Comparative Effect of Different Combinations of Animal Manures and Humic acid on selected soil biochemical properties
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Abstract— The main objectives of this study were to evaluate the effect of animal manures combinations and humic acid on some soil biochemical properties. The laboratory incubation experiment was conducted using a completely randomized design with three replicates. The animal manures included chicken manure (ChM) and cow manure (CoM). The treatments of humic acid (HA), chicken manure (ChM) and cow manure (CoM) were applied on clay soil at a rate of 10 t. ha⁻¹. The treatments used were: Control (T1), HA (T2), HA + ChM (T3) and HA + CoM (T4). The soil samples were incubated for 15, 30, 45 and 60 days at 28 °C and was analyzed for soil pH, the electrical conductivity (EC), total nitrogen, available phosphorus, potassium content, soil organic carbon percentages (SOC) and soil respiration (CO₂). In general, the results showed a significant difference between all treatments and the control. Also, the applications of treatments have lowered the soil pH and significant increase in EC was observed as days of incubation. While, the N, P, K, SOC and soil respiration (CO₂) were significant increased and reached its peak at 45 days of incubation and decreased thereafter with time. The results showed that applications of organic matter had significant effect on soil biochemical properties and treatment 4 T4 (HA+ CoM) showed a significant superiority compare with other treatments.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The addition of organic materials has the aim to improve soil fertility and increase agricultural production. When applied for a long time can impair certain soil properties. The mineralization of organic matter application into soils increases nutrient contents such as nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur and smaller amount of micronutrients [1], but also increase soil acidity- pH [2], and there has been increasing of soil salinity [3]. The humic matter is formed through the chemical and biological humification of plant and animal matter and through the biological activities of microorganisms. Humic acids (HAs) are the main fractions of humic substances (HS) and it plays a vital role in soil fertility and plant nutrition. The addition of humic acid (HAs) into the soil can affect some soil properties such as enrichment in soil nutrients, an increase of microbial population, enzyme activities, higher cation exchange capacity (CEC), and improvement of soil structure [4]. Incorporation of HAs into soils stimulated root growth, branching and initiation of root hairs and could partially be attributed to enhanced nutrient uptake [5]. Animal manures (such as chicken and cow manures) can be potentially beneficial for soil properties [6]. The organic manures are excellent fertilizers for the plant, because of the beneficial effects of releasing nutrients to the soil upon their decomposition periods such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and a smaller amount of micronutrients. They can be considered an excellent source of combinations of nutrients [7].

1.1 Objective

The objective of the study was to determine the effect of the combination of humic acid; chicken manure and cow manure on some soil biochemical properties.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Soil, treatments and experimental design

The soil used in this study was taken from Ankara University - faculty of agriculture -Soil Science research field at depth of 0-30 cm. The soil was classified as aridisol based on Soil Taxonomy [8]. Humic acids was added to soil as powder and brought from Ankara, Turkey. The chicken manure and cow manure were applied to soil after fermentation for 6 months. The combinations of these materials were prepared and mixed with soil on oven dry weight basis at a rate of 10 ton/ha. A laboratory incubation experiment was carried out in
complete randomize design (CRD) with three replicates in four different incubation periods (15, 30, 45 and 60 day). The soil weight was 100 g air dry in plastic containers. The treatments were as follows: T1: Control (no addition); T2: Humic acid (10 ton/ha); T3: humic acid (5 ton/ha) + chicken manure (5 ton/ha); T4: humic acid (5 ton/ha) + cow manure (5 ton/ha). The soil samples were incubated at 28 °C. The soil moisture was kept at field capacity condition (34.33%) by using distilled water. At the end of each incubation period soil samples were collected.

2.2 Soil analyses:
Soil samples were air-dried, ground and passed through a 2 mm sieve prior to chemical analysis. Soil EC and pH were extracted with 1:5 soil: water ratio and measured potentiometrically using EC-meter (Model JENWAY 4510 date of Mani: Sep, 05 serial No 01892) and glass pH meter electrodes (Model JENWAY 3510 date of Manf. Jan, 09 serial No 05864), respectively, [9]. The total N, phosphorus, and potassium content were determined according to the methods described by [10]. Organic carbon (OC) content was determined by Walkley–Black method [11]. The soil respiration (CO2) was calculated as ml CO2/kg/hr [12-13].

2.3 Soil analyses
Statistical analysis for means comparison was performed using Tukey’s test in SAS version 9 [14].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3.1 Soil use of study
The data presented in Table (1) showed some biochemical analysis of the soil used in this study. The soil was moderately alkaline, the electrical conductivity (EC) was 0.341(dS/m) classified as non-saline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (dS/m)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
<th>O. C (%)</th>
<th>CO2 (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Soil pH
Significant differences were observed in the soil pH between the control and all of the treatments throughout the incubation period. The control treatment (T1) was the highest (8.29) and treatment T3 (HA + ChM) was the lowest (7.88). That is because the decomposed organic matter released organic acids that lowered the pH. Regardless of organic matter, soil pH gradually decreased with the increase of incubation time (Fig. 1). Generally, treatment T3 (HA + ChM) was slightly acidic compared with other treatments. These results are in line with [15-16], who showed that pH of cow manure is significantly greater than chicken manure treatment.

3.3 Electrical conductivity (EC)
The results indicated that the treatments significantly affected the electrical conductivity (EC) compared to the control. The control treatment (T1) was the lowest (0.340 dS/m) and treatment T3 (HA + ChM) was the highest (0.442 dS/m) (Fig. 2). Generally, soil EC increased significantly with incubation time and reached its peak at 45 days. At 60 days of incubation soil, EC was decreased. In general, the result showed, treatment T4 (HA + CoM) was the best (0.401 dS/m) compared with other treatments. This could be explained by the fact that the application of a combination of humic acid and cow manure will improve the physical condition of the soil that facilitate the water movement and hence salt leaching. Similar results were obtained by [17-18] who showed that the EC significantly increases with the application of chicken, cow manures and the potential of manure-induced soil salinization was very high in chicken manure compared with cow manure. This is may be due to the concentrations of nutrients released after decomposition.
3.4 Total nitrogen (N)
The soil nitrogen content showed a significant increase by treatments compared with the control. The values of total N ranged from (0.101 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) in control T1 to (0.215 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) in treatment T3 (HA + ChM). This is natural because applications of manure release nitrogen upon decomposition. Generally, soil nitrogen content was increased significantly with incubation time and reached its peak at 45 days. But in the case of treatment T2 (HA), it was observed that the total nitrogen decreased from (0.162 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) at 30 days to (0.134 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) at 60 days of the incubation period (Fig. 3). It was observed that treatment T3 (HA + ChM) was higher compared with treatment T4 (HA + CoM). However, treatment T4 (HA + CoM) was the best treatment compared with the other treatments, that is because there was no significant difference between 45 and 60 days of incubation time. [19], who found that organic manure increases total N.

3.5 Phosphorus (%)
The analysis of variance showed significant effects on phosphorous content by all treatments during the incubation time. The control treatment T1 was the lowest (3.65 %) and treatment T4 (HA + CoM) was the highest (14.64 %). In general, the phosphorus content was increased by incubation time and reached its peak at 45 days. The value of P was found to be 6.5% in T2 (HA), while in treatment T3 (HA + ChM) the value was 12.54% (Fig. 4). Treatment T4 (HA + CoM) was the best compared with others treatments. That is because the decomposed cow manure released more organic acids and that lowers the pH. Therefore, the decrease in soil pH will lead to an increase in phosphorus availability. Similar results were obtained by [20] who found that application of manure or compost increased the phosphorus content of the soil.

3.6 Soil organic carbon (%)
The addition of organic material has a significant effect on soil organic matter content (SOM) during the incubation period. The soil organic matter content was highest in treatment T4 (HA + CoM) (1.98 %) and lowest in the control treatment T1 (1.25 %). That is due to the addition of cow manure to soils can improve some soil properties such as organic matter content. Generally, the organic matter content ranged from 1.25%, 1.89%, 1.64% and 1.98% for T1, T2 (HA), T3 (HA + ChM) and T4 (HA + CoM) respectively (Fig. 6). It was observed in this study treatment T4 (HA + CoM) was the best treatment compared with others. That could be explained by the fact that cow manure is a source of organic carbon. Similar results were obtained by [22-23], who showed an increase in soil organic carbon with increased cow manure application.
3.7 Soil respiration (CO₂)

The results indicated that addition of organic material has an effect on CO₂ emission throughout the incubation period. In general, statistical analysis showed that the highest carbon dioxide (CO₂) was at 45 days of incubation period and decreased by time. This is natural because of the decrease of the organic material and hence, the decrease of the decomposing organisms. The control treatment T1 was the lowest (114.54 mg kg⁻¹) and treatment T4 (HA + CoM) was the highest (178.56 mg kg⁻¹) (Fig. 7) because the decomposition of cow manure released more CO₂ by soil microbes and that is an indicator of soil health (the level of microbial activity). These results were approximately in line with the findings of [24], who reported that application of manure can increase microbial populations by up to 1000-fold.

Fig. 7: Effect of treatments on soil CO₂ emission (mg kg⁻¹)

IV. CONCLUSION

In general, the results of the laboratory incubation experiments indicated that application of organic matter had significant effect on soil biochemical properties and treatment 4 T4 (HA+ CoM) showed a significant superiority compared with other treatments.
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