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Abstract — Electricity supply in Nigeria is epileptic and characterized by extensive outages. The new NIPP plants constructed have not improved the power situation in the country. The performance of Alaoji Thermal Power Station being one of the new NIPP plants with an installed capacity of 504.4MW (consisting of 4 X 126.1MW GE frame-9EA gas turbines) was studied. The study evaluated the performance of the plant for the period of January to December, 2016. The key performance indices involved were CF, PUF, LF, and AF. The required data for the analysis were obtained from the plant’s operational records and personal interview of the relevant staff. The results of the study are shown in Table 1 – 2 and figures 1 – 7 for running hours, energy generated, load factor, availability factor, shortfall in energy generation and plant use factor respectively. When the obtained values were weighed against the international best practice of 80% (LF), 50 - 70% (PUF) and 95% (AF). Shortfall in energy generation ranged from 1.4% to 90.5%, this is in excess of 5 – 10% average acceptable value. The Average PUF was 20.1%, indicating that the plant was grossly underutilized during the study period. The plant had a capacity factor ranging from 7.1 - 37.5% with an average CF of 20% for the review period. It was evident from the results that the plant performed poorly during the period under review. This was attributed to grid restrictions, insufficient gas supply and unavailability of spare parts for maintenance. It is suggested that the management should address the challenges so as to enhance the performance of the plant.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Power generation in Nigeria is mainly from two major sources: hydro-electric power stations and thermal (steam and gas) stations [Emovon \textit{et al.}, 2011]. The power facilities were managed by PHCN until it was unbundled in 2005 into 18 successor companies comprising six Generation companies, eleven Distribution Companies and one Transmission Company [Awosope, 2015]. These companies have been partially privatized except the TCN which is still wholly government controlled. Nigeria’s power sector has been underperforming in spite of the huge investments in the sector over the years. Nigerians have become so accustomed to living without electricity most of the time, such that there is great jubilation whenever there is power supply by the distribution companies, albeit for a few minutes. Today, the average Nigerian only receives only three hours of electricity from the grid per day [Aina and Akinrebiyo, 2015] when power is available. No one is immune to the failings of the power sector in Nigeria – commuters have now adapted to dim and sparse street lighting, businesses have factored in the impact of power losses and residences struggle to receive adequate power supply [Omontuemhen and Wijeratne, 2016]. At 126kWh per capita, if you compare that with Ghana (361kWh, 2.9 times higher) and South Africa(3926kWh, 31 times higher), it will be obvious that Nigeria lags far behind other developing nations in terms of grid-based electricity [NPBR, 2015]. This constant power outages and inadequate supply has negatively affected the prospects of doing business in Nigeria. To survive, most industries have to provide their own electricity, forcing most SMEs to close shop. Most multinational companies in Nigeria have either relocated their operations to their parent countries or have moved to neighbouring African countries where power supply is more reliable, thus increasing the ever growing unemployment figures in the country [Anyanwu, 2015]. Nigeria has the largest fleet of off-grid gasoline and diesel-fired electricity generators in the world, estimated at 5GW installed capacity (Aina and Akinrebiyo, 2015), prompting Ekpo (2015) to describe the Nigerian economy as a “generator economy”. In 2004, the Federal government initiated a number of NIPP plants to fast track a series of gas fired plants to adding 5GW to the grid – basically doubling the available fleet – these plants have failed to do so due to, among other reasons, inadequate initial planning and a shortage of gas supply [Aina & Akinrebiyo, 2015]. The construction of these plants brought to 27 the number of grid-connected power plants in Nigeria with an installed capacity of 11,165.40MW with only 7,139.60MW available. However, the peak generation in the country has not exceeded the 5,074.7MW attained in February 2014, with Nigeria’s peak electricity demand pegged at...
19,100MW (TCN, 2017). These NIPP plants are currently being managed by the Niger Delta Power Holding Company (NDPHC) pending their privatization by the federal government.

ALAOJI THERMAL PLANT OVERVIEW
Alaoji Thermal Power Plant is one of the ten NIPP plants. It is located in Alaiyi, a community near Aba in Abia State, South-Eastern Nigeria. It is proposed as a combined cycle plant with an installed capacity of 1,074MW (at ISO) on completion. However, only the phase I which is a simple cycle plant with a capacity of 504.4MW (at ISO, comprising four units of 126.1MW GE Frame 9EA gas turbines) is currently in operation. The second phase is still under construction. On completion, it is expected to run as a combine cycle plant with a capacity of 1074MW. The power generated by the units is evacuated through the 330kV Alaoji substation to the 330kV transmission line.

II. METHODOLOGY
Performance analysis was carried out on each unit and the averages used in assessing the entire plant performance. The data used were extracted from the plant’s static report for 2016 obtained from the plant operator during several visits to the plant. Extensive literature survey was also done as well as personal interviews with plant management, the Operation and Maintenance team and other relevant staff. Equations 1 – 5 was employed in computing the CF, LF, AF and PUF and the results are presented in Table1 and 2 and Figures 1 – 7.

Gas turbine power plant performance is affected by several factors. These factors could be environmental (ambient temperature, humidity); internal (poor maintenance, load type); or external factors (gas supply, labour strikes, acts of terrorism or war, acts of nature, grid/substation failure). All these factors together affect the output from a generating plant. The plant management only has control over the internal factors while the environmental and external factors are outside the control of plant management. In improving a system, there must first be a way to measure its current performance, compare it with the expected performance level, and then recommend it for improvement either in part or wholly if it is found to be performing below the expected output. In evaluating the performance of Alaoji thermal power plant, the following standard performance indices will be adopted: Capacity Factor (CF), Load factor (LF), Availability Factor (AF) and Plant Use Factor (PUF).

Capacity Factor (CF): this is a measure of the extent of use of the plant. It is the ratio of the net electricity generated for the time considered to the energy that could have been generated at full power during the same period.

\[ C_F = \frac{E_{gen}}{C_n \times 24 \times 365} \times 100\% \]  

Where \( C_n \) is the nameplate capacity (MW), \( E_{gen} \) is the total energy generated (MWh) for the given period.

Load Factor (LF): This is ratio of the load that the plant draws when it is in operation to the load it could draw. It is an indication of the utilization of the plant capacity and is vital in determining the cost per unit generated.

\[ L_F = \frac{Energy\;exported}{Installed\;Capacity \times Hours\;in\;month} \times 100\% \]

Availability Factor (AF): This is defined as the fraction of a given operating period in which a generating unit is available without any outages [IEEE, 2006]. It is also defined as the percentage measure of the degree to which machinery and equipment is in an operable and committable state at the point in time when it is needed. It is mostly a factor of the plant’s reliability and the periodic maintenance it requires.

\[ AF = \frac{AH}{PH} \]  
\[ AF = \frac{RH+RSH}{RH+UOH+POH} \]

Plant Use Factor (PUF): This represents the ratio of the actual energy generated during a specified period to the product of the capacity of the plant and the number of hours the plant was in operation during the period. Since only the actual running hours of the plant is used in computing the PUF, it is thus a modification of the capacity factor of the plant [ICA, 2011].

\[ PUF = \frac{E_T}{C_R \times H_R} \times 100\% \]

\( E_T \) = Total energy generated (MWh).  
\( C_R \) = Rated or nameplate capacity (MW).  
\( H_R \) = actual running hours (H)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Data used for this study are extracted from the Plant’s 2016 static report. The data collected included running hours, energy generated, energy exported, station consumption, planned outage hours, unplanned outage hours, gas interruption and grid interruptions. The results of the analysis are displayed in tables, graphs and charts below.
### Table 1: Monthly indices for LF, CF, PUF, and AF for 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>LF (%)</th>
<th>PUF (%)</th>
<th>CF (%)</th>
<th>AF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1:** Showing running hours for 2016

**Fig. 2:** Showing energy forecast, generated and sent out for 2016.
Fig. 3: showing unit by unit generation for 2016

Fig. 4: showing unit by unit load factor for 2016
Fig. 5: showing unit by unit Availability Factor for 2016.

Fig. 6: showing installed, Available, actual generation and shortfall in generation.
IV. DISCUSSION

The installed capacity of Alaoji thermal power plant at full load is 504.4MW (i.e. 126.1MW x 4units). However, owing to the prevailing atmospheric condition in Nigeria and at the directive of the National Control Centre (NCC), the installed capacity at site ambient condition was scaled down to approximately 460MW (i.e. 115MW x 4Units). The plant was commissioned for commercial operation in April 2015. Hence, the units are relatively new without any major breakdown or requiring any major maintenance. From Figure 1, it can be seen that units 1, 2, 3, and 4 were operational for 2455.1, 1871.7, 701.6 and 3089.9 hours respectively out of a possible 8760 hours if the units were to run for every second in the year. Unit 3 had the lowest...
The plant used factor is shown in Figure 7. The plant has an average PUF of 20.1% with a minimum of 0% in July and peaking at 29.2% (Table 1) in the month of October for the period under study. This is low when compared with results obtained by Famoriji and Adegboyega (29.1%) and Oyedepo (45.89-97.03%). The ISO standard for PUF is between 50-70%. This low PUF is an indication of low ratio of actual generation to expected generation. It implies that the plant is idle for a greater percentage of time throughout the year. Unavailability of gas is blamed for the most of the downtimes.

Availability factor for the four units were calculated using equation 3 and the results are tabulated and plotted in a bar chart as shown in Table 1 and Figure 5. From Fig 5, Unit-1 was only available for generation in the first four months of the year before it went out of service. Unit-2 was available all through the year although the percentage availability factor was constantly fluctuating. Unit-3 was unavailable for the months of January, February, March, July and November, and just like other units its average monthly availability factor is constantly fluctuating. Unit-4 is seen to have the highest average availability factor of the four turbine units in the plant. The high energy generated by this unit is a reflection of its high availability factor.

V. CONCLUSION

Performance evaluation of Alaoji Thermal Power Plant has been carried out in this study. Based on results obtained using key performance indices, the plant had an overall poor performance. Three major reasons have been discovered to be responsible for this poor performance:

First amongst all is shortage in gas supply. Like most gas thermal plants in the country, this has been the bane to increased generation as gas supply to the plants is insufficient owing to vandalization of gas infrastructure, poor production and increasing debt profile of most of the plants to the gas companies.

Line restriction by the system operator due to poor wheeling capacity of the grid has also been found to be another major factor responsible for the poor performance of Alaoji thermal plant. The frequent partial and total collapses of the grid often experienced in the country makes it impossible for generating companies to increase their capacity without a corresponding increase in the wheeling capacity of the national grid.

Another major problem affecting the plant’s performance is the unavailability of spare parts required for proper running maintenance to be carried out. There is a poor inventory of spare parts and lack of competent manpower to carry out major maintenance operations in the event of sudden...
breakdown. The availability of the units can be improved if a proper maintenance plan is drawn for the units and strictly followed. The performance of the plant has been found to be affected not only by management, maintenance and operational practices but also by the activities of the National Control Centre, transmission and distribution companies. To improve electricity generation by Alaoji Plant there has to be an improvement in O&M practices, provision of a robust inventory of spare parts, training and retraining of the O&M staff to be able to carry out major maintenance activities, completing the second phase CCGT, improving gas supply, increasing the wheeling capacity of the grid, reduction in distribution losses and improved revenue collection by the distribution companies. Since the challenges facing the plant is not solely localized, it is therefore of utmost importance that all sectors of the electricity value chain be made to operate more efficiently to ensure improved electricity supply which will enhance rapid industrialization of the country and improvement in the socio-economic lives of the citizens.
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