# Quality of Work Life of Indian Bank Employees: A Study in Warangal District, Telangana

Aravinda M<sup>1</sup>, Ratna Kishore N<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Dept of Commerce & Business Management, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, India <sup>2</sup>Assistant professor, Dept of Commerce & Business Management, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur.India

Abstract— Quality of Work Life has assumed increasing interest and importance in both industrialized and developing countries of the world. In India, its scope seems to be broader than all labour legislations enacted to protect the workers. It focuses on job security and economic growth of employees. The issue of Quality of Work Life within banking services has drawn considerable attention over the past few years. The movement towards the better service has increased the development of the banking industry. Employees of the banks are providing lots of services in order to remain competitive in a rapidly changing market. On the other hand banks are rewarding those employees by providing many benefits. However, which increased working hours, stress and responsibilities many bankers are unable to balance their personal and professional lives. And, it is resulting in delivering of poor service to the customers. In this context it is felt necessary to conduct a detailed investigation on how bank employees manage their work and personal life.

Keywords— Quality of Work Life, Stress and Bank Employees.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Organization/institutions are made of people who possess skills, abilities, aptitudes that create competitive advantage for it. Various functions of an institute is planned, executed and controlled by human resource. So it is essential for the institution to do proper management of human resource in order to achieve its objectives efficiently and effectively. The management of human resource plays a key role in opening up new opportunities for promoting the growth of both individual and organization. Through 'Quality of work Life' (QWL) the institute works in the same direction. Now-a-days, jobs are so demanding that it imbalance the family and work life due to job pressure and conflicting interests. So it is essential for the institution to develop quality relation between its employees and working environment. In order to attract and retain employees, an organization has to develop a high quality of work life.

Organizations by adopting QWL program ensure to create excellent work condition and job for its employees. Hence, QWL seeks to create such a work environment where the employees work co-operatively and make positive contribution in achieving organizational objectives.

Quality of work life refers to the favorableness or unfavorableness of a job environment for people. It exposes the quality of relationship between employees and the total working environment. QWL has assumed increasing interest and importance in both industrialized and developing countries of the world. In India, its scope seems to be broader than all labour legislations enacted to protect the workers. It focuses on job security and economic growth of employees. The concept of QWL views works as a process of interaction and joint problems solving by working people – managers, supervisors and workers. This process is cooperative rather than authoritarian rather than rules based, interpersonal rather than mechanistic, problem solving win rather than win lose and based on mutual respect.

## II. NEED OF THE STUDY

Indian Banking is essentially a person - to - person business. In service industry like banking, the quality of human resource assumes vital importance, bank customers would normally do business with a bank whose staff are well informed and well disposed. For this reason the best personnel are selected, motivated and trained to conduct marketing function in a better way. Improved efficiency and promotion of personalised services are required on the part of the employees for them to offer from a delicate link between the management and the clerical staff. The success of the bank depends upon the coordination, synchronisation and cooperation of the bank officers with these two very divergent entitles. Hence, the job satisfaction of the employees is prime important because only a satisfied and happy officer will be able to balance the life and work in the bank i.e. Quality of Work Life.

The issue of Quality of Work Life within banking services has drawn considerable attention over the past few years. The movement towards the better service has increased the development of the banking industry. Employees of the banks are providing lots of services in order to remain competitive in a rapidly changing market. On the other hand banks are rewarding those employees by providing many benefits. However, which increased working hours, stress and responsibilities many bankers are unable to balance their personal and professional lives. And, it is resulting in delivering of poor service to the customers. In this context it is felt necessary to conduct a detailed investigation on how bank employees manage their work and personal life.

**Objectives of the study:** The following are the main objectives of the study.

- To examine the quality of work life of bank employees of banks (private and public) in Warangal district
- To measure the time spent in bank by employees both designation wise and gender wise
- To assess the impact of professional life on personal life of employees
- To identify the additional facilities sought by employees.

## Methodology of the study

A questionnaire was designed to collect data on issues related to Quality of Work Life in Banking Sector. Variables in the questionnaire were selected based on the working hours per day, time spent with children, feeling tired or depressed due to work, missing quality time, worrying about work when not at work etc., The questionnaire was distributed at work places by using convenience sampling method. Five hundred employees from public and private sector banks in Warangal district responded to the survey.

## III. DATA ANALYSIS

This part of the study provides an in-depth analysis of the questionnaire results, which is split down into seven sections namely Respondent profile, Working Hours, Feel or Depressed due to Work, Worry about Work, Miss Quality Time due to Work Pressure, Quality of Work Life and Facilities to Helping Balance Work Life.

## Profile of the respondents

For the present study, 500 respondents were selected from the two public sector and two private sector banks in Warangal city, the respondents consists of employees belonging to managerial cadre and clerical cadre. Out of these, 132 respondents were from State Bank of India (SBI), 126 are Andhra Bank (AB), 118 are from Housing Development Finance Corporation (HDFC) and 124 are from Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India (ICICI).

From the table 2, it can be observed that 34% of the respondents are above 50 years followed by 28% respondents who below 30 years. 22% respondents fall under 41-50 years age group. Only 16% respondents are 31-40 years old. With respect to gender, 70% respondents are male and the remaining 30% were females. Regarding the marital status, 80% respondents are married and 20% are unmarried. About the occupation, 50% each belonged to managerial cadre and clerical cadre respectively.

#### Working Hours of the Respondents

Table 3 has brought to light that 42% respondents work for 9 - 10 hours per day while 24% respondents work for 7 - 8 hours. 20% respondents work for more than 10 hours and 14% respondents work for 8 - 9 hours per day. Thus it found that most of the respondents work for 9 - 10 hours per day. It signifies that work pressure is more on employees.

With regard to managers, almost all of them i.e., 49% work for more than 9 hours and only 12% of them work for 7-8 hours. Regarding the respondents belonging to clerical level, most of them (20%) work for 7 – 8 hours. 14% each work for more than 10 hours and 8 – 9 hours. Only 2% work for 9 – 10 hours. Therefore it can be observed that the managerial level employees are having the more work than clerical level employees in banks. It indicates that the managerial level employees have more responsibility.

Table 4 shows that most of the respondents (48%) spend less than 3hours per day with children. Another 20% are either unmarried or their children are married and are living independently. 16% respondents spend 4-5 hours and 8% respondents each spend 3-4 hours and more than 5hours respectively. It can be observed that most of the employees spend less than 3hours with their children. The reason is that bank employee's work for longer hours in the bank and thus they have less time to spend with children. If working hours per day is concerned, out of the total employees working for 7-8hours, 50% of them spend less than 3hours per day with their children. 40% employees are either unmarried or their children are married. Around 8% employees each spend 3-4hours and more than 5hours. Regarding employees working for 8-9hours, most of them (43%) spend less than 3hours. Around 29% spend 4-5 hours while around 14% of them get 3-4hours to spend with their children. For the remaining 14% it is not applicable. Out of the respondents working for 9-10hours, majority i.e. around 67% spend less than 3hours with their children. The next majority (14%) constitutes more than 5hours. Only 10%

spend 4-5hours. About the employees working for more than 10hours, most of them (40%) spend 4-5 hours with their children, 20% spend 3-4hours, while 10% spend less than 3hours per day with their children.

#### Feeling or Depressed due to Work

Table 5 reveals that majority of the respondents i.e. 28% each get depressed due to work often and always while 24% employees said that they rarely get tired or depressed. 12% said they get tired or depressed, whereas only 8% said they never feel so. Thus, it can be understood that majority of the employees feel tired or depressed due to work often and always. The reason for this may be existence of more work pressure in banks. About the employees working for 7-8 hours, majority of them (67%) rarely feel tired or depressed due to work. Around 17% often feel tired or depressed while around 8% feel so sometimes and always. Regarding employees working for 8-9hours, majority of them (around 57%) said they never feel tired or depressed. Around 29% said they always feel tired or depressed. 14% employees said they rarely feel. Out of the total employees working for 9-10hours, around 38% said they always feel tired or depressed due to work. 33% said they often feel tired or depressed whereas 14% each said they feel so rarely and the other 14% said they feel like that sometimes. When respondents working for more than 10hours are concerned, 50% of them often feel tired or depressed whereas 30% feel so always.20% respondents said they sometimes feel tired or depressed due to work.

Further, an attempt is also made to one way analysis of variance (i.e. ANOVA) test has been applied to test whether significant difference exists between working hours per day of employees and their feeling Tired or Depressed due to work.

**Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no significant deference among respondents belonging to working hours per day of employees and their feeling Tired or Depressed due to work.

Test	F - Value	P-Value	Result
ANOVA Test	1.142	.361	Accepted (H0)

Source: Compiled from Table 5.

The above table shows that the calculated value of F (1.142) is greater than the critical value P (.361). Thus the farmed null hypothesis is accepted. Hence, there is no significant difference among respondents belonging to working hours per day of employees and their feeling tired or Depressed due to work.

#### Worry about Work

Table 6 reveals that most of the respondents (34%) said they always worry about work when they are not at work. 20% respondents have said that they often worry about work when they are not working, while 18% said they worry about work sometimes only. 18% have said they rarely worry about work whereas 12% respondents said they never bother about work after they come out of bank. Thus we can understand that most of the bank employees worry about work pressure in the banks and thus the employees concentrate more on their work.

When the age group is taken into consideration, most respondents i.e. 34% are above 50years, followed by 28% respondents who are below 30years. 22% are fall in the age group of 41-50 years. 31- 40 years age group constitutes the least number with only 16% respondents. Out of the respondents who are above 50 years, most of them (34%) said they always worry about work even when not working. It is followed by 24% respondents who said they often worry about work while the other 24% said they never worry about work. 17% respondents said they never worry about work when they are not working. Among the respondents who are below 30 years, majority of them (36%) said they always worry about work when not working, while 22% said they worry often when not at work. 14% respondents said they worry sometimes, the other 14% said rarely worry about work and the remaining 14% said they never worry about work when they are not working.

Regarding the respondents who fall in the age group of 41-50years, 27% said they always worry about work when they are not working. The next 27% said they worry about work often while the other 27% said they worry rarely. The remaining 19% have said that when they are not working get worried about work only sometimes. Coming to the respondents of 31-40 years age group, around 38% said even when they are not working, they always get worried about work. The other 38% said they rarely worry about work when not working. The remaining 25% said they worry about work sometimes when they are not working.

#### Missing Quality Time due to Work Pressure

Table 7 shows that majority (36%) of the respondents feel that they always miss quality time due to work pressure. The next majority of them (28%) felt they often miss quality time due to work. 18% respondents felt they rarely miss quality time whereas 5% said it happens rarely. The remaining 8% said they sometimes miss quality time due to work. Thus, it can be known that majority of the

# [Vol-2, Issue-5, May- 2016] ISSN: 2454-1311

respondents' miss quality time due to work. As the bank employees work for longer hours, they will not get much time to spend for other activities, even for important works. Among the respondents working for 7-8 hours, majority of them i.e. 33% felt they always miss quality time due to work. The next majority constitutes 25% respondents who felt they often miss quality time whereas the other 25% felt it happens rarely. 8% respondents said that they sometimes miss quality time while the other 10% respondents felt they rarely miss quality time due to work. Regarding the respondents working for 8-9 hours, there is a mixed picture. 29% respondent said they always miss quality time due to work. The other 29% said they miss quality time often, whereas another 29% said it happens sometimes. The remaining 13% said they sometimes miss quality time due to work. Out of the total respondents working for 9-10hours, majority of them (33%) opine that they often miss quality time due to work. 24% respondents constituting the next majority opine that due to work, they always miss quality time. 19% said that they rarely miss quality time; other 19% said they never missed any quality time. The remaining 5% opined they sometimes miss quality time due to work. About the respondents working for more than 10hours, those who feel that they always miss quality time due to work constitute a vast majority of 70%. The next majority constitutes only 20% who feel they often miss quality time because of work. The remaining 10% feel they sometimes miss quality time due to work. In this category, there are no respondents who never miss quality time or at least feel so only sometimes.

## **Quality of Work Life**

Table 8, it can be understood that majority of the respondents (56%) respondents feel that their work life balance is poor, followed by 24% respondents who feel it is average. The remaining 10% respondents feel that their work life balance is good. Thus, it is observed that most of the respondents feel that their work life balance is poor. This is because the bank employees spend most of their time in the bank; they don't have much time to look after their personal works.

Out of the total respondents working for 7-8hours, most of them (50%) said that their work life balance is poor. 33% respondents said it is average. The remaining 17% said their work life balance is good. About the respondents working for 8-9 hours, 57% of them who constitute the majority opine that their work life balance is poor while 29% opined that it is poor. The rest of them (14%) felt their work life balance is poor. Coming to the category respondents working for 9-10hours, majority (48%) of them perceive

that their work life balance is poor. The perception of the next majority (28%) is that their work life balance is average. 24% respondents perceive that their work life balance is good. Regarding the employees working for more than 10 hours, a huge majority of them consisting of 80% are of the opinion that their work life balance is average. 10% are of the opinion that it is average and the remaining 10% presented the opinion that their work life balance is good.

## **Facilities for Helping Balance Work Life**

Table 9 reveals that the support from boss is the main facility helping to balance work life. The next facility is attributed to the Support from colleagues at work. Flexible working hours, Time-off for family engagement/events and Different Kinds of Leaves occupies 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> places respectively. 6<sup>th</sup> place is attributed to Working from home. Holidays/Paid time offs, parenting and Pregnancy Policies occupies the next two places. Finally, Being able to bring Children to work is occupies the last place.

## Contribution

- Our findings suggest that working hours should be maintained for this purpose shift wise working system should be implemented.
- The vast majority of the managerial level employees are ready to share their responsibility with every employee of the bank.
- Most of the employees are preferred 5 day week because most of them spend less than 3 hours per day with their children. The reason is that bank employees work for longer hours in the bank and thus they have less time to spend with children.
- A break of 15 minutes for every 2 hours in desired by many employees in order to avoid fatigue.
- Health camps and Yoga class should be conducted to maintain physical and mental health.
- Most of the respondents miss quality time due to work. So that the banks should provide flexible working hours and Time-off for family engagement/events to employees.
- Many employees felt that Support from the boss is critical in balancing work life followed by Support from colleagues at work, Flexible working hours, Time-off for family engagement/events and Different Kinds of Leaves and facility of Working from home.

## IV. CONCLUSION

This study brings out some of the issues related to quality of work life of public and private sector banks employees in Warangal district indicating the Indian context. The study

# International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) Infogain Publication (<u>Infogainpublication.com</u>)

indicates that quality of work life issues here are quite similar to those in the West countries. While most countries developed have put in place family friendly work practices, the same is yet to be seen in good measure in India public sector banks.

With the increase in number of dual career couples in Warangal district, it is but natural that such practices must be adopted here too, as they would go a long way towards improving productivity and enhancing quality of work and family life. Flex time, home working, child care facilities and the option to work part time are facilities that need to be introduced. Building a supportive work environment is yet another important initiative to be addressed.

The study relies on the responses of the sample employees. The results of the study are affected by these responses and are subject to varying in a bigger or different sample. These limitations need to be addressed in future studies. Future research can focus on a wider sample in order to get more generalized results. Moreover, it should be directed at understanding individual differences so that employee specific initiatives to improve work life balance could be implemented by organizations.

Table 1: Sa	mple Size
-------------	-----------

Banks	Respondents	%					
Public Sector Banking Sector							
State Bank of India (SBI)	132	26					
Andhra Bank (AB)	126	25					
Private Sector Banks							
Housing Development Finance Corporation (HDFC)	118	24					
Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India (ICICI)	124	25					
Total	500	100					

*Source: Primary Data. Table 2: Demographic Profile of the Respondents* 

	No. of Respondents	%
Age		
Below 30 years	140	28
31- 40 years	80	16
41-50 years	110	22
Above 50 years	170	34
Gender		
Male	350	70
Female	150	30
Marital Status	1 1	

Married	400	80
Unmarried	100	20
Occupation of the F	Respondents	I
Manager	250	50

 Table 3: Designation of the Employees Vs Working Hours

 per Day

	Wo				
Designation of the Employees	7- 8 hours	8 – 9 hours	9 – 10 hours	More than 10 hours	Total
Manager	20	0	200	30	250
	(12%)	(0%)	(40%)	(9%)	(100%)
Clerk	100	70	10	70	250
	(20%)	(14%)	(2%)	(14%)	(100%)
Total	120	70	210	100	500
	(24%)	(14%)	(42%)	(20%)	(100%)

#### Source: Primary Data.

Table 4:	Working	Hours per	Day Vs	Spending	Time	with
		Child	dren			

	Spending Time with Children					
Working Hours	Less than	3 - 4	4 -5	More than	Not	Total
Per Day	3	hours	hours	5	Related	
	hours			hours		
7-8	0	40	30	10	40	120
hours	(0%)	(8%)	(6%)	(2%)	(8%)	(24%)
8 - 9	30	10	20	0	10	70
hours	(6%)	(2%)	(4%)	(0%)	(2%)	(14%)
9 - 10	140	20	20	10	20	210
hours	(28%)	(4%)	(4%)	(2%)	(4%)	(42%)
More	40	20	10	0	30	100
than 10	(8%)	(4%)	(2%)	(0%)	(6%)	(20%)
hours						
Total	240	40	80	40	100	500
Total	(42%)	(8%)	(16%)	(8%)	(20%)	(100%)

Source: Primary Data.

# International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) Infogain Publication (<u>Infogainpublication.com</u>)

# [Vol-2, Issue-5, May- 2016] ISSN: 2454-1311

Table 5: Working Hours per Day Vs Feeling Tired or

Table 7: Working Hours per Day Vs Missing Quality Time due to Work

Working	Depressed due to Work Working Hours Per Day					
Hours Per Day	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always	Total
7- 8	0	80	10	20	10	120
hours	(0%)	(67%)	(8%)	(17%)	(8%)	(100%)
8 – 9	40	10	0	0	20	70
hours	(57%)	(14%)	(0%)	(0%)	(29%)	(100%)
9 – 10	0	30	30	70	80	210
hours	(0%)	(14%)	(14%)	(33%)	(38%)	(100%)
More than 10 hours	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	20 (20%)	50 (50%)	30 (30%)	100 (100%)
Total	40	120	60	140	140	500
	(8%)	(24%)	(12%)	(28%)	(28%)	(100%)

Source: Primary Data.

Table 6: Age of Respondents Vs Worrying about Work when not at Work

Work ing Hours Per DayNev er elRar elySometi mesOfte nAlwa ysI t t t atBelow 30202020305014030(14(1420 (14%)(22(36%)(28years%)%)2020 (14%)305014031 -40 years030 (0%20 (18%)0308031 -40 years030 (1620 (16%)0308041 -030 (1620 (19%)303011050 years(0% (2420 (19%)30 (243011050 years(24 (0%)30 (17%)303011050 years(0% (2430 (17%)(24 (35%)(34400 years0 (1230 (16 (12%)30 (16%)17050 years(24 (0%)30 (17%)(24 (35%)(34 (34)400 years60 (18%)80 (2030 (24(35%)400 years100 (12%)170 (10%)500 (18%)100170 (30%)	not at Work							
Hours Per Day         Nev er         Rar ely         Someti mes         Ofte n         Alwa ys         I           Below         20         20         30         50         140           30         (14         (14         20         (22         (36%)         (28)           years         %)         %)         20         20         (22         (36%)         (28)           years         %)         %)         20         (14%)         %)         )         %)           31 -40         0         30         20         0         30         80           years         )         %)         20         (14%)         )         %)         %)           41 -         0         30         20         (27         (27%)         (22           years         )         %)         20         (24%)         )         %)         %)           50         (0%         (27         (19%)         30         30         110           50         (24         0         30         (24         (35%)         (34)           years         %)         ***         ***         ***         ***		Wor	rying a		when	not at		
Hours Per Day         Nev er         Rar ely         Someti mes         Ofte n         Alwa         I           Below         20         er         ely         mes         n         ys         1           Below         20         20         20         30         50         140           30         (14         (14 $(14\%)$ 20         (22         (36%)         (28           years         %)         %)         20         20         (14%)         %)         %)         %)           31 -40         0         30         20         0         30         80           years         )         %)         20         (24%)         )         )         %)           41 -         0         30         20         (27         (27%)         (22           years         )         %)         20         (27         (27%)         (22           years         )         %)         20         (27         (27%)         (22           years         )         %)         30         110         30         (24         (35%)         (34           years         %) <td< th=""><th>ing</th><th></th><th colspan="6">Work</th></td<>	ing		Work					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Nev	Rar	Someti	Ofte	Alwa		
Day $-1$ <th< th=""><th>Per</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	Per							
30         (14         (14         20 (14%)         (22         (36%)         (28           years         %)         %)         (14%)         (14%)         %)         %)         %)         %)           31 -40         0         30         20         0         30         80           years         0         30         20         (0%)         (38%)         (16           years         )         %)         )         %)         )         %)           41 -         0         30         20         (27         (27%)         (22           years         )         %)         20         (27         (27%)         (22           years         )         %)         20         (19%)         %)         )         %)           Above         40         0         30         (27         (27%)         (22           years         %)         %)         (17%)         %)         )         %)         %)           years         %)         (0%)         (17%)         %)         )         %)         %)           Total         (12         (16         (18%)         (20         <	Day	CI	ciy	mes		ys		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Below	20	20	20	30	50	140	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	(14	(14		(22	(36%	(28	
31 -40         (0%         (38         20         (0%         (38%         (16           years         )         %)         (24%)         )         )         %)         %)           41 -         0         30         20         (10%)         (38%)         (16           50         (0%         (27%)         (24%)         )         )         %)         %)           41 -         0         30         20         (19%)         30         30         110           50         (0%         (27         (19%)         %)         )         %)         %)           Above         40         0         30         (17%)         %)         )         %)           years         %)         '''         ''''         ''''         '''''         '''''           70         50         (24         0         30         (24         (35%)         '(34           years         %)         '''         '''''         '''''''         ''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	years	%)	%)	(14/0)	%)	)	%)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21 40	0	30	20	0	30	80	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0%	(38		(0%	(38%	(16	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	years	)	%)	(24%)	)	)	%)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41 –	0	30	20	30	30	110	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50	(0%	(27		(27	(27%	(22	
50         (24         0         30         (24         (35%)         (34           years         %)         (17%)         (17%)         (24         (35%)         (34           bit         %)         (17%)         (17%)         (24         (35%)         (34           years         %)         (17%)         (17%)         (100)         170         500           Total         (12         (16         (18%)         (20         (34         (100)	years	)	%)	(19%)	%)	)	%)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Above	40	0	30	40	60	170	
years         %)         %         %)         %	50	(24			(24	(35%	(34	
Total (12 (16 90 (20 (34 (100	years	%)	(0%)	(1770)	%)	)	%)	
Total $(12 \ (16 \ (18\%)) \ (20 \ (34 \ (100 \ (18\%))))))$		60	80	00	100	170	500	
(1070) $(1070)$ $(1070)$ $(0)$ $(0)$	Total	(12	(16		(20	(34	(100	
		%)	%)	(1070)	%)	%)	%)	

Source: Primary Data.

Worki	Miss	sing Qua	ality Time	due to V	Vork	
ng Hours Per Day	Neve r	Rar ely	Someti mes	Ofte n	Alwa ys	Total
7-8 hours	10 (8%)	30 (25 %)	10 (8%)	30 (25 %)	40 (34% )	120 (24 %)
8 – 9 hours	0 (0%)	20 (29 %)	10 (13%)	20 (29 %)	20 (29% )	70 (14 %)
9 – 10 hours	4 0(19 %)	40 (19 %)	10 (5%)	70 (33 %)	50 (24% )	210 (42 %)
More than 10 hours	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10 (10%)	20 (20 %)	70 (70% )	100 (20 %)
Total	50 (10 %)	90 (18 %)	40 (8%)	140 (28 %)	18 0(36 %)	500 (100 %)

Source: Primary Data.

Table 8:	Working	Hours per	Day Vs	Work Life	Balance
----------	---------	-----------	--------	-----------	---------

Working	Wo			
Hours Per Day	Good	Average	Poor	Total
7-8	20	40	60	120
hours	(17%)	(33%)	(50%)	(24%)
8 – 9	10	20	40	70
hours	(14%)	(29%)	(57%)	(14%)
9 - 10	60	50	100	210
hours	(28%)	(24%)	(48%)	(42%)
More than 10 hours	10 (10%)	10 (10%)	80 (80%)	100 (20%)
Total	100 (20%)	120 (24%)	280 (56%)	500 (100%)

# Source: Primary Data.

Table 9: Facilities Helping to Balance Work Life

Facilities Helping to Work Life Balance	Yes	No
Flexible working hours	320 (64%)	180 (36%)
Different Kinds of Leaves	220 (44%)	280 (56%)

International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) Infogain Publication ( <u>Infogainpublication.com</u> )							
	Halidaya/Daid tima offa	180	320	Summary. N			
	Holidays/Paid time offs	(36%)	(64%)	http://familie			
	Time-off for family	260	240	[9] Jarrod M. H			
	engagement/events	(52%)	(48%)	use of fle			
	Parenting and Pregnancy	170	330	Qualitative I			
	Policies	(34%)	(66%)	(1), 69-82.			

240

(48%)

260

(52%)

120

(24%)

400

(80%)

440

(88%)

260

(52%)

240

(48%)

380

(78%)

100

(20%)

60

(12%)

Source: Primary Data.

Support from Boss

at work

Working from home

phones/ laptops

Being able to bring

Children to work

Technological like cell

Support from colleagues

#### REFERENCES

- Arthur, M. & Cook, A. (2004), "Taking stock of workfamily initiatives: How announcements of "family friendly" human resource decisions affect shareholder value", Industrial Relations Review, 57 (4), 599-613.
- [2] Amato, P. R., D. R. Johnson, A. Booth, and S. J. Rogers. (2003) "Continuity and change in marital quality between 1980 and 2000", *Journal of Marriage and Family* 65 (1): 1–11.
- [3] Atkinson, J., and N. Meager. (1986) "Changing working patterns: How companies achieve flexibility to meet new needs". London: Institute of Manpower Studies; National Economic Development Office.
- [4] Bhagwagar, H. (2009) "Need for workplace counselling in India". Http://prod.bolohealth.com/healthzones/21-totalhealth/article/165-needfor-workplace-counseling-inindia.
- [5] Bharat, B. (2008) "Longer working hours for computer software engineers", India. <u>Http://www.saching.com/Article/Longer-working-</u> hours-for- Computer-Software-Engineers-India/1088.
- [6] Elizabeth W., Calvin W., & Janice R (2008). "Women and work-life balance: is home-based business ownership the solution?", Equal Opportunities International, 27 (3), 258-275.
- [7] Farid A. Muna & Ned Mansour, (2009). Balancing work and personal life: the leader as acrobat. Journal of Management Development, 28(2), 121-133.
- [8] Galinsky, Ellen & Bond, James T. (1998). The 1998 Business Work-Life Study: A Sourcebook – Executive

www.ijaems.com

Summary. New York: Families and Work Institute, http://familiesandwork.org/summary/worklife.pdf

- [9] Jarrod M. Haar (2007). "Exploring the benefits and use of flexitime: similarities and differences", Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management, 4 (1), 69-82.
- [10] Kossek, E. E., & Nichol, V. (1992). The effects of an on-site childcare on employee attitudes and performance. Personnel Psychology, 45, 485-509.
- [11] Lewis, S., Watts, A., & Camp, C. (1996). Midland Bank's experience, In S. Lewis and J. Lewis (Eds.) The Work-Family Challenge. RethinkingEmployment. London: Sage.
- [12] Perry-Smith, J. E. & Blum, T. C. (2000). RESEARCH NOTES - Work-Family Human Resource Bundles and Perceived Organizational Performance. Academy of Management Journal, 43(6), 11.
- [13] Ramachandra Aryasri, A., & Suman Babu, S. (2007). Work-Life Balance- A holistic Approach.Siddhant-A Journal of Decision Making, 7 (1), 1-11.
- [14] Raabe, P.H. (1990), "The organizational effects of workplace family policies", *Journal of Family Issues*, 11, 477-486.
- [15] Sindhu & Suman Babu, S. (2008). Achieving Work-Life Balance-Women Perspective. Indian Journal of Training and Development, 38(1), 79-86.
- [16] Watson Wyatt Worldwide., "Human Capital Index: Human capital as a leader of shareholder value, 2001/2002 Survey Report", 2002, Watson Wyatt Worldwide: Washington. DC. www.watsonwyatt.com