo’s model is basically a combination of two existing evaluation models namely one proposed by Cunningsworth and one by Littlejohn. Guo’s model puts the four aspects of analysis into eight main categories:

I. Input
II. Layout
III. Supplementary materials
IV. Goals and Objectives
V. Learners
VI. Teaching methods and Teachers
VII. Teaching environment
VIII. Practical considerations

Each main category shall be the evaluators guide in selecting text suitability criteria. Sources of these criteria are obtained from literature studies containing theoretical propositions from material evaluation experts. Take for example the main category “input”. The main category of input can be assigned to (1) the aspect of language skills i.e. grammar and use of
language, (2) the aspect of business vocabulary, and (3) the aspect of business contents. From literature studies, Celce-Murcia (1979), and also Cunningworth (1995) proposes a criterion of the content suitability can be checked by analysing whether the language level content is appropriate for the learner’s level the textbook was intended for. Regarding criterion on business vocabulary input, Romanowsky (2016) asserts that the vocabulary content should facilitate core business communication skills, namely telephoning, socialising, giving presentations, taking part in meetings, negotiating et cetera. Last but not least, the criteria on business content suitability. Mukundan, et.al., (2011) proposes that a criteria that suitability can be checked against authenticy of materials and of situations.

Once sets of criteria are prepared, they shall be used as guidelins in designing the questionnaires that can elicit learners using the text book express their experience in using the book as a coursebook. This method is to be repeated with the aspects of pedagogic features of the text book. At this point then, the evaluator will have a fairly-well idea on the suitability of the text book being evaluated.

**PROCEDURE**

The procedure of this research involves three steps. The first step is data collecting through sending questionnaire to learners that are using the textbook that is being evaluated. The questionnaire is designed to elicit responses from the respondents to give their opinions about the textbook they have been using as their coursebook. The respondents opinions that were elicited fall into seven areas, namely (1) content areas (2) activity areas (3) the role of textbook area from the learner-user point of view, expressed metaforically (4) areas representing the engagement of learner-users towards the textbook, expressed by
statements about how often and in what occasions they use the book (5) pedagogic areas of the supporting materials attached to the textbook, expressed through responses on whether or not they use those supporting materials (6) areas of the senses, represented by the opinions of the learner-users about the layout of the textbook (7) areas of learner-users aspiration regarding ideal features of a coursebook.

The second step is data coding. Data coding is done by preparing a Category Coding Agenda Table. This table is a data categorisation instrument that contains categories prepared in Chengqian Guo’s textbook evaluation model. The categories are divided into Main Categories, and for each Main Category, Sub Categories are assigned to it. The Main Categories groups aspects of analysis of the textbook being evaluated, and each category is given a definition. Next, the sub categories serve as guidance when identifying the qualitative data. These qualitative data will be compared against relevant criteria obtained from literature review dan from the research data collected from questionnaires.

After the qualitative data identification is done, a coding rule is made. Coding rules contain narratives to be used as reference when the textbook evaluator is conducting documentation review of the data during the evaluation process.
(1) DATA COLLECTION
- define seven areas of respondent’s opinions,
- design questionnaires guided by suitability criteria
- send questionnaires to respondents

(2) DATA CODING
- code the responses from the questionnaire by assigning the responses to relevant criteria from literature study.
- compare the responses to the criteria.

(3) CODING RULE & APPLYING
* Yes = criteria elicited a response representing suitability
* No = criteria elicited a response representing suitability not met
* N/A = criteria did not elicit a response
DATA ANALYSIS

The data analysed originates from the texts contained in the textbook being evaluated. The criteria used to evaluate the textbook suitability originates from two sources. The first source is suitability criteria in the form of theoretical propositions, and the second source is the opinions of the 52 respondents who are learner-users of the coursebook being evaluated. Finally, the measurement of suitability fulfillment is measured using a simple formula developed by Chengqian Guo as follow:

\[
\text{Suitability Measure against Criteria} = (\# \text{ of Yes }) \times 1 + (\# \text{ of No }) \times (-1) + (\# \text{ N/A}) \times 0
\]

‘Yes’ means criteria fulfilled (score given = 1)
‘No’ means criteria not fulfilled (score given = -1)
‘N/A’ means the criteria is not applicable to this textbook, or the textbook was neutral – it neither fullfilled nor failed to fullfill the criteria. (score given = 0)

From literature review there were 10 theoretical proposition that represent criteria to analyse aspect of business related content/material in the textbook, 6 theoretical propositions that represent criteria to analyse aspect of grammar and use of (business) language, and 54 theoretical propositions that represent criteria to analyse pedagogic features in the textbook, making a grand total of 70 criteria to analyse aspects of analysis of the textbook altogether.

(RESULT AND DISCUSSION)

After applying the suitability measure against criteria to the comparison between the responses from the questionnaire and the criteria from the literature review, the findings were as follows:

1. The score from the 10 theoretical propositions that represent criteria to analyse aspect of business related content/material in the textbook is 10
Yes*(1) + 0 No*(-1) + 0 N/A * (0) equals 10. This can be construed that the business related contents in this textbook is fully suitable for learners at this level of English competence.

2. The score from the 6 theoretical propositions that represent criteria to analyse aspect of grammar and use of (business) language, is 5 Yes*(1) + 0 No*(-1) + 1 N/A * (0) equals 5. This can be construed that the aspect of grammar and use of (business) language in this textbook is suitable for learners at this level of English competence.

3. The score from the 54 theoretical propositions that represent criteria to analyse pedagogic features in the textbook is 30 Yes*(1) + 11 No*(-1) + 14 N/A * (0) equals 19. This can be construed that pedagogic features in this textbook is suitable for learners at this level of English competence.

CONCLUSION

As far as respondents whom were Business English course learner-users at Lembaga Bahasa Internasional Fakultas Ilmu Pengetahuan Budaya Universitas Indonesia are concerned, the book did not fulfill all suitability criteria. Some learners expect language course book should be able to serve as self-directed learning material and this textbook is still considered to lack sufficient guidance to be used as self-learning material. They feel that the presence of an instructor is still needed.

A number of respondents responses express concerns on the lack of relationship between examinations and exercises in the textbook. This finding serves more as an input to the management of the course administrators to observe relevance when designing examination materials. Although revision materials are supplied in this textbook, respondents seem to expect that Business English textbook should contain more of it.
Another finding is that most respondents feel teachers should adapt learning materials for each and every lesson. Business trends constantly and rapidly change, and teacher’s creativity play a significant role to make the learning successful.

Learners seem to need more content relevant social and cultural context. Learners feel that the text is driven by western values of business operations.

These findings are valuable input for the writers and publishers. They might consider it due-time to launch the next edition of the textbook. Despite these drawbacks, the textbook is suitable as a general coursebook to be used for Business English courses.
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