

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

Lei Ma, Wei Wang, Lei Ma

Abstract— In this paper ,we deal with the initial boundary value problems for higher -order kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly dissipation:

At first ,we prove the local existence and uniqueness of the solution by Galerkin method then and contracting mapping principle .Furthermore,we prove the global existence of solution , At last,we consider that blow up of solution in finite time under suitable condition .

Index Terms— Higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff wave equation;local existence; The existence and uniqueness; blow-up

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we concerned with global existence and blow-up of solution for the following for Higher-order Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly dissipation :

$$u_{tt} + (-\Delta)^m u_t + \phi(\|\nabla^m u\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u + h(u_t) = f(u) \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial v^i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0, \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega \quad (1.3)$$

Where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is bounded open domain with smooth boundary; v is the outer norm vector; $m > 1$ is a positive integer, $\phi(r)$ is a nonnegative locally Lipschitz, $h(u_t)$ is a nonlinear forcing, $f(u)$ is a nonlinear C^1 -function, $(-\Delta)^m u_t$ is a strongly dissipation.

There have been many researches on the global and blow-up solution for Kirchhoff equation. we can see [1-8].

Kosuke Ono [9] deals with the higher-order kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear dissipation:

$$u_{tt} + M(\|\nabla u\|^2)(-\Delta)u + |u_t|^p u_t = f(u), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad \text{and } u(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

Lei Ma, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091 People's Republic of China

Wei Wang, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091 People's Republic of China

Lei Ma, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091 People's Republic of China

In where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $M(r)$ is a nonnegative C^1 -function for $r \geq 0$ positive satisfying $M(\|\nabla u\|^2) = a + b\|\nabla u\|^{2\gamma}$ with $a, b \geq 0, a + b > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$, and $f(u)$ constants is nonlinear C^1 -function satisfying $|f(u)| \leq k_1|u|^{\alpha+1}$ and $|f'(u)| \leq k_2|u|^\alpha$ with $k_1, k_2 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. he investigate on global existence and blow up of solution .

Yaojun Ye [10] also studied the initial-boundary value problem for a class of nonlinear higher-order kirchhoff-type equation with dissipation term

$$u_{tt} + \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|^{2p} Au + a|u_t|^{q-2} u_t = b|u|^{r-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (1.7)$$

$$u(x,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u(x,t)}{\partial v^i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0. \quad (1.8)$$

In a bounded domain, where $A = (-\Delta)^m$, $m > 1$ is a positive integer, $a, b, p > 0$ and $q, r > 2$ are constants, he obtains the global existence of solutions by construction a stable set in $H_0^m(\Omega)$ and show the energy decay estimate by applying a lemma of V.Komornik.

Takeshi Taniguchi [11] considered the existence of local solution to a weakly damped wave equation of equation of kirchhoff type the damped term and the source term

$$u_{tt}(t) - M(\|u(t)\|^2)\Delta u(t) - \gamma_2 u_t(t) + |u_t(t)|^p u_t(t) = |u(t)|^q u(t) \quad (1.9)$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad \text{and } u(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad p, q, \gamma_2 > 0 \quad (1.10)$$

with an initial value $u(0) = u_0, u_t(0) = u_1$ and the Dirichlet

boundary condition $u(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, where Ω is an open

bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and $M(r)$ is a locally Lipschitz function, he discuss the global existence and exponential asymptotic behavior of solution.

Recently, Gongwei Liu [12] concerned with the study of damped wave equation of kirchhoff type

$$u_{tt} - M(\|\nabla u\|_2^2)\Delta u + u_t = g(u). \quad (1.11)$$

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, with initial and Dirichlet boundary condition. where Ω is the bound of R^2 have a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. under the assumption that g is a function with exponential growth at infinity, he proves global existence and the decay property as well as blow-up of solutions in finite time under suitable conditions.

The paper is arranged as follows. in section 2, we state some preliminaries and some lemma ; in section 3, we obtain the existence and uniqueness of the local solution by the Banach contraction mapping principle ; in section 4, we discuss global existence and nonexistence results ; in section 5, we discuss the blow-up properties of solution for positive and negative initial energy and estimate for blow-up time T^* .

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper ,for convenience, we denote the norm and scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$ by $\|\cdot\|$ and (\cdot, \cdot) ; we use the next notations

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv dx$$

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx$$

$$\|u\|_r = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

where $C_i (i = 0 \dots 12)$, are constants,

In this section, we present some materials needed in the proof of our results, we assume that

there exists some constants

$$(G_1) \quad |f(u)| \leq k_1|u| + k_2|u|^{r+1}, \quad k_1, k_2, r > 0.$$

(G₂) there exist some constants

$$(1) \quad h(s)s \geq 0, \quad s \in R,$$

$$(2) \quad \|h(u_t)\| \leq C_0(1 + \|\nabla^m u_t\|)^{1-\sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < 1.$$

$$(3) \quad |h(u_t)| \leq k_3|u_t|^{p+1}, \quad k_3, p \geq 0.$$

(G₃) there exist a constant $\beta > 0$, such that

$$f(u)u \geq (2 + 4\beta)G(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in R.$$

In this paper ,we will use the next well-know Lemmas
Lemma2.1[10] Let s be a number with

$$2 \leq s < +\infty, n \leq 2m \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \leq s \leq \frac{2n}{n-2m}.$$

then there is a constant C depending on Ω and s such that

$$\|u\|_s \leq \kappa \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} u \right\|, \quad \forall u \in H_0^m(\Omega) \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma2.2[13](young inequality)Let a, b and μ are positive constants, such that

$$0 \leq r < \frac{2m}{n-2m} \quad \text{we have}$$

$$ab \leq \frac{\mu^p}{p} a^p + \frac{1}{q\mu^q} b^q \quad \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \quad p > 1, q > 1 \right) \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma2.3[14] Suppose that $\delta > 0$ and $B(t)$ is a nonnegative $C^2(0, +\infty)$ function such that

$$B''(t) - 4(\delta + 1)B'(t) + 4(\delta + 1)B(t) \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

if

$$B'(0) > r_2 B(0) + K_0 \tag{2.4}$$

Then we have $\forall t > 0, B'(t) > K_0$, where K_0 is a constant

$$r_2 = 2(\delta + 1) - 2\sqrt{(\delta + 1)\delta}$$

is smaller root of the equation

$$r^2 - 4(\delta + 1)r + 4(\delta + 1) = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

Lemma2.4[14] If $J(t)$ is a non-increasing function on $[t_0, +\infty), t_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$J'(t)^2 \geq a + bJ(t)^{\frac{2+\frac{1}{\delta}}{\delta}}, \quad \forall t_0 \geq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $a > 0, b \in R$. Then there exists a finite time T^* such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} J(t) = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

And the case that

$$(i) \quad \text{If } b > 0, \quad J(t_0) < \min\left\{1, \sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}\right\}, \quad \text{then}$$

$$T^* \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-b}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}}}{\sqrt{\frac{a}{-b}} - J(t_0)}; \tag{2.8}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } b = 0, \text{ then } T^* \leq t_0 + \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{a}}. \tag{2.9}$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{If } b > 0, \quad T^* \leq \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{a}} \quad \text{or}$$

$$T^* \leq t_0 + 2^{\frac{3\delta+1}{2\delta}} \frac{\delta c}{\sqrt{a}} \{1 - [1 + cJ(t_0)]^{\frac{-1}{2\delta}}\} \tag{2.10}$$

III. EXISTENCE OF LOCAL SOLUTION

In this section ,we prove the existence of local solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3) for initial value $(u_0, u_1) \in H^m(\Omega) \cap H^{2m}(\Omega) \times H^m(\Omega)$

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that (G₁), (G₂) hold, for any give $(u_0, u_1) \in H^{2m}(\Omega) \cap H_0^m(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$

$$u(t) \in C([0, T_0]; H_0^m(\Omega) \cap H^{2m}(\Omega))$$

$$u_t(t) \in C([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega) \cap H^m(\Omega))$$

Proof we set for any $T > 0$, the Banach space

$$X_{T,R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v(t) \in C([0, T]; H^{2m} \cap H_0^m), \\ v_t(t) \in C([0, T]; L^2 \cap H_0^m), \\ \rho(v(t)) \leq R. \end{array} \right\}$$

Where $\rho(v(t)) = \|v_t\|^2 + \|(-\Delta)^m v\|^2$. Define distance $\rho(u, v) = \sup_{t \leq 0 \leq T} \rho(u(t) - v(t))$. Then $X_{T,R}$ is a complete metric space.

We define map the $\mathcal{X} : v \mapsto \mathcal{X}(v) = u$. u is the unique solution of the following equation:

$$u_{tt} + (-\Delta)^m u_t + \phi(\|\nabla^m u\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u + h(u_t) = f(v) \quad (3.1)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u(x, t)}{\partial v^i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (3.3)$$

we define map the $T > 0$ and $R > 0$, such that

- (1) \mathcal{X} maps $X_{T,R}$ into itself;
- (2) \mathcal{X} is a contraction mapping with respect to the metric $d(\dots)$.

Step 1. we will show that \mathcal{X} maps $X_{T,R}$ into itself, we multiply $u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u$ with both sides of equation (3.4). and obtain

$$(u_{tt} + (-\Delta)^m u_t + \phi(\|\nabla^m u\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u + h(u_t), u_t + (-\Delta)^m u) = (f(v), u_t + (-\Delta)^m u) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_{tt}, u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon d}{dt} (u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) - \varepsilon \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & ((-\Delta)^m u_t, u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u) \\ &= \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u, u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|\nabla^m u\|^2) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|\nabla^m u\|^2 + \varepsilon \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{dt} (\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|\nabla^m u\|^2) \right| \\ & \leq \|\phi'(\xi)\|_\infty \|(-\Delta)^m v\| \|v_t\| \|\nabla^m u\|^2 \\ & \leq LR \|\nabla^m u\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{LR}{\lambda^m} \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \\ & \leq \kappa_0 \rho(u(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2))(-\Delta)^m u, u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|\nabla^m u\|^2) \\
 & - \kappa_0 \rho(u(t)) + \varepsilon \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (h(u_t), u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u) \\
 & = (h(u_t), u_t) + \varepsilon(h(u_t), (-\Delta)^m u)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

According to young inequality and G_2 , such that

$$(h(u_t), \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u) \geq -\frac{\varepsilon \|h(u_t)\|^2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2}{2} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|h(u_t)\|^2 \\
 & \leq C_0(1 + \|\nabla^m u_t\|)^2 \\
 & \leq 2C_0 + 2C_0 \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (h(u_t), (-\Delta)^m u) \\
 & \geq -C_0 - C_0 \varepsilon \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

According to Lemma 2.1 and (G_1) , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (f(v), u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u) \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} (k_1|v| + k_2|v|^{r+1}) u_t dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (k_1|v| + k_2|v|^{r+1}) (-\Delta)^m u dx \\
 & \leq (k_1 \|v\| + k_2 \|v\|_{2r+2}^{r+1}) \|u_t\| + \varepsilon (k_1 \|v\| + k_2 \|v\|_{2r+2}^{r+1}) \|(-\Delta)^m u\| \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{k_1 \sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}} + k_2 \kappa R^{r+1} \right) \|u_t\| + \left(\frac{\varepsilon k_1 \sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}} + \varepsilon k_2 \kappa R^{r+1} \right) \|(-\Delta)^m u\|
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

We take $\alpha_0 = \left\{ \frac{k_1 \sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}} + k_2 \kappa R^{r+1}, \frac{\varepsilon k_1 \sqrt{R}}{\lambda^{2m}} + \varepsilon k_2 \kappa R^{r+1} \right\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (f(v), u_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m u) \\
 & \leq \alpha_0 (\|u_t\| + \|(-\Delta)^m u\|) \\
 & \leq \alpha_0 (\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.5) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|\nabla^m u\|^2) \\
 & + \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 - \varepsilon \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 - C_0 \varepsilon \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \\
 & \leq \alpha_0 (\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \kappa_0 \rho(u(t)) + C_0
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{dt}{d} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) + \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|\nabla^m u\|^2 \right) + K_1 \\
 & + \left(1 - \varepsilon - \frac{C_0 \varepsilon}{2} \right) \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 + \varepsilon \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \alpha_0(\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \kappa_0\rho(u(t)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho(u(t)) + C_0 \quad (3.17)$$

We take $\rho_1(u(t)) = \|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1 \\ & \geq \|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

$$2\varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) \geq 2\varepsilon\|u_t\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \quad (3.19)$$

From (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$\|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1 \quad (3.20)$$

$$\geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 \quad (3.21)$$

We take $\alpha_1 = \min\{1 - \varepsilon, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$, such that

$$\rho_1(u(t)) \geq \alpha_1(\|u_t\|^2 + \|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2) \quad (3.22)$$

So

$$\rho_1(u(t)) \geq \alpha_1\rho(u(t)) \quad (3.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dt}{d}(\|u_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_t, (-\Delta)^m u) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v\|^2)\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + 2K_1) + \alpha_1\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 \\ & \leq \alpha_0(\rho(u))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \kappa_0\rho(u(t)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho(u(t)) + C_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Where $\alpha_2 = 1 - \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon C_0}{2}$, $C_0 \leq \frac{2\kappa_0 K_1}{\alpha_1}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\rho_1(u(t)) + \alpha_2\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2\kappa_0}{\alpha_1}\rho_1(u(t)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho_1(u(t)) + \alpha_0(\rho_1(u))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

So, we using Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_1(u(t)) + \alpha_2 \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_s\|^2 ds \\ & \leq \{\rho_1(u(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_0 T\}^2 \rho^{\frac{(4\kappa_0 + \alpha_0 \varepsilon)T}{2\alpha_1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Where $\rho_1(u(0)) = \|u_1\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(u_1, (-\Delta)^m u_0) + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m u_0\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v_0\|^2)\|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + 2K_1$

From we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(u(t)) + \alpha_2 \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_s\|^2 ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1}\rho_1(u(t)) + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_s\|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

$$\leq \{\rho_1(u(0))^2 + \alpha_0 T\}^2 \rho^{\frac{(4\kappa_0 + \alpha_0 \varepsilon)T}{2\alpha_1}}. \quad (3.27)$$

Therefore, we choose that parameters T , and R , we obtain

$$\{\rho_1(u(0))^2 + \alpha_0 T\}^2 \rho^{\frac{(4\kappa_0 + \alpha_0 \varepsilon)T}{2\alpha_1}} \leq R^2. \quad (3.28)$$

So, we finishes the proof of the first step.

Step 2 we will show that \mathcal{X} is a contraction in $X_{T,R}$, let $v_1, v_2 \in X_{T,R}$, such that $\mathcal{X}(v_1) = u_1$
 $\mathcal{X}(v_2) = u_2$, Setting $w = u_1 - u_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{tt} + (-\Delta)^m w_t + \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)(-\Delta^m)u_1 - \phi(\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta^m)u_2 + h(u_{t1}) - h(u_{t2}) &= f(v_1) - f(v_2) \\ (w_{tt}, w_t + (-\Delta)^m w) & \\ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon d}{dt} (w_t, (-\Delta)^m w) - \varepsilon \|\nabla^m w_t\|^2 & \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((-\Delta)^m w_t, w_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w) & \\ = \|\nabla^m w_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 & \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)(-\Delta^m)u_1 - \phi(\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta^m)u_2, w_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w) \\ &= (\phi(\|v_1\|^2)(-\Delta)^m w, w_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w) \\ &+ (\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u_2 - \phi(\|\nabla^m v_2\|^2)(-\Delta)^m u_2, w_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)) \|\nabla^m w\|^2 \\ &+ (\phi'(\xi)(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2 - \|\nabla^m v_2\|^2))(-\Delta)^m u_2, w_t) \\ &+ (\phi'(\xi)(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2 - \|\nabla^m v_2\|^2))(-\Delta)^m u_2, \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \\ &- \phi'(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \int_{\Omega} \nabla^m v_1 \nabla^m v_{1t} dx \|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \|\phi'(\xi)\|_{\infty} (\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2 - \|\nabla^m v_2\|^2) (-\Delta)^m u_2, w_t) \\ &- \varepsilon \|\phi'(\xi)\|_{\infty} (\|\nabla^m v_1\| + \|\nabla^m v_2\|) (\|\nabla^m v_1\| - \|\nabla^m v_2\|) \|(-\Delta)^m u_2\| \|(-\Delta)^m w\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 - L_0 \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \\ &- \|\phi'(\xi)\|_{\infty} (\|\nabla^m v_1\| + \|\nabla^m v_2\|) (\|\nabla^m v_1\| - \|\nabla^m v_2\|) \|(-\Delta)^m u_2\| \|w_t\| \\ &- \varepsilon \|\phi'(\xi)\|_{\infty} (\|\nabla^m v_1\| + \|\nabla^m v_2\|) (\|\nabla^m v_1\| - \|\nabla^m v_2\|) \|(-\Delta)^m u_2\| \|(-\Delta)^m w\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 - L_0 \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \\ &- L_1 \|(-\Delta)^m (v_1 - v_2)\| \|(-\Delta)^m u_2\| \|w_t\| - C \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \\ &- L_2 \|(-\Delta)^m (v_1 - v_2)\| \|(-\Delta)^m u_2\| \|(-\Delta)^m w\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2) \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$-L_3[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}} - L_0\rho(w(t)) \tag{3.31}$$

From G_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (h(u_{t_1}) - h(u_{t_2}), w_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w) \\ & \leq L_4\|w_t\|^2 + L_5\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \\ & \leq \beta_0\rho(w(t)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Where $\beta_0 = \max\{L_4, L_5\}$.

From G_1 and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (f(v_1) - f(v_2), w_t + \varepsilon(-\Delta)^m w) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} k_1(|v_1| - |v_2|)|w_t| + k_2(|v_1|^{r+1} - |v_2|^{r+1})|w_t| dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} k_1(|v_1| - |v_2|)|w_t| + k_2(|v_1|^{r+1} - |v_2|^{r+1})|(-\Delta)^m w| dx \\ & \leq (k_1 + k_2(\|v_1\|_{nr}^r + \|v_2\|_{nr}^r))\|v_1 - v_2\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\|(-\Delta)^m w\| \\ & + (k_1 + k_2(\|v_1\|_{nr}^r + \|v_2\|_{nr}^r))\|v_1 - v_2\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\|w_t\| \\ & \leq L_6\|(-\Delta)^m(v_1 - v_2)\|\|w_t\| + L_7\|(-\Delta)^m(v_1 - v_2)\|\|(-\Delta)^m w\| \\ & \leq L_8[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}} + L_9[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w(t))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

From (3.29)-(3.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2}\|w_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon\frac{1}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon(w_t, (-\Delta)^m w) \right) \\ & + \|\nabla^m w_t\|^2 - \varepsilon\|\nabla^m w_t\|^2 + \varepsilon\phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \\ & - L_3[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}} - L_0\rho(w(t)) - \beta_0\rho(w(t)) \\ & \leq L_8[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}} + L_9[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w(t))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

From (3.34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|w_t\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(w_t, (-\Delta)^m w)) \\ & \leq (L_0 + \beta_0)\rho(w(t)) + (L_3 + L_8 + L_9)[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Where we set

$$\rho_2(w(t)) = \|w_t\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(w_t, (-\Delta)^m w) \tag{3.36}$$

$$2\varepsilon(w_t, (-\Delta)^m w) \geq 2\varepsilon\|w_t\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \tag{3.37}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_2(w(t)) \\ & = \|w_t\|^2 + \phi(\|\nabla^m v_1\|^2)\|\nabla^m w\|^2 + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(w_t, (-\Delta)^m w) \\ & \geq \|w_t\|^2 + \varepsilon\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 + 2\varepsilon(w_t, (-\Delta)^m w) \end{aligned}$$

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (1-2\varepsilon)\|w_t\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2 \\ &\geq \beta_1(\|w_t\|^2 + \|(-\Delta)^m w\|^2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Where $\beta_1 = \min\{1-2\varepsilon, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d\rho_2(w(t))}{dt} \\ &\leq (L_0 + \beta_0)\rho(w(t)) + (L_3 + L_8 + L_9)[\rho(v_1 - v_2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\rho(w)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{L_0 + \beta_0}{\beta_1}\right)\rho_2(w(t)) + (L_3 + L_8 + L_9) \frac{1}{\beta_1} \{\rho_2(v_1(t) - v_2(t))\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{\rho_2(w(t))\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.40}$$

Where $\rho_2(w(0)) = 0$.

Therefore, we use Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\rho_2(w(t)) \leq (L_3 + L_8 + L_9)^2 T^2 e^{\frac{(L_0 + \beta_0)T}{\beta_1}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \rho(v_1(t) - v_2(t)) \tag{3.41}$$

So, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \rho(u_1(t) - u_2(t)) \leq C_{T,R} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \rho(v_1(t) - v_2(t)) \tag{3.42}$$

Where $C_{T,R} = (L_3 + L_8 + L_9)^2 T^2 e^{\frac{(L_0 + \beta_0)T}{\beta_1}}$.

It is easy to see $C_{T,R} < 1$ for a small $T > 0$.

we finish the proof of the second step. By applying the Banach contraction mapping theorem, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete.

IV. THE BLOW-UP IN FINITE TIME

In this section, we consider the blow-up of solution, we assume that $\phi(s) := s^q, q \geq 0, h(u_t) = u_t$, such problems (1.1)-(1.3) became

$$u_{tt}(t) + (-\Delta)^m u_t(t) + \|\nabla^m u\|^{2q} (-\Delta)^m u(t) + u_t(t) = f(u(t)) \tag{4.1}$$

Next, we define the energy function of the solution u of (4.1)

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla^m u\|^{2(q+1)} - \int_{\Omega} G(u)dx, \tag{4.2}$$

$$G(s) = \int_0^s g(s)ds.$$

where

Then, we have

$$E(t) = E(0) - \int_0^t (\|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 + \|u_t\|^2) ds, \tag{4.3}$$

$$E(0) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla^m u_0\|^{2(q+1)} - \int_{\Omega} G(u_0)dx.$$

where

Definition 4.1 A solution $u(t)$ of (4.1) is called a blow-up solution if there exist a finite time T^* such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \int_{\Omega} (\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + |u|^2) dx = +\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

For the next lemma, we define

$$Y(t) = Y(u(t)) = \|u(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t (\|u(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2) ds, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 4.1 Assume (G_1) , (G_3) hold, Let $\frac{2+4\beta}{q+1} - 2 \geq 0$, then we have

$$Y''(t) - 4(\beta+1)\|u_t\|^2 \geq (-4-8\beta)E(0) + (4+8\beta) \left[\int_0^t (\|u_t(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2) ds \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. From (4.5), we have

$$Y'(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx + \|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u\|^2, \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$Y''(t) = 2\|u_t(t)\|^2 - 2\|\nabla^m u\|^{2q+2} + 2 \int_{\Omega} f(u) u dx. \quad (4.8)$$

From above equation and the energy identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y'' - 4(\beta+1)\|u_t\|^2 &= (-4-8\beta)E(0) + (4+8\beta) \int_0^t (\|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u\|^2) ds \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (2f(u)u - (4+8\beta)G(u)) dx + \left\{ \frac{2+4\beta}{(q+1)} \|\nabla^m u\|^{2(q+1)} - 2\|\nabla^m u\|^{2q+2} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

there form the assumption (G_3) , we have

$$2 \int_{\Omega} (f(u)u - (2+4\beta)G(u)) dx + \left[\frac{2+4\beta}{q+1} \|\nabla^m u\|^{2(q+1)} - 2\|\nabla^m u\|^{2q+2} \right] \geq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

So, we obtain (4.6)

Now, we can consider there different cases on the sign of initial energy $E(0)$

If $E(0) < 0$, from (4.6), we have

$$Y''(t) \geq (-4-8\beta)E(0), \quad (4.11)$$

integration (4.11) over $[0, t]$, we have that

$$Y'(t) \geq Y'(0) - 4(1+2\beta)E(0)t. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus, we get $Y'(t) > \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2$ for $t > t^*$, where

$$t^* = \max \left\{ \frac{Y'(0) - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)}{4(1+\beta)E(0)}, 0 \right\}. \quad (4.13)$$

If $E(0) = 0$, from (4.6) we have

$$Y'' \geq 4(\beta+1)\|u_t\|^2 + (4+\beta) \int_0^t (\|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u\|^2) ds \geq 0 \quad t \geq 0 \quad (4.14)$$

Integration (4.9) over $[0, 1]$, we have

$$Y' \geq Y'(0) \quad (4.15)$$

Furthermore, if $Y'(0) > \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2$, *i.e.* $\int_{\Omega} u_0 u_1 dx > 0$, so

$$Y'(t) \geq Y'(0) > \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.16)$$

If $E(0) > 0$ we first note that

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

$$2\left(\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx dt + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \nabla^m u \nabla^m u_t dx ds\right) = \|u\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u\|^2 - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2). \tag{4.10}$$

By using Holder inequality and (4.10) we have

$$\|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u(t)\|^2 \leq \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2 ds$$

so, from above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y'(t) &= 2\int_{\Omega} uu_t dx + \|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u\|^2 \leq \|u(t)\|^2 + \|u_t(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \|u(t)\|^2 + \|u_t(t)\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$Y'(t) \leq Y(t) + \|u_t(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds \tag{4.13}$$

Form above inequality and (4.6), we obtain

$$Y''(t) - 4(\beta + 1)Y'(t) + 4(1 + \beta)Y(t) + Y_1 \geq 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Where

$$Y_1 = (4 + 8\beta)E(0) + 4(1 + \beta)(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2). \tag{4.18}$$

Setting

$$B(t) = Y(t) + \frac{Y_1}{4(1 + \beta)} \quad t > 0 \tag{4.19}$$

Then $B(t)$ satisfies Lemma 2.3, if

$$Y'(0) > r_2 \left[Y(0) + \frac{Y_1}{4(1 + \beta)} \right] + \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 \tag{4.20}$$

Then $Y'(0) > \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2$ for all $t > 0$

Lemma 4.2 Assume that (G_1) and (G_3) hold and that either one of the following conditions is satisfied $E(0) < 0$;

$$E(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0 u_1 dx > 0;$$

$$E(0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (4.20) \text{ holds, then} \quad Y'(0) > \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0$$

where $t = t^*$ is given by (4.13) in case(1); $t_0 = 0$ in cases (2) and (3).

Proof. we can prove this Lemma 4.2 by Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.1 Under the assumptions (G_1) and (G_3) , and that either one of the following condition is satisfied: $E(0) < 0$;

$$E(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0 u_1 dx > 0;$$

$$0 < E(0) < \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_0 u_1 dx\right)^2}{2(T_1 + 1)(\|\nabla^m u\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2)}, \quad \text{and by (4.20) hold.}$$

where T_1 to be chosen later

Then the solution u blow-up at finite T^* , and T^* can be estimate by (4.35)-(4.38), respectively, according to the sign of $E(0)$.
 Proof. Let

$$J(t) = (Y(t) - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2))^{-\beta} \quad t \in [0, T_1] \quad (4.21)$$

where T_1 is some certain constant which will be chosen later. Then we get

$$J'(t) = -\beta J(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} (Y'(t) + (T_1 - t)(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)) \quad (4.22)$$

And

$$J''(t) = -\beta J(t)^{1+\frac{2}{\beta}} V(t) \quad (4.23)$$

$$V(t) = Y''(t)[Y(t) + (T_1 - t)(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)] - (1 + \beta)(Y'(t) - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)) \quad (4.24)$$

Next we denote

$$P = \|u(t)\|^2, \quad Q = \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2 ds, \quad R = \|u_t(t)\|^2, \quad S = \int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_t(s)\|^2 ds \quad (4.25)$$

By (4.7) and (4.9), and Holder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y'(t) &= 2 \int_{\Omega} u(t)u_t(t) dx + \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (u(s)u_t(s) + \nabla^m u(s)\nabla^m u_t(s)) dx ds \\ &\leq \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + 2\|u(t)\| \|u_t(t)\| + 2(\lambda^{-2m} + 1) \left(\int_0^t \|\nabla^m u_t\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\nabla^m u\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + 2\sqrt{PR} + 2(\lambda^{-2m} + 1)\sqrt{QS} \\ &\leq \|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2 + \gamma(\sqrt{PR} + \sqrt{QS}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where $\gamma = 2 + 2\lambda^{-2m}$

From (4.6), we have

$$Y''(t) \geq (-4 - 8\beta)E(0) + (4 + 8\beta)(R + S) \quad (4.27)$$

From (4.24)-(4.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &\geq [(-4 - 8\beta)E(0) + 4(1 + \beta)(R + S)](Y(t) + (T_1 - t)(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)) \\ &\quad - (1 + \beta)(Y'(t) - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)) \\ &\geq (-4 - 8\beta)E(0)J(t)^{\frac{-1}{\beta}} + 4(1 + \beta)(R + S)(T_1 - t)(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2) \\ &\quad + 4(1 + \beta)[(R + S)(P + Q) - (\sqrt{PR} + \sqrt{QS})^2] \\ &\geq (-4 - 8\beta)E(0)J(t)^{\frac{-1}{\beta}}. \quad t \geq t_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

from (4.23) we obtain

$$J''(t) \leq \beta(4 + 8\beta)E(0)J(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}. \quad t \geq t_0 \quad (4.29)$$

Now that by Lemma 2.4 that $J'(t) < 0$ for $t > t_0$ multiplying (4.29) by $J'(t)$ and integrating it from $t_0 \rightarrow t$, we have

$$J'(t) \geq \omega + \kappa J(t)^{\frac{2+1}{\beta}}, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (4.30)$$

Where

$$\omega = \beta^2 J(t_0)^{\frac{2+2}{\beta}} [Y'(t_0) - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2) - 8E(0)J(t_0)^{\frac{-1}{\beta}}] \quad (4.31)$$

and $\kappa = 8\beta^2 E(0).$ (4.33)

On local existence and blow-up of solution for the higher-order nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear strongly damped terms

We observe that

$$E(0) < \frac{(Y'(t_0) - (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2))}{8[Y(t_0)] + (T_1 - t_0)(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u_0\|^2)}$$

$\omega > 0$ if and if only

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} J(t) = 0$$

Then by Lemma4.2 ,there exists a finite time T^* ,such that and the upper bounds of T^* are estimated ,respectively ,according to the sign of $E(0)$,we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} (\|u(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t (\|u(s)\|^2 + \|\nabla^m u(s)\|^2)) = +\infty \tag{4.34}$$

The upper bounds of T^* are estimate as follows by Lemma4.2
In case (1),we have

$$T^* \leq t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{J'(t_0)} \tag{4.35}$$

Furthermore if $J(t_0) < \min\{1, \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{-\kappa}}\}$,then we obtain

$$T^* \leq t_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{-\kappa}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{-\kappa}} - J(t_0)} \tag{4.36}$$

In case (2),

$$T^* \leq t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{J'(t_0)} \quad \text{or} \quad T^* \leq t_0 - \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{\omega}} \tag{4.37}$$

In case (3)

$$T^* \leq \frac{J(t_0)}{\sqrt{\omega}} \quad \text{or} \quad T^* \leq t_0 + 2^{\frac{3\beta+1}{2\beta}} \frac{\beta c}{\sqrt{\omega}} \{1 - [1 + cJ(t_0)]^{\frac{-1}{2\beta}}\} \tag{4.38}$$

Where $c = \left(\frac{\kappa}{\omega}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2+\beta}}$.note that in case(1), $t_0 = t^*$ is given in (4.13),and in case(2) and case(3) $t_0 = 0$

Remark4.1 that in we observe that the choice of T_1 in (4.21) is feasible under the same condition as in[15].

REFERENCE

[1]M.Aassila, Global existence of solutions to a wave equation with damping and source terms.Differential Integral Equations 14(2001) 1301-1314.
 [2] A.Benaissa.SA.Messaoudi,Blow up of solutions for Kirchhoff equation q-Laplacian type with nonlinear dissipation.Colloq.Math.94(2002) 103-109.
 [3]K.ono,existence,decay and blow-up of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear Kirchhoff strings,J.Differential Equations 137(1997) 273-301.
 [4]K.Ono,Global existence and decay properties of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear dissipative Kirchhoff strings, Funkcial. Ekvac.40(1997) 255-270.
 [5]M. Ohta, Blow-up of solution of dissipative nonlinear wave equations,Hokkaido Math.J. 26 (1997) 115-124.
 [6]H.A.Levine,S.R.Park,J.Serrin,Global existence and global nonexistence of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear damped wave equation.J.Math.Anal.Appl.228(1998) 181-205.
 [7]H.R.Crippa, On local solutions of some mildly degenerate hyperbolic equations, Nonlinear Anal.21(1993)565-574.
 [8] Y.Yamada,Some nonlinear degenerate wave equations, Nonlinear Anal. 11(1987) 1155-1168.
 [9] K.Ono, On Global Solution and Blow-up Solutions of Nonlinear Kirchhoff Strings with Nonlinear Dissipation.J.Math.Anal.Appl,216(1997) 321-342.
 [10] Yaojun Ye, Global existence and energy decay estimate of solutions for a higher-order Kirchhoff type equation with damping and source term, J.Nonlinear Analysis:Real World Applications 14(2013) 2059-2067.
 [11] Takeshi Taniguchi, Existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions to weakly damped wave equation of Kirchhoff type with nonlinear damping and source terms,J.Math.Anal. Appl. 361(2010) 566-578.
 [12] Gongwei Liu, On global solution, energy decay and blow-up for 2-D Kirchhoff equation with exponential terms.J.Boundary Value Problem .2014(1):1-18.
 [13] Guoguang Lin.Nonlinear evolution equation, Yunnan University press,2011.12.
 [14] Li,M,R and Tsai, L.Y.Existence and Nonexistence of Global Solutions of some System of Semilinear Wave Equations.Nonlinear Analysis,54(2003),1397-1415.
 [15] Li,F.C.Global Existence and Blow-up of Solutions for a Higher-Order Kirchhoff-type Equation with Nonlinear Dissipation.Applied Mathematics Letters,17(2004),1409-1414