

# Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications

# Domination number of the non-commuting graph of finite groups

Ebrahim Vatandoost\*, Masoumeh Khalili

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran.

vatandoost@sci.ikiu.ac.ir, ava\_kh17@yahoo.com

### Abstract

Let G be a non-abelian group. The *non-commuting graph* of group G, shown by  $\Gamma_G$ , is a graph with the vertex set  $G \setminus Z(G)$ , where Z(G) is the center of group G. Also two distinct vertices of a and b are adjacent whenever  $ab \neq ba$ . A set  $S \subseteq V(\Gamma)$  of vertices in a graph  $\Gamma$  is a *dominating* set if every vertex  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  is an element of S or adjacent to an element of S. The *domination number* of a graph  $\Gamma$  denoted by  $\gamma(\Gamma)$ , is the minimum size of a dominating set of  $\Gamma$ . Here, we study some properties of the non-commuting graph of some finite groups. In this paper, we show that  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{|G| - |Z(G)|}{2}$ . Also we charactrize all of groups G of order n with t = |Z(G)|, in which  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \in \{n - t + 1, n - t, n - t - 1, n - t - 2\}$ .

*Keywords:* non-commuting graph, dominating set, domination number Mathematics Subject Classification : 05C25, 05C75 DOI: 10.5614/ejgta.2018.6.2.3

# 1. Introduction

Let G be a non-abelian group and Z(G) be the center of G. Associate a graph  $\Gamma_G$  with G as follows: Take  $G \setminus Z(G)$  as the vertices of  $\Gamma_G$ . Two vertices a and b are adjacent if  $ab \neq ba$ . This graph is called the *non-commuting graph of* G. Let  $\Gamma$  be a simple graph. A subset  $S \subseteq V(\Gamma)$  is called a *dominating set* if each vertex  $v \in V(\Gamma) \setminus S$  has at least one neighbor in S. The size of a smallest dominating set of  $\Gamma$  is called *domination number* of  $\Gamma$  and is denoted by  $\gamma(\Gamma)$ .

Received: 24 September 2016, Revised: 11 March 2018, Accepted: 16 April 2018. \*Corresponding author.

Erdös considered the non-commuting graph in 1975 for the first time. In 2004, Abdollahi, Akbari and Maimani studied some properties of the non-commuting graph of a group. For more results, see [1],[2], [6], [5] and [12].

Before starting, let us introduce some necessary notation and definitions. For every graph  $\Gamma$ , we denote the set of the vertices of  $\Gamma$  by  $V(\Gamma)$ . The minimum degree of a graph  $\Gamma$  denoted by  $\delta(\Gamma)$ . The *complete graph, path* and *cycle* on *n* vertices are denoted by  $K_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $C_n$ , respectively. The open neighborhood of a vertex v in  $\Gamma$  is the set  $N_{\Gamma}(v)$  of vertices joined to v by an edge. The closed neighborhood of v is the set  $N_{\Gamma}[v] = N_{\Gamma}(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The complement of  $\Gamma$  denoted by  $\overline{\Gamma}$ . If u and v are vertices in  $\Gamma$ , then d(u, v) denotes the length of the shortest path between u and v. A graph  $\Gamma$  is *connected* if there is a path between each pair of the vertices of  $\Gamma$ . The maximum value of d(u, v) between all pair of the vertices of connected graph  $\Gamma$  is called the *diameter* of  $\Gamma$  and denoted by  $diam(\Gamma)$ . Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be two graphs. The *corona*  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 o \Gamma_2$  is the graph formed from one copy of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $|V(\Gamma_1)|$  copies of  $\Gamma_2$  such that the *i*th vertex of  $\Gamma_1$  is adjacent to every vertex in the *i*th copy of  $\Gamma_2$ .

For each  $x \in G$ ,  $C_G(x) = \{g \in G \mid gx = xg\}$ . We denote the symmetric group and the alternating group on n letters by  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ , respectively. Also  $Q_8 = \langle A, B \mid A^4 = 1, A^2 = B^2, B^{-1}AB = A^{-1}\rangle$  and  $D_{2n} = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1\rangle$  are the quaternion group with 8 elements and the dihedral group of order 2n, respectively.

In this paper, we study the domination number of the non-commuting graphs. In particular, we charactrize all groups G of order n with |Z(G)| = t, in which  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \in \{n - t + 1, n - t, n - t - 1, n - t - 2\}$ .

# 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some useful results which will be applied in the next section.

**Theorem 2.1.** (*Ore*) [10] Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with no isolated vertices. Then  $\gamma(\Gamma) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [7] For a graph  $\Gamma$  with even order n and no isolated vertices,  $\gamma(\Gamma) = \frac{n}{2}$  if and only if the components of  $\Gamma$  are the cycle  $C_4$  or the Corona  $HoK_1$  for any connected graph H.

**Theorem 2.3.** [1], 2.1. For any non-abelian group G, diam( $\Gamma_G$ )=2. In particular,  $\Gamma_G$  is connected. Also the girth of  $\Gamma_G$  equal 3.

**Theorem 2.4.** [11] Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph of order n. Then the following holds.

- i)  $\gamma(\Gamma) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}) \leq n+1.$
- *ii)*  $\gamma(\Gamma)\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}) \leq n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a finite non-abelian group. Then  $\delta(\Gamma_G) \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $deg_{\Gamma_G}(v) \leq 2$ , for some  $v \in V(\Gamma_G)$ . Since  $deg_{\Gamma_G}(v) \geq \frac{|G|}{2}$ , then  $|G| \leq 4$ . So, G is an abelian group, which is a contradiction.

*Remark* 2.1. Here, we get figures of the non-commuting graph of some groups. These figures are useful in proving some theorems in the third section. (See Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4.)



Figure 1. The non-commuting graph of  $S_3$ .



Figure 2. The non-commuting graph of  $D_8$ .



Figure 3. The non-commuting graph of  $Q_8$ .

# **Lemma 2.2.** Let G be a non-abelian group of odd order. Then, the graph $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ contains no isolated vertex.

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary, a is an isolated vertex of  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ . Then, for each  $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$  we have  $ax \neq xa$ . Hence o(a) = 2, which is a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let G be a non-abelian group and |Z(G)| = 1. Then, the vertices of degree one in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  occure only at the edges. Furthermore, if  $\deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G} a = 1$  then o(a) = 3.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ ,  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}a = 1$  and a be adjacent to b. Then,  $C_G(a) = \{1, a, b\}$  and so  $b = a^{-1}$ . Hence,  $C_G(b) = \{1, b, a\}$ . Thus,  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}b = 1$ . Furthermore, o(a) = o(b) = 3.



Figure 4. The non-commuting graph of  $D_{10}$ .

# **Lemma 2.4.** Let G be a non-abelian group. Then $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ does not have $C_n$ , (n > 3) as component.

*Proof.* Let  $C_n$  be a component of  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  and  $\{a, b\} \subset V(C_n)$  such that  $b \in N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(a)$ . Then  $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}[a] = \{a, b, f\}$  and  $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}[b] = \{a, b, c\}$ . So  $C_G(a) = \{a, b, f\} \cup Z(G)$  and  $C_G(b) = \{a, b, c\} \cup Z(G)$ . Since  $|C_G(a) \cap C_G(b)|$  divides  $|C_G(a)|$ , then 2 + |Z(G)| divides 3 + |Z(G)|, that is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.1.** Let G be a group. Write S and T for the set of elements of G of order two and three, respectively. Then G is a acceptable when neither S nor T is empty and  $G = S^* \cup T$ , where  $S^* = S \cup \{e\}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [3] If G is acceptable, then either  $S^* \leq G$  or  $T^* \leq G$ .

# 3. Main results

In this section, we prove our main results.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let G be a non-abelian group. Then, the following holds.

- *i*)  $\delta(\Gamma_G) = 3$  *if and only if G is isomorphic to*  $S_3$ .
- *ii*)  $\delta(\Gamma_G) = 4$  *if and only if G is isomorphic to*  $D_8$  *or*  $Q_8$ *.*
- *iii*)  $\delta(\Gamma_G) = 5$  *if and only if* G *is isomorphic to*  $D_{10}$ .

*Proof.* We prove as follows:

i) Let δ(Γ<sub>G</sub>) = 3 and deg<sub>Γ<sub>G</sub></sub>(v) = 3, for some v ∈ V(Γ<sub>G</sub>). Since deg<sub>Γ<sub>G</sub></sub>(v) ≥ <sup>|G|</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, then |G| ≤ 6. The only non-abelian group of order less than 7 is S<sub>3</sub>. Conversely, Suppose that G is isomorphic to S<sub>3</sub>. By considering the figure of the non-commuting graph associated to symmetric group S<sub>3</sub> (See Figure 1), we obtain δ(Γ<sub>G</sub>) = 3.

- ii) Let  $\delta(\Gamma_G) = 4$ . Then  $|G| \leq 8$  and so  $G \in \{S_3, D_8, Q_8\}$ . By  $(i), G \not\cong S_3$ . So  $G \cong D_8$  or  $Q_8$ . Conversely, Suppose that G is isomorphic to  $D_8$  or  $Q_8$ . By considering the figure of the non-commuting graph associated to  $D_8$  and  $Q_8$  (See Figures 2 and 3), we obtain  $\delta(\Gamma_G) = 4$ .
- iii) Let  $\delta(\Gamma_G) = 5$ . Then  $|G| \leq 10$ . By (i) and (ii), |G| = 10. Since G is not an abelian group, then G is isomorphic to  $D_{10}$ . Conversely, Suppose that G is isomorphic to  $D_{10}$ . By considering the figure of the non-commuting graph associated to the dihedral group  $D_{10}$  (See Figure 4), we obtain  $\delta(\Gamma_G) = 5$ .

# **Corollary 3.1.** All of 3-regular and 5-regular graphs cannot be non-commuting graphs.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a (n-2)-regular graph of order n. Then  $\Gamma$  is the non-commuting graph associated to a non-abelian group G if and only if n = 6 and G is isomorphic to  $D_8$  or  $Q_8$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a (n-2)-regular graph of order n and G be a group such that  $\Gamma_G = \Gamma$ . Then n is even and  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is a disjoint union of  $\frac{n}{2}$  edges. If a and b are adjacent in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ , then  $C_G(a) = Z(G) \cup \{a, b\}$ . It is clear that  $|Z(G)| \leq 2$ .

If |Z(G)| = 1, then  $C_G(a) = \{1, a, a^{-1} = b\}$  and o(a) = 3. Since  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is a disjoint union of some edges, then for each  $x \in G$  we have o(x) = 3. Thus, there is a positive integer s such that  $|G| = 3^s$ . Hence |Z(G)| > 1, which is a contradiction.

If |Z(G)| = 2 and  $Z(G) = \{1, x\}$ , then for each  $a \in G \setminus Z(G)$ ,  $C_G(a) = \{1, x, a, b\}$  and so we have  $a^2 = 1$  or  $a^2 = x$ . Therefore for each  $a \in G \setminus Z(G)$  we have  $a^2 \in Z(G)$ . Hence  $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$  is an elementary abelian 2-group. So  $G' \leq Z(G)$ , which implies |G'| = 1 or 2. Since G is not an abelian group, then  $G' \neq \{1\}$ . Thus G' = Z(G). Also we have  $cl(a) = \{g^{-1}ag : g \in G\} \subseteq aG'$  and so  $|cl(a)| \leq 2$ . Since  $|cl(a)| = \frac{|G|}{|C_G(a)|}$ , then  $|G| \leq 8$ . Hence  $G \cong S_3$ ,  $D_8$  or  $Q_8$ . Since  $Z(S_3) = 1$ , then  $G \cong D_8$  or  $G \cong Q_8$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $G \cong D_8$  or  $G \cong Q_8$ . Then by considering the figures of the noncommuting graphs of these two groups (See Figures 2 and 3), we obtain  $\Gamma_G$  is a 4-regular graph of order 6.

### **Theorem 3.3.** Every (n-3)-regular graph of order n is not the non-commuting graph.

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph of order n and (n-3)-regular. Also, suppose that G is a group and  $\Gamma_G = \Gamma$ . Thus,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is a 2-regular graph. It means that  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is a disjoint union of cycles.

By Lemma 2.4,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is a disjoint union of triangles. Hence for every  $a \in G \setminus Z(G)$ ,  $C_G(a) = Z(G) \cup \{a, b, c\}$  such that bc = cb. Thus,  $|Z(G)| \leq 3$ .

**Case 1.** If |Z(G)| = 1, then  $|C_G(a)| = 4$ . So there is a positive integer s such that  $|G| = 2^s$ . Thus, |Z(G)| > 1, which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.** If |Z(G)| = 2, then  $|C_G(a)| = 5$ . Since |Z(G)| divides  $|C_G(a)|$ , then 2 | 5, which is a contradiction.

**Case 3.** If |Z(G)| = 3, then  $|C_G(a)| = 6$ . Thus,  $C_G(a) \cong Z_6$  and so for each  $x \notin Z(G)$ ,  $o(x) \in \{2, 6\}$ . So for each  $a \in G \setminus Z(G)$ ,  $a^2 \in Z(G)$  which implies G/Z(G) is an elementary abelian 2-group. Therefore,  $G' \leq Z(G)$ . Since G is not an abelian group, then G' = Z(G). We

know that  $cl(a) \subseteq aG'$ . So  $|cl(a)| \leq 3$  Hence  $6 < |G| \leq 18$ . Since |Z(G)| = 3 and |Z(G)| divides |G|, then  $|G| \in \{9, 12, 15, 18\}$ . Furthermore, since G is not an abelian group, then we have  $|G| \in \{12, 18\}$ .

If |G| = 12, then  $G \cong A_4$ ,  $D_{12}$  or  $\langle a, b | a^6 = 1, a^3 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ . Since G has only 2 elements of order three, then  $G \ncong A_4$ . Also since  $|Z(D_{12})| = 2$ , then  $G \ncong D_{12}$ . Hence  $G \cong \langle a, b | a^6 = 1, a^3 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ . So G has an element of order 4, which is a contradiction.

If |G| = 18, then  $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong 5K_3$ . So G has exactly 5 elements of order 2. Let  $n_p$  be the number of Sylow p-subgroup of G. By Sylow Theorem,  $n_2(G) \in \{1, 3, 9\}$ . Hence G has 1, 3 or 9 elements of order 2, which is a contradiction.

In [10], Ore proved that if  $\Gamma$  is a graph with no isolated vertices, then  $\gamma(\Gamma) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . In Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, we show that if G is a non-abelian group with |Z(G)| = t, then  $\gamma(\Gamma_G)$  and  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$  are less than  $\frac{n-t}{2}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let G be a non-abelian group of order n and |Z(G)| = t. Then  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-t}{2}$ .

*Proof.* We know  $|V(\Gamma_G)| = n-t$ . Since  $\Gamma_G$  is a connected graph, it contains no isolated vertex. By Theorem 2.1,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) \leq \frac{n-t}{2}$ . Now, we show  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-t}{2}$ . Assume to the contrary,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-t}{2}$ . By Theorem 2.2, each component of the graph  $\Gamma_G$  is the cycle  $C_4$  or the Corona product  $K_1$  and a connected graph H, that is  $HoK_1$ . By Lemma 2.1, is a contradiction. Hence  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-t}{2}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.5.** Let G be a non-abelian group of odd order n and |Z(G)| = t. Then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < \frac{n-t}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Since *n* is odd, then *t* is odd. By Lemma 2.2, the graph  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  contains no isolated vertex. By Theorem 2.1,  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \leq \frac{n-t}{2}$ . Now, suppose that  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-t}{2}$ . Then, by Theorem 2.2,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  has connected components of kind of  $C_4$  or  $HoK_1$ . By Lemma 2.4, all components of  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  are the corona product  $K_1$  and a connected graph H. Let *a* be a vertex of degree 1 in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  and *b* is adjacent to *a*. Then  $C_G(a) = \{a, b\} \cup Z(G)$  and so  $|Z(G)| \leq 2$ . Since |G| is odd, then |Z(G)| = 1. By Lemma 2.3,  $|G| = 3^s$ . Hence |Z(G)| > 1, which is a contradiction.

In the following theorem, we characterize all groups G of order n with |Z(G)| = t, in which  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \in \{n - t + 1, n - t, n - t - 1, n - t - 2\}.$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** Let G be a non-abelian group of order n and |Z(G)| = t. Then the following holds.

- i)  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < n t + 1.$
- *ii*)  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n t$  if and only if  $G \cong S_3$ .
- *iii*)  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n t 1$  if and only if  $G \cong D_8$  or  $G \cong Q_8$ .
- *iv*)  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n t 2$  *if and only if*  $G \cong D_{10}$ .

*Proof.* Since |G| = n and |Z(G)| = t, then  $|V(\Gamma_G)| = n - t$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-t}{2}$ .

Domination number of the non-commuting graph of finite groups | E. Vatandoost and M. Khalili

- i) By Theorem 2.4,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \leq n t + 1$ . Let  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n t + 1$ . Then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > n t + 1 \frac{n-t}{2} = \frac{n-t+2}{2}$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  contains at least one isolated vertex. So t = 1 and  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$ . Thus  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n 1$ . Therefore  $\Gamma_G = K_{n-1}$ . By Theorem 2.3, it is impossible. Hence  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < n t + 1$ .
- ii) Let  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n t$ . Then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-t}{2}$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  contains at least one isolated vertex. So t = 1,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$  and  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n 2$ . Thus,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is a disjoint union of  $P_2$  and isolated vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-3}\}$ . All isolated vertices  $x_i$  are of order 2. Let a and b be the vertices of the path  $P_2$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $b = a^{-1}$  and o(a) = o(b) = 3. We claim that if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are two elements of order 2, then  $o(x_1x_2) = 3$ . To see this, suppose  $o(x_1x_2) = 2$ . Then  $x_1x_2x_1x_2 = 1$  and so  $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$ . Thus  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ , which is a contradiction. It is easy to see that  $o(x_ib) = 2$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n 3$ . If  $T = \langle x_1, b \mid x_1^2 = b^3 = 1, (x_1b)^2 = 1 \rangle$ , then  $T \cong S_3$ . We prove  $G \cong T$ . If  $x_2 \in G \setminus T$  and  $x_1x_2 = b$ , then  $x_2 = x_1b$ . Since  $x_1b \in T$ ,  $x_2 \in T$ . Also if  $x_1x_2 = b^{-1}$ , then  $x_2 = x_1b^{-1} \in T$ .
  - $x_1x_2 = b$ , then  $x_2 = x_1b$ . Since  $x_1b \in T$ ,  $x_2 \in T$ . Also if  $x_1x_2 = b^{-1}$ , then  $x_2 = x_1b^{-1} \in T$ . However it is a contradiction. Hence  $G \cong T \cong S_3$ . Conversely, if  $G \cong S_3$ , then by Figure 1,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$  and  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = 4$  and the proof is complete.
- iii) Let  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n t 1$ . Then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-t-2}{2}$ . We consider two cases:

**Case 1.** Let  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-t}{2}$ . Then  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-t}{2} - 1$ . If  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  has an isolated vertex, then t = 1 and so n is odd. By Theorem 3.5,  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , which is false. If  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  does not have an isolated vertex, then by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.4,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  has a vertex of degree one. So t = 1 or t = 2. If t = 1, then n is odd. By Theorem 3.5,  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , which is false. If t = 2, then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-2}{2}$  and  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-4}{2}$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\frac{n-2}{2} \cdot \frac{n-4}{2} \leq n-2$ . Since  $n \neq 2$ , then  $n \leq 8$ . So G is isomorphic to  $S_3$ ,  $D_8$  or  $Q_8$ . But  $Z(S_3) = 1$ . Hence G is isomorphic to  $D_8$  or  $Q_8$ .

**Case 2.** If  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-t}{2}$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  contains at least one isolated vertex. So t = 1 and so  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$ . Therefore  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 3$ . By Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 3$ , we have the following subcases.

Subcase 1. Let  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  be a union of the isolated vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-4}\}$  and  $K_3$  with vertices a, b, c. Then  $C_G(a) = C_G(b) = C_G(c) = \{1, a, b, c\}$ . So orders of a, b and c are 2 or 4. If o(a) = o(b) = o(c) = 2, then order of each element of G is 2 and so G is an abelian group, which is a contradiction. If o(a) = 2 and o(b) = 4, then  $a = b^2$  and for each i  $(i = 1, 2, ..., n - 4), x_i bx_i = b$  or  $x_i bx_i = b^{-1}$ . If  $x_i bx_i = b$ , then  $bx_i = x_i b$ . So b is adjacent to  $x_i$ , which is a contradiction. If  $x_i bx_i = b^{-1}$ , then  $\langle b, x_i \rangle \cong D_8$ . We claim that  $G \cong \langle b, x_1 \rangle$ . Suppose that  $x \in G \setminus \langle b, x_1 \rangle$ . Since  $xbx = b^{-1}$  and  $x_1 bx_1 = b^{-1}$ , then  $xbx = x_1 bx_1$  and so  $x_1x \in C_G(b)$ . We know that  $C_G(b) = \langle b \rangle$ . So  $x \in \{x_1 b, x_1 b^2, x_1 b^3\}$ , Hence  $x \in \langle b, x_1 \rangle$ . Therefore  $G \cong D_8$ . Since in this case  $Z(G) = \{1\}$ , then we have  $Z(D_8) = \{1\}$ , which is a contradiction.

**Subcase 2.** Let  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  be a union of the isolated vertices  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-5}\}$  and two edges with vertices  $a \sim b$  and  $c \sim d$ . By Lemma 2.3, o(a) = o(b) = o(c) = o(d) = 3. Since  $\{1, a, b, c, d\}$  is not a subgroup of G, by Theorem 2.5,  $\{1, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-5}\}$  is a subgroup of G. So  $o(x_1x_2) = 2$ . Hence  $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$  and so  $x_1$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ , which is a contradiction. Conversely, by using the figures 2 and 3 we can obtain the proof.

iv) Let  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - t - 2$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-t}{2}$ . We have the following cases.

**Case 1.** If  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-t-2}{2}$ , then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-t-2}{2}$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G).\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \leq n-t$ . Hence,  $1 \leq n-t \leq 7$ . Since n-t is even and  $t \mid n$ , then  $n-t \in \{4,6\}$ . If n-t=4, then (n,t) = (6,2) and so  $G \cong S_3$ . Which is a contradiction to the fact that  $Z(S_3) = 1$ . If n-t=6, then  $(n,t) \in \{(10,4), (8,2)\}$ . Since  $t \mid n$ , we have (n,t) = (8,2). So  $G \cong D_8$  or  $G \cong Q_8$ . By Figures 2 and 3, we have a contradiction.

**Case 2.** Let  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-t-4}{2}$  and  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-t}{2}$ . If  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  has an isolated vertex, then t = 1 and so *n* is odd. By Theorem 3.5,  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , which is false.

If  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  does not have an isolated vertex, then by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.4,  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  has a vertex of degree 1. So t = 1 or t = 2. If t = 1, then n is odd, which is false. If t = 2, then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-2}{2}$  and  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-6}{2}$ . Thus  $n \leq 10$ . By Figures 2, 3 and 4, we have a contradiction.

**Case 3.** Let  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-t-4}{2}$ . Then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-t}{2}$  and so  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  has at least one isolated vertex. Thus t = 1,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$  and  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 4$ . Let  $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ . If  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) > 3$ , then  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < n - 4$ , which is not true. Hence for every  $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ ,  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) \leq 3$  and  $o(u) \leq 5$ . We have the following subcases.

**Subcase 1.** Let  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) \leq 1$ , where  $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ . Then  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is isomorphic to union of 3 copies of  $P_2$  and n-7 isolated vertices. It is clear that isolated vertices are of order 2. By Lemma 2.3, G is an abelian acceptable group. By Theorem 2.5,  $T \cup \{1\} \leq G$  or  $S \cup \{1\} \leq G$ . Since 3 does not divide  $|T \cup \{1\}|$ , then  $T \cup \{1\}$  is not a subgroup of G. Hence  $S^* = S \cup \{1\}$  is a subgroup of G. Since  $|S^*| \leq \frac{|G|}{2}$ , then  $|G| \leq 12$ . We know that there is no group of order less than 12 with exactly 6 elements of order 3, which implies that |G| = 12. Hence  $G \cong A_4, D_{12}$  or  $L = \langle a, b \mid a^6 = 1, a^3 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ . Since G has exactly 6 elements of order 3, we have  $G \notin \{A_4, D_{12}\}$ . Also in L we have o(b) = 4. So  $G \ncong L$ .

Subcase 2. For each  $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ ,  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) \leq 2$ . Let  $u, v \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$  and  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) = deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(v) = 2$ . If u and v are not adjacent in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ , then by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4,  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < n-4$ , which is not true. If u and v are adjacent in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ , then by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, u and v are vertices of a  $K_3$ . Since  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 4$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$  is isomorphic to union of exactly one copy of  $K_3$ , one copy of  $P_2$  and some isolated vertices. Suppose  $V(K_3) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ ,  $V(P_2) = \{v_1, v_2\}$  and isolated vertices are denoted by  $x_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n - 6$ . We have

 $C_G(u_i) = \{1, u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ . So  $o(u_i) \in \{2, 4\}$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $o(v_1) = o(v_2) = 3$ . Also we have  $o(x_i) = 2$ . So  $|G| = 2^{\ell}3$ , where  $\ell$  is natural. By Sylow Theorem, there are two subgroup H and K of G such that  $|H| = 2^{\ell}$  and  $K = \{e, v_1, v_1^{-1} = v_2\}$ .

If  $h \in H$  and o(h) = 2, then  $o(hv_1h) = o(v_1) = 3$ . So  $hv_1h = v_1$  or  $v_1^{-1}$ . If  $hv_1h = v_1$ , then  $v_1h = hv_1$  and so  $o(v_1h) = 6$ , which is false. If  $hv_1h = v_1^{-1}$ , then  $v_1h = hv_1^{-1}$  or  $hv_1 = v_1^{-1}h$ . It is well known that |Z(H)| > 1.

Let  $z \in Z(H)$  and o(z) = 2. Then for every  $h \in H \setminus \{z\}$ , we have  $(zh)v_1 = (hz)v_1 = h(zv_1) = h(v_1^{-1}z) = (hv_1^{-1})z = (v_1h)z = v_1(hz) = v_1(zh)$  Hence  $zh \in C_G(v_1)$ . So  $zh \in \{v_1, v_1^{-1}\}$ .

If |H| > 4, then  $|H| \ge 8$ . Thus there are  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ ,  $h_1 \ne h_2$  such that  $zh_1 = zh_2$ . Hence  $h_1 = h_2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus |H| = 4 and so |G| = 12. Since G has exactly two elements of order 3, then  $|cl(v_1)| = 1$  or 2. Since  $|C_G(v_1)| = 3$  and  $[G : C_G(v_1)] = |cl(v_1)|$ , we have 4 = 1 or 4 = 2, which is not true.

Subcase 3. Let  $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ ,  $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) = 3$  and  $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) = \{x, y, z\}$ . Then  $C_G(u) = \{1, u, x, y, z\}$  and so o(u) = 5. Hence induced subgraph on  $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}[u]$  is isomorphic to  $K_4$ . Since  $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 4$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_4 \cup (n - 5)K_1$ . On the other hand if x is a isolated vertex in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ , then o(x) = 2. Since  $xu \notin \{1, u, u^2, u^3, u^4\}$ , we have o(xu) = 2. Thus  $xux = u^{-1}$  and so  $\langle x, u \rangle \cong D_{10}$ . Now let  $y \in G \setminus \langle x, u \rangle$  and y be an isolated vertex in  $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ . Then o(yu) = 2. Hence yuy = xux. This implies that  $xy \in C_G(u) = \{1, u, u^2, u^3, u^4\}$ . Therefore  $y \in \{x, xu, xu^2, xu^3, xu^4\}$  and so  $y \in \langle x, u \rangle$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $G \cong \langle x, u \rangle \cong D_{10}$ . Conversely, if  $G \cong D_{10}$ , then t = 1 and by Figure 4,  $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = 7$  and the proof is

complete.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the referee for his/her useful comments. This research was partially supported by the Imam Khomeini International University.

# References

- A. Abdollahi, S. Akbari and H.R. Maimani, Non-commuting graph of a group, J. Algebra 298 (2006), 468–492.
- [2] N. Ahanjideh and A. Iranmanesh, On the relation between the non-commuting graph and the prime graph, *Int. J. Group Theory* **1** (2012), 25–28.
- [3] E.D. Bolker, Bryn Mawr College, Groups whose elements are ordered two or three, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **79** (9) (1972), 1007–1010).
- [4] J.A. Bondy, J.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, Elsevier, (1977).

- [5] M.R. Darafsheh, H. Bigdely, A. Bahrami, Some results on non-commuting graph of a finite group, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **27** (2010), 107–118.
- [6] M.R. Darafsheh, P. Yousefzadeh, A characterization of the group  $A_{p+3}$  by its non-commuting graph, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* **11** (2012), 160–164.
- [7] J.F. Fink, M.S. Jacobson, L.F. Kinch and J. Robert, On graph having domination number half their order, *Period. Math. Hangar.* **16** (1985), 287–293.
- [8] J.C. Lennox, J. Wiegold, Extensions of a problem of Paul Erdös on groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A **31** (1981), 459–463.
- [9] B.H. Neumann, A problem of Paul Erdös on groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A **21** (1976), 467–472.
- [10] O. Ore, *Theory of graphs*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 38 (Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI), 1962.
- [11] C. Payan and N.H. Xuong, Domination-balanced graphs, J. Graph Theory 6 (1982), 23–32.
- [12] B. Tolue, A. Erfania, A. Jafarzadeh, A kind of non-commuting graph of finite groups, J. Sci. Islam. Repub. Iran 25 (2014), 379–384.
- [13] D.B. West, Introduction to graph theory, Prentice Hall, (1998).