

# An investigation Performances, Emission and Combustion Characteristics on Sheep Fat oil as Biodiesel with help of Ultrasonic assisted Transesterification Process

M.Selvam, C.Ananda Srinivasan

**Abstract**— The aim of the study is to evaluate the effective production and utilization of Sheep fat oil as biodiesel and investigate the authority of biodiesel over the engine performance, Emission and combustion characteristics. The work is carry out in a single cylinder water cooled Di Diesel engine with Eddy current Dynamometer, Biodiesel produced from Ultrasonic assisted transesterification process and thermo-physical properties of biodiesel and their blends from both the process were analyzed. The test fuel were prepared in the ratio of SUB 25, SUB 50, SUB 75 and SUB 100, which represent the blend ratio of Sheep fat oil biodiesel and the rest diesel fuel. The investigational results reveal a marginal decrease in brake thermal efficiency when compared to that of sole fuel. In this analysis, the emission test were conducted with the help of AVL Di gas analyzer, in which CO, HC and smoke density are marginal increased on the other hand and NO<sub>x</sub> are significantly reduced when compared to that of sole fuel. Cylinder pressure and H.R.R. were also performed with help of AVL Di Gas Analyzer.

**Index Terms**— Sheep fat oil, Ultrasonic assisted Transesterification, Biodiesel, Oxides of nitrogen, Smoke.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Biodiesel is described as fatty acid methyl or ethyl esters from vegetable oils or animal fats as an alternative fuel of diesel. It is renewable, eco-friendly, non toxic and oxygenated fuel [1,2]. Even though many researches meaningful out that it might help to decrease green house gas emissions, improve income distribution and promote sustainable rural development [3-6]. The primary cause is being deficient in of new knowledge about the influence of biodiesel on diesel engines. For instance, the reduce of engine power, as well as the increase of fuel consumption for biodiesel, is not as a large amount as anticipated; the early research conclusions have been reserved, it is more prone to oxidation for biodiesel which may result in mysterious gums and sediments that can plug fuel filter, and thus it will influence engine durability [7,8]. In the automotive sector, the high oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) and HC emission from the diesel engine are its main problems with respect to air pollution. In this perspective, the reductions in HC and CO emissions from the engine can be obtained by use of biodiesel. But, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are slightly

increased for the biodiesel blended diesel fuel [9-13]. High viscosity, surface tension and density of biodiesel influence atomization by increasing the mean fuel droplet size which in turn increases the spray tip penetration. Many researchers have found that viscosity and density are affect the atomization, where as density is the lowest on mean droplet size and consequently to get better fuel atomization viscosity should be the first alternative of a fuel's physical property to be decreased [15-17]. The above mentioned problem can be solved by blending biodiesel with diesel fuel which will decrease the viscosity of fuel. Introduce some literature review related to animal fat oil – biodiesel and also performances and emission analyser.

Ultrasonic extraction method can improve the extraction and reaction efficiencies shorten the extraction times and decrease energy consumption compared with conventional biodiesel production techniques. Ultrasonic waves are high-frequency electromagnetic waves that permit the solvent molecules to achieve immediate polarization and stimulate a molecular-active to better contact and reaction. Ultrasonic irradiation can be transformed into heat energy to enhance the internal cell temperature. Ultrasound waves can produce cavitations between samples and solvent, and the pressure produced by the cavitations can interrupt cell walls and enhance permeability, which increases the mass transfer of cell contents.

## II. BIODIESEL PRODUCTION AND PROPERTY ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Ultrasonic assisted transesterification process

A mixture of methanol and potassium hydroxide (KOH) was agitated using a magnetic stirrer agitator for 5-10 min to form the methoxide and water. Then, Sheep fat oils were mixed separately with the previously pre pared potassium methoxide in a conical flask. Afterward, the mixture was transferred to the ultrasonic reaction chamber to be subjected to ultrasound waves. The ultrasonic amplitude and reaction time were adjusted by a PC controller. The schematic diagram of ultrasonicator is shown in Figure 1 and the properties of biodiesel extracted using this method is tabulated in Table 1.




Figure 1 Transesterification process of converting Sheep fat oil to biodiesel

M.Selvam, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India - 608002

C.Ananda Srinivasan, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India - 608002

# An investigation Performances, Emission and Combustion Characteristics on Sheep Fat oil as Biodiesel with help of Ultrasonic assisted Transesterification Process




Figure 2 Schematic diagram of ultrasonic assisted Transesterification process

Sample Name	Specific gravity	Density kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Calorific values kJ/ kg
Diesel	0.8350	835	44640
SUB 25	0.8635	864	43250
SUB 50	0.8674	867	43010
SUB 75	0.8730	873	42125
SUB 100	0.8763	876	42325

Table 1 Properties of Diesel and Biodiesel.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The diesel engine used for experimentation is Kirloskar TV1, single cylinder, water cooled engine coupled to eddy current dynamometer with computer interface. The detailed specification of the engine is shown in Table 2. A data acquisition system is used to collect and analyze the combustion data like in-cylinder pressure and heat release rate during the experiment by using AVL transducer. The tests are conducted at the rated speed of 1500 rpm. In every test, exhaust emission such as nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO) and smoke are measured. From the initial measurement, brake thermal efficiency (BTE) and specific fuel consumption (SFC) with respect to brake power (BP) for different blends are calculated. The blends of biodiesel and diesel used were SUB 25 SUB 50, SUB 75 and SUB 100 means 25 % biodiesel fuel and 100% of diesel fuel by volume. In order to study the effect of biodiesel blends on the engine combustion and emission characteristics, the injection timing was kept constant at 23° TDC. The effect of biodiesel blends was studied and results were compared with sole fuel diesel.




Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup

Type	Vertical, Water cooled, Four stroke
Number of cylinder	One
Bore	87.5 mm
Stroke	110 mm
Compression ratio	17.5:1
Maximum power	5.2 kW
Speed	1500 rev/min
Dynamometer	Eddy current
Injection timing	23° before TDC
Injection pressure	220kg/cm <sup>2</sup>
Ignition timing	23° before TDC
Ignition system	Compression Ignition

Table 2 Specification of test engine

### IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 BRAKE THERMAL EFFICIENCY

The effect of Sheep fat oil Biodiesel blend on brake thermal efficiency is shown in figure 4. It can be seen from the figure that Brake thermal efficiency in general reduced with the increasing proportion of biodiesel in the test fuels. The brake thermal efficiency for all the samples was less than that of sole fuel by about approximately 1.8% to 2.3% for all the samples in the maximum load of 5.2 kW. This is due to the effect of biodiesel blend.




Figure 4. Brake thermal efficiency against brake power

#### 3.2 CO EMISSION

The effect of the Sheep fact oil biodiesel blend on the CO emission is shown in figure 5. for the biodiesel and its blends, the CO emissions where less than that of sole fuel. The least CO emissions have been obtained for the SUB 25 with the value of 0.09 % by volume at 100% load. The reduction of CO emission is due to the oxygen content on the biodiesel.

3.5 SMOKE EMISSION

The effect of biodiesel on smoke emission is shown in figure 8. for the biodiesel and its blends the smoke emission is higher when compared to the sole fuel. It is observed for all the samples the smoke emission is higher than that of sole fuel. The maximum smoke value is 0.3522 HSU for SUB 100 at maximum load.




Figure 8. Smoke density against brake power

3.6 Combustion Characteristics

The variation in in-cylinder pressure against crank angle is shown in figure 9. The peak pressure for the Sheep fat oil biodiesel and its blends is lower than that of the diesel fuel due to the poor atomization, which decelerates the combustion and cause for the lower cylinder gas pressure. However, the variation between the SUB 25 and diesel fuel is marginal. It is observed that the occurrence of peak pressure is advanced with the addition of Java plum seed biodiesel, which supplies oxygen and promotes the complete combustion of fuel. The maximum in-cylinder pressure of 61.152 bar was found in the case of diesel fuel and it was 42325kJ/kg for SUB 100 fuel.




Figure 9. In-cylinder pressure against crank angle

The addition of Sheep fat oil biodiesel blend advances the occurrence of the peak heat release rate when comparing with the diesel fuel and the variation of heat release rate with the crank angle is shown in Figure 10. After the combustion starts, the heat release rate increases and reaches to the maximum value. The addition of Rabbit Fat oil biodiesel decreases the ignition delay and accelerates earlier start of combustion, which results in the lower heat release rate and progression of the peak heat release rate. The maximum heat release rate is observed as 123.752 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>deg for the diesel fuel, whereas it is 115.366 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>deg for the SUB 100.




Figure 5. CO emission against Brake power

3.3 HC EMISSIONS

The effect of Biodiesel on hydrocarbon emission is shown in figure 6. It is observed that the HC emission is minimum for sole fuel with a value of 23.5 ppm at maximum load. The HC emission is lower when compared to that of the sole fuel for all the samples. There is marginal decrease of HC emission for all the samples. But for the SUB 100 HC emission is increased effectively when compared to other samples. This may be due to the oxygen content of the biodiesel.




Figure 6. Hydrocarbon emission against brake power

3.4 NOx EMISSION

The effect of biodiesel on NOx emission is shown in figure 7. for the biodiesel and its blend the NOx emission where less than that of sole fuel. The NOx emission is minimum for SUB 100 with a value of 54.8 ppm at 25%. Similarly for 100 at maximum load is 47.2 ppm which is less when compared to all other samples at maximum load. This is due to the effect of oxygen content in the biodiesel.




Figure 7. Carbon-monoxide emission against brake power



## An investigation Performances, Emission and Combustion Characteristics on Sheep Fat oil as Biodiesel with help of Ultrasonic assisted Transesterification Process




Figure 10. Heat release rate against crank angle

### V. CONCLUSIONS

The Sheep fat oil biodiesel (SUB 25) and its blends with sole fuel, SUB 50, SUB 75 and SUB 100 were investigated and the results were compared with diesel and reported in this project.

1. The brake thermal efficiency is marginally decreased for the biodiesel and its blend.
2. The exhaust gas temperature is lower for SUB 75 is 311°C at maximum load
3. The emission analysis for the biodiesel and its blend gave the best result when compared to the sole fuel.
  - ☆ The CO emission is increased by 0.88% by volume at 20% of load for SUB 25
  - ☆ The CO<sub>2</sub> emission is increased by 6.3 % by volume at 100% of load for SUB 25
  - ☆ The HC emission is reduced by 89 ppm at 100 % of load for SUB 100
  - ☆ The O<sub>2</sub> emission is increased by 11.04% by volume at 100% of load for SUB 100
  - ☆ The NO<sub>x</sub> emission is reduced by 543 ppm at 100% of load for SUB 100
  - ☆ Smoke density is increased by 0.3522 HSU at 100% of load for SUB 100

### REFERENCES

- [1] A.M. Ashraful, H.H.Masjuki, M.A. Kalam, I.M. Rizwanul Fattah, S.A. Shahir, H.M. Mobarak, " Production and comparison of fuel properties, engine performance, and emission characteristic of biodiesel from various non-edible vegetable oils", *Energy Conversion and Management* 80 (2014) PP202 – 228
- [2] Steven Lim, Keat Teong Lee "Process intensification biodiesel production from jatropha curcas L.seeds: Supercritical reactive extraction process parameters study" *Applied Energy* 103 (2013) pp712 – 720
- [3] Yixin Zhu <sup>a,b</sup>, Jianchu Xu <sup>a,b</sup>, Qiaohong Li <sup>a,b</sup>, Peter E.Mortimer <sup>a,b</sup> "Investigation of rubber seed yield in Xishuangbanna and estimation of rubber seed oil based biodiesel potential in southeast Asia" *Energy* 69 (2014) pp 837 – 842
- [4] Fang-Xia Yang<sup>a</sup>, Yin-Quan su<sup>a</sup>, Xiu-Hong Li<sup>a</sup>, Qiang Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Run-Cang Sun<sup>b,c\*</sup> "Preparation of biodiesel from Idesia Polycarpavar. Vestita fruit oil" *Industrial Crops and Products* 29 (2009) pp622 – 628
- [5] R.Sathish Kumar<sup>a</sup>, K.Sureshkumar<sup>a</sup>, R.Velraj<sup>b</sup> "optimization of biodiesel production from Manilara Zapota (L.) Seed oil using Taguchi method" *Fuel* 140(2015) PP90 -96
- [6] Jibrail Kansedo, Keat Teong Lee<sup>\*</sup>, Subhash Bhatia "Cerbera odollam (sea mango) oil as a promising non-edible feedstock for biodiesel production." *Fuel* 88(2009) pp1148 – 1150.
- [7] Mortadha A. Ali<sup>1,\*</sup>, Tahseen A. Al-Hattab<sup>1</sup>, Imad A. Al-Hydary<sup>2</sup> " Extraction of Date Palm seed oil (Phoenix Dactylifera) By Soxhlet Apparatus." *International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology*, June 2015 ISSN 223311963
- [8] Ogunsuyi H.O, "Acid and Base Catalysed Transestrification of Mango (*Mangifera Indica*) Seed Oil to Biodiesel" *IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry (IOSR-JAC) Volume 2, Issue 2 (Sep-Oct. 2012), PP 18-22.*
- [9] Yathish. K.V, "Biodiesel Production From Custard Apple Seed (Annona Squamosa) Oil And Its Characteristics Study" *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) Vol. 2 Issue 5( May – 2013).*
- [10] Nakorn Tippayawong and Phichet Chumjai, "Characterization and Performance of Biofuel from Passion Fruit Processing Residues" *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science 2012 Vol II WCECS 2012, October 24-26, 2012, San Francisco, USA.*
- [11] Singh.R.N, "SPRERI experience on holistic approach to utilize all parts of Jatropha curcas fruit for energy" *Thermochemical Conversion Division, Sardar Patel Renewable Energy Research Institute, Vallabh Vidyanagar 388 120, Gujarat, India*Received 1 February 2007; accepted 21 October 2007 Available online 20 February 2008, PP 1868–1873 .
- [12] Silitonga.A.S "Experimental study on performance and exhaust emissions of a diesel engine fuelled with *Ceiba pentandra* biodiesel blends" *Volume 76, December 2013*, PP 828–836.
- [13] Orkun Ozener , "Effects of soybean biodiesel on a DI diesel engine performance, emission and combustion characteristics" *Volume 115, January 2014*, PP 875–883.
- [14] Federico Millo , Biplab Kumar Debnath, Theodoros Vlachos, Claudio Ciaravino, Lucio Postrioti, Giacomo Buitoni, "Effects of different biofuels blends on performance and emissions of an automotive diesel engine", *Fuel*, Vol. 159, 2015, Pages 614-627.
- [15] Vallinayagam R, Vedharaj S, Yang W, Saravanan CG, Lee P, Chua K, "Impact of pine oil biofuel fumigation on gaseous emissions from a diesel engine", *Fuel Process Technology*. Vol. 124, 2014, Pages 44-53.
- [16] Devan P and Mahalakshmi N. A study of the performance, emission and combustion characteristics of a compression ignition engine using methyl ester of paradise oil-eucalyptus oil blends. *Applied Energy*, vol. 86, 2009, pages 675-680.
- [17] Wang WG, Lyons DW, Clark NN, Gautam M, Norton PM. Emissions from nine heavy trucks fueled by diesel and biodiesel blend without engine modification. *Environ Sci Technol*, vol. 34, 2000, pages 933-939.
- [18] B Prem Anand, CG Saravanan and C Ananda Srinivasan. Performance and exhaust emission of turpentine oil powered direct injection diesel engine. *Renewable Energy*, vol. 35, 2010, pages 1179-84.
- [19] Taravus, S., Temur, H. & Yartasi, A. Alkali-Catalyzed Biodiesel production from Mixtures of Sunflower Oil and Beef Tallow, *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 23 (8), 2009, Pages 4112-4115.
- [20] Li CY, Li RJ. Fuel Properties of Biodiesel Produced from the Crude Fish Oil from the Soapstock of Marine Fish. *Fuel Processing Technology*. Vol. 90(1), 2009, Pages 130-136.
- [21] Nelson RG, Schrock MD. Energetic and economic feasibility associated with the production, processing and conversion of beef tallow to a substitute diesel fuel. *Biomass Bioenergy*, Vol. 30, 2006, Pages 584-91.
- [22] Cengiz Oner, Sehmus Altun. Biodiesel production from inedible animal tallow and an experimental investigation of its use as alternative fuel in a DI diesel engine||, *Applied Energy* Vol. 86, 2009, Pages 2114-2120.