

# Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of second-order three-point boundary value problems

Jiao Wang

**Abstract**—In this paper, we study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of second-order three-point boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = \alpha(u(\eta))u(\eta), \end{cases}$$

where  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $0 < \eta < 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_2$ ,  $0 < \eta\alpha(s) < 1$ ,  $s \in R^+$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  is a constant.  $a: [0,1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\exists x_0 \in [\eta, 1]$  such that  $a(x_0) > 0$ . The proof of the main results is based on the fixed point theorem in cones.

**Index Terms**—Three-point boundary value problem; Positive solutions; Fixed point theorem in cones; Existence MSC(2010):—39A10, 39A12

## I. INTRODUCTION

The study of multi-point boundary-value problems for linear second-order ordinary differential equations was initiated by Il'in and Moiseev[7-8]. Then Gupta [5] studied three-point boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations. Since then, the more general nonlinear multi-point boundary value problems have been studied by several authors by using the Leray-Schauder Continuation Theorem, Nonlinear Alternatives of Leray-Schauder, and coincidence degree theory. We refer the reader to [1-3,6,10-12] for some recent results of nonlinear multi-point boundary value problems.

In this paper, we consider the existence of positive solutions to the equation

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = \alpha(u(\eta))u(\eta), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Our purpose here is to give some existence results for positive solutions to (1.1), assuming that  $\alpha\eta < 1$  and  $f$  is either superlinear or sublinear. Our proof is based upon the fixed point theorem in a cone.

From now on, we make the following assumptions:

- (H1)  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous;  
 (H2)  $a: [0,1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\exists x_0 \in [\eta, 1]$  such that  $a(x_0) > 0$ .  
 Set

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u},$$

then  $f_0 = 0$  and  $f_\infty = \infty$  correspond to the superlinear case, and  $f_\infty = 0$  correspond to the sublinear case. By the positive solution of (1.1) we understand a function  $u(t)$  which is positive on  $0 < t < 1$  and satisfies the differential equation (1.1).

The main results of the present paper are as follows:

**Theorem 1.** Let (H1) - (H2) hold. Then the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution in the case

- (i)  $f_0 = 0$  and  $f_\infty = \infty$  (superlinear) or  
 (ii)  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $f_\infty = 0$  (sublinear).

The proof of above theorem is based upon an application of the following well-known Guo's fixed point theorem[4]

**Theorem 2.** Let  $E$  be a Banach space, and let  $K \subset E$  be a cone. Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $E$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , and let

$$A: K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$$

be a completely continuous operator such that

- (i)  $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ; or  
 (ii)  $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

Then  $A$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \cap \Omega_1)$ .

## II. PRELIMINARIES

$C[0,1]$  is a Banach space. The norm in  $C[0,1]$  is defined as follows

$$\|u\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\alpha(u(\eta))\eta \neq 1$  then for  $y \in C[0,1]$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + y(t) = 0, & t \in (0,1), \\ u(0) = 0, u(1) = \alpha(u(\eta))u(\eta), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(y(s))ds + \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))}{1-\alpha(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta,s)f(y(s))ds. \\ := Au(t), t \in (0,1).$$

Where

$$H(t,s) = G(t,s) + \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))}{1-\alpha(u(\eta))\eta} G(\eta,s). \quad (2.2)$$

And

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$G(\eta,s) = \begin{cases} \eta(1-s), & \eta \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1-\eta), & 0 \leq s \leq \eta. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $0 < \alpha(u(\eta)) < \frac{1}{\eta}$ . If  $y \in C[0,1]$

and  $y \geq 0$ , then the unique solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1) satisfies

$$u \geq 0, t \in [0,1].$$

**Proof** From the fact that  $u''(x) = -y(x) \leq 0$ , we know that the graph of  $u(t)$  is concave down on  $(0,1)$ . So if  $u(1) \geq 0$  then the concavity of  $u$  and the boundary condition  $u(0) = 0$ , imply that  $u \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0,1]$ .

If  $u(1) < 0$ , then we have that

$$u(\eta) < 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$u(1) = \alpha(u(\eta))u(\eta) > \frac{1}{\eta}u(\eta) \quad (2.4)$$

This contradicts the concavity of  $u$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\alpha(u(\eta))\eta > 1$ . If  $y \in C[0,1]$  and for  $y \geq 0$ , then the problem (1.1) has no positive solution.

**Proof** Assume that has a positive solution  $u$

If  $u(1) > 0$ , then  $u(\eta) > 0$ , and

$$\frac{u(1)}{1} = \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))u(\eta)}{1} > \frac{u(\eta)}{\eta}, \quad (2.5)$$

this contradicts the concavity of  $u$ .

If  $u(1) = 0$  and for some  $\tau \in (0,1)$ ,  $u(\tau) > 0$  then

$$u(\eta) = u(1) = 0, \quad \tau \neq \eta \quad (2.6)$$

If  $\tau \in (0,\eta)$ , then  $u(\tau) > u(\eta) = u(1)$ , which contradicts the concavity of  $u$ . If  $\tau \in (\eta,1)$ , then  $u(0) = u(\eta) < u(\tau)$ , which contradicts the concavity of  $u$  again.

In the rest of the paper, we assume that  $\alpha(u(\eta))\eta < 1$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $0 < \alpha(u(\eta)) < \frac{1}{\eta}$ . If  $y \in C[0,1]$  and

$y \geq 0$ , then the unique solution of the problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [\eta,1]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\|$$

$$\text{Where } \gamma = \min\{\alpha_1\eta, \frac{\alpha_1(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha_1\eta}, \eta\}.$$

**Proof** We divide the proof into two steps.

Step1. We deal with the case  $0 < \alpha(u(\eta)) < 1$ .

In this case, by Lemma 2, we know that

$$u(\eta) \geq u(1). \quad (2.7)$$

Set

$$u(\bar{t}) = \|u\|. \quad (2.8)$$

If  $\bar{t} \leq \eta < 1$ , then

$$\min_{t \in [\eta,1]} u(t) = u(1), \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$u(\bar{t}) \leq u(1) + \frac{u(1) - u(\eta)}{1-\eta} (0-1)$$

$$= u(1) \left[ 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\eta} \right]$$

$$= u(1) \frac{1-\alpha\eta}{\alpha(1-\eta)}$$

$$\leq u(1) \frac{1-\alpha_1\eta}{\alpha_1(1-\eta)}$$

This together with (2.9) implies that

$$\min_{t \in [\eta,1]} u(t) \geq \frac{\alpha_1(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha_1\eta} \|u\| \quad (2.10).$$

If  $\eta < \bar{t} < 1$ , then

$$\min_{t \in [\eta,1]} u(t) = u(1), \quad (2.11)$$

From the concavity of  $u$ , we know that

$$\frac{u(\eta)}{\eta} \geq \frac{u(\bar{t})}{\bar{t}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Combining (2.12) and boundary condition

$\alpha(u(\eta))u(\eta) = u(1)$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{u(1)}{\alpha(u(\eta))\eta} \geq \frac{u(\bar{t})}{\bar{t}} \geq u(\bar{t}) = \|u\|,$$

This is

$$\min_{t \in [\eta,1]} u(t) \geq \alpha(u(\eta))\eta \|u\| \geq \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta \|u\|. \quad (2.13)$$

Step 2. We deal with the case  $1 \leq \alpha(u(\eta)) < \frac{1}{\eta}$ . In this case, we have

$$u(\eta) \leq u(1). \quad (2.14)$$

Set

$$u(\bar{t}) = \|u\|, \quad (2.15)$$

then we can choose  $\bar{t}$  such that

$$\eta \leq \bar{t} \leq 1. \quad (2.16)$$

(we note that if  $\bar{t} \in [0,1] \setminus [\eta,1]$ , then the point  $(\eta, u(\eta))$

is below the straight line determined by  $(1, u(1))$  and  $(\bar{t}, u(\bar{t}))$ . This contradicts the concavity of  $u$ . From (1.16) and the concavity of  $u$ , we know that

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, 1]} u(t) = u(\eta). \quad (2.17)$$

Using the concavity of  $u$  and Lemma 2, we have that

$$\frac{u(\eta)}{\eta} \leq \frac{u(\bar{t})}{\bar{t}} \quad (2.18)$$

This implies

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, 1]} u(t) \geq \eta \|u\|. \quad (2.19)$$

This completes the proof.

### III. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

**Proof of Theorem 1** Superlinear case. Suppose then that  $f_0 = 0$  and  $f_\infty = \infty$ . We wish to show the existence of a positive solution of (1.1). Now (1.1) has a solution  $y = y(t)$  if and only if  $y$  solves the operator equation

$$y(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(y(s)) ds + \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds$$

$$:= Ay(t) \quad (3.1)$$

Denote

$$K = \{y \mid y \in C[0, 1], y \leq 0, \min_{\eta \leq t \leq 1} y(t) \geq \gamma \|y\|\} \quad (3.2)$$

It is obvious that  $K$  is a cone in  $C[0, 1]$ . Moreover, by Lemma 4, It is also easy to check that  $A: K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.

Now since  $f_0 = 0$ , we may choose  $H_1 > 0$  so that  $f(y) \leq \varepsilon y$ , for  $0 < y \leq H_1$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfies

$$\varepsilon \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \right] \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds \leq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, if  $y \in K$  and  $\|y\| = H_1$ , then from (3.1) and (3.3), we get

$$Ay(t) \leq \int_0^1 G(s, s) f(y(s)) ds + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(s, s) f(y(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 G(s, s) \varepsilon y(s) ds + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(s, s) \varepsilon y(s) ds$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 G(s, s) \|y\| ds + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(s, s) \|y\| ds$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds H_1 + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds H_1$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \right] \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds H_1 \quad (3.4)$$

Now if we let

$$\Omega_1 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < H_1\}, \quad (3.5)$$

then (3.4) show that  $\|Ay\| \leq \|y\|$ , for  $y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ .

Further, since  $f_\infty = \infty$ , there exists  $\hat{H}_2 > 0$  such that  $f(u) \geq \rho u$ , for  $u \geq \hat{H}_2$ , where  $\rho > 0$  is chosen so that

$$\frac{\rho \gamma \alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) ds \|y\| \geq 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Let  $H_2 = \max\{2H_1, \frac{\hat{H}_2}{\gamma}\}$  and  $\Omega_2 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < H_2\}$ ,

then  $y \in K$  and  $\|y\| = H_2$  implies

$$\min_{\eta \leq t \leq 1} y(t) \geq \gamma \|y\| \geq \hat{H}_2,$$

and so

$$Ay(\eta) = \int_0^\eta G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) dt + \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq -\frac{\alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\rho \alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\rho \gamma \alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) ds \|y\| \quad (by \eta < 1) \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, for  $y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$

$$\|Ay\| \geq \frac{\rho \gamma \alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) a(s) ds \|y\|$$

$$\geq \|y\|.$$

Therefore, by the first part of the Fixed Point Theorem, it follows that  $A$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ , such that  $H_1 \leq \|u\| \leq H_2$ . This completes the superlinear part of the theorem.

Sublinear case. Suppose next that  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $f_\infty = 0$ . We first choose  $H_3 > 0$  such that  $f(y) \geq My$  for  $0 < y < H_3$ , where

$$\frac{M \gamma \alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) ds \geq 1 \quad (3.8)$$

By using the method to get (3.7), we can get that

$$Ay(\eta) = \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds + \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) M(y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha_1(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_1(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) ds \|y\|$$

$$\geq H_3. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus we may let  $\Omega_3 = \{y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < H_3\}$  so that

$$\|Ay\| \geq \|y\|, \quad y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_3.$$

Now, since  $f_\infty = 0$ , there exists  $\hat{H}_4 > 0$  so that  $f(y) \leq \lambda y$  for  $y \geq \hat{H}_4$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  satisfies

$$\lambda \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \right] \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds \leq 1. \quad (3.10)$$

We consider two cases:

Case(i). Suppose  $f$  is bounded, say  $f(y) \leq N$  for all  $y \in [0, \infty)$ . In this case choose

$$H_4 = \max \left\{ 2H_3, N \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \right] \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds \right\},$$

so that for  $y \in K$  with  $\|y\| = H_4$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ay(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(y(s)) ds + \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(s, s) N ds + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(s, s) N ds \\ &\leq N \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \right] \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds \\ &\leq H_4 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\|Ay\| \leq \|y\|$ .

Case(ii). If  $f$  is unbounded, then we know from (A1) that

there is  $H_4 : H_4 > \max \left\{ 2H_3, \frac{1}{\lambda} \hat{H}_4 \right\}$  such that

$$f(y) \leq f(H_4) \text{ for } 0 < y \leq H_4.$$

(We are able to do this since  $f$  is unbounded). Then

for  $y \in K$  and  $\|y\| = H_4$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ay &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(y(s)) ds + \frac{\alpha(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) f(y(s)) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(s, s) f(y(s)) ds + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \int_0^1 G(s, s) f(H_4) ds \\ &\leq \lambda H_4 \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha_2(u(\eta))}{1 - \alpha_2(u(\eta))\eta} \right] \int_0^1 G(s, s) ds \\ &\leq H_4, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in either case we may put

$$\Omega_4 = \{ y \in C[0, 1] \mid \|y\| < H_4 \},$$

and for  $y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_4$  we may have  $\|Ay\| \leq \|y\|$ . By the second part of the Fixed Point Theorem, it follows that (1.1) has a positive solution. Therefore, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is very grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions. Our research was supported by the NSFC(11626016).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Feng and J. R. L. Webb, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problems at resonance[J]. *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, 30(6), (1997), 3227-3238.
- [2] W. Feng and J. R. L. Webb, Solvability of a m-point boundary value problems with nonlinear growth[J]. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 212, (1997), 467-480.
- [3] W. Feng, On a m-point nonlinear boundary value problem[J].

- Nonlinear Analysis TMA* 30(6), (1997), 5369- 5374 .
- [4] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones[J]. *Academic Press, San Diego* 1988.
- [5] C. P. Gupta, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equation[J]. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 168, (1992), 540- 551.
- [6] C. P. Gupta, A sharper condition for the solvability of a three-point second-order boundary value problem[J]. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 205, (1997), 586-579.
- [7] A. Il' in and E. I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential and finite difference aspects[J]. *Differential Equations* 23, No. 7 (1987), 803-810.
- [8] A. Il' in and E. I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator[J]. *Differential Equations* 23, No.8 (1987), 979-987.
- [9] M. A. Krasnoselskii, Positive solutions of operator equations[J]. *Noordhoff Groningen*, (1964).
- [10] S. A. Marano, A remark on a second order three-point boundary value problem[J]. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 183, (1994), 581-522.
- [11] R. Ma, Existence theorems for a second order three-point boundary value problem[J]. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 212, (1997), 430-442.
- [12] R. Ma, Existence theorems for a second order m-point boundary value problem[J]. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 211, (1997), 545-555.
- [13] R. Ma and H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions of fourth-order ordinary differential equations[J]. *Appl. Analysis*, 59, (1995), 225-231.
- [14] H. Wang, On the existence of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in annulus[J]. *J. Differential Equations*, 109, (1994), 1-7.
- [15] C. Gupta and S. Trofimchuk, Existence of a solution to a three-point boundary values problem and the spectral radius of a related linear operator[J]. *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, 34, (1998), 498-507.

**Jiao Wang**, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China, Mobile 86- 18893703613.