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Abstract — With many possible multimedia applications, content-based image retrieval (CBIR) has recently gained more interest for image management and web search. CBIR is a technique that utilizes the visual content of an image, to search for similar images in large-scale image databases, according to a user’s concern. In image retrieval algorithms, retrieval is according to feature similarities with respect to the query, ignoring the similarities among images in database. To use the feature similarities information, this paper presents the k-means clustering algorithm to image retrieval system. This clustering algorithm optimizes the relevance results by firstly clustering the similar images in the database. In this paper, we are also implementing wavelet transform which demonstrates significant rough and precise filtering. We also apply the Euclidean distance metric and input a query image based on similarity features of which we can retrieve the output images. The results show that the proposed approach can greatly improve the efficiency and performances of image retrieval.
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I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 IMAGE RETRIEVAL

In today’s world the expansion of internet and multimedia technologies has increased, a huge amount of multimedia data in the form of audio, video and images has been used in many fields like medical treatment, satellite data, digital forensics, surveillance system, etc. This has created a fragmentary demand of systems that can store and retrieve multimedia data in an effective way.

In recent years, rapid increase in the size of digital image database has been seen. Image Retrieval is concerned with the searching, finding and retrieving of digital image from a set of images or image database. To search an image from this large increasing database requires special concentration. Image Retrieval has been an active research area since 1970's. A proper structured database provides effective browsing and searching capability. Database management and computer vision are two research communities working on this area. These two research areas provide two major techniques for searching an image.

1.2 TEXT BASED IMAGE RETRIEVAL

The most common retrieval systems are Text Based Image Retrieval (TBIR) systems, where the search is based on automatic or manual annotation of images. In Text Based Image Retrieval, text data represents the image features. Most existing image retrieval systems are text based. Depending upon the image objects, characteristics and features some keywords are indexed to the database to search an image.

A conventional TBIR searches the database for the similar text nearby the image as given in the query string. The commonly used TBIR system is Google Images. The text based systems are fast as the string matching is computationally less time consuming process. However, it is sometimes difficult to express the whole visual content of images in words and TBIR may end up in producing irrelevant results. In addition annotation of images is not always correct and consumes a lot of time.

1.3 CONTENT BASED IMAGE RETRIEVAL

For finding an alternative way of searching and overcoming the limitations imposed by TBIR systems more spontaneous and user friendly content based image retrieval systems (CBIR) were developed. A CBIR system uses visual contents of the images described in the form of low level features like colour, texture, shape and spatial locations to represent the images in the databases. A typical CBIR system is as shown in fig. 1.

![Fig. 1 Basic working of CBIR](image-url)
Querying in this way eliminates the need of describing the visual content of images in words and is close to human perception of visual data.

II. EXISTING SYSTEM
The search for significant information in the large space of image and video databases has become more challenging. The main challenge lies in the reduction of the semantic gap between low-level features extracted from the image and high-level user semantics. How to achieve accurate retrieval results are still a challenging and an unsolved research problem. A typical image retrieval system includes three major components: 1) feature extraction (usually in conjunction with feature selection), 2) high dimensional indexing and 3) system design. The main contribution of this work is a comprehensive comparison of colour feature extraction approaches and texture feature extraction approaches for CBIR.

2.1 COLOUR FEATURE EXTRACTION MODELS
The extraction of the colour features for each of the four methods is performed in the HSV (hue, saturation and value) perceptual colour space, where Euclidean distance corresponds to the human visual system’s notion of distance or similarity between colours.

2.1.1 THE CONVENTIONAL COLOUR HISTOGRAM
The conventional colour histogram (CCH) of an image indicates the frequency of occurrence of every colour in the image. From a probabilistic perspective, it refers to the probability mass function of the image intensities. It captures the joint probabilities of the intensities of the colour channels. The CCH can be represented as 
\[ h_{A,B,C}(a,b,c) = N \cdot \text{Prob}(A=a, B=b, C=c) \]
where \( A, B \) and \( C \) are the three colour channels and \( N \) is the number of pixels in the image [3] (key paper #1). Computationally, it is constructed by counting the number of pixels of each colour (in the quantized colour space)[1].

2.1.2 THE FUZZY COLOUR HISTOGRAM
The classic histogram is a global statistical feature, which describes the intensity distribution for a given image. Its main advantage is that it is fast to manipulate, store and compare and insensitive to rotation and scale. On the other hand, it is also quite unreliable as it is sensitive to even small changes in the scene of the image. In colour image processing, the histogram consists of three components, respect to the three components of the colour space.

A histogram is created by dividing a colour space into a number of bins and then by counting the number of pixels of the image that belongs to each bin. It is usually thought that in order for an image retrieval system to perform satisfyingly, the number of regions that the colour space is divided into is quite large and thus the colours represented by neighbouring regions have relatively small differences. As a result, the perceptually similar colours problem appears, images which are similar to each other but have small differences in scene or contain noise will produce histograms with dissimilar adjacent bins and vice versa due to the small distance that the regions are separated from each other[2].

2.1.3 THE COLOUR CORRELOGRAM
The colour correlogram (CC) expresses how the spatial correlation of pairs of colours changes with distance. A CC for an image is defined as a table indexed by colour pairs, where the \( d(\text{th}) \) entry at location \((i, j)\) is computed by counting number of pixels of colour \( j \) at a distance \( d \) from a pixel of colour \( i \) in the image, divided by the total number of pixels in the image [1][3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour Feature</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Colour Histogram</td>
<td>Simple, Fast computation</td>
<td>High dimensionality, No colour similarity, No spatial information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy Colour Histogram</td>
<td>Fast computation, Encodes colour similarity, Robust to quantization noise, Robust to change in contrast</td>
<td>High dimensionality, More computation, Appropriate choice of membership weights needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour Correlogram</td>
<td>Encodes spatial information</td>
<td>Very slow computation, High dimensionality, Does not encode colour similarity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: comparison of colour feature extraction models

2.2 TEXTURE FEATURE EXTRACTION MODELS
The notion of texture generally refers to the presence of a spatial pattern that has some properties of homogeneity. Directional features are extracted to capture image texture information. The texture feature extraction methods presented in this section generate a multi-scale, multi-directional representation of an image.

2.2.1 CONTOURLET TRANSFORM
The Contourlet transform is a combination of a Laplacian pyramid (LP) and a directional filter bank (DFB). The LP provides the multi-scale decomposition, and the DFB provides the multi-directional decomposition. The LP is a decomposition of the original image into a hierarchy of images, such that each level corresponds to a different band of image frequencies. This is done by taking the
difference of the original image and the Gaussian low pass-filtered version of the image, (at the appropriate scale $\sigma$). The Gaussian low pass kernel is defined as: 
\[ H(w_1,w_2) = \exp\left(-2(\pi\sigma)^2 (w_1^2 + w_2^2)\right), \]
where $w_1$ and $w_2$ are the horizontal and vertical frequencies respectively. The band pass images from the Laplacian pyramid are fed into the DFB so that directional information can be captured. The DFB realizes a division of the spectrum into $2L$ wedge-shaped slices. A detailed description of the DFB is provided in. The low frequency components are separated from the directional components. After decimation, the decomposition is iterated using the same DFB [1].

2.2.2 THE COMPLEX DIRECTIONAL FILTER BANK
The complex directional filter bank (CDFB) consists of a Laplacian pyramid and a pair of DFBs, designated as primal and dual filter banks. The filters of these filter banks are designed to have special phase functions, so that the overall filter is the Hilbert transform of the primal filter bank. A multi-resolution representation is obtained by reiterating the decomposition in the low-pass branch [1][5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texture Feature</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contourlet Transform</td>
<td>-Lower sub-bands decimated</td>
<td>-Number of orientations supported needs to be power of 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Directional Filter Bank</td>
<td>-Competitive retrieval results</td>
<td>-Computationally intensive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. PROPOSED SYSTEM
3.1 SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION STYLE
The System is divided into 5 Modules.
A. Data Base Module
B. Index Module
C. Image Search Module
D. Image Retrieval Module
E. Analysis Module
F. User Interface Module
A. Data Base Module: This Module Maintains data base as collection of images.
B. Index Module: This Module used to create Index structure on data base of images.
C. Image Search Module: This Module used to search similar images based on Query Image.
D. Image Retrieval Module: This Module used to retrieve similar images based on Query Image.
E. Analysis Module: This Module compares the performance results on searching the image with index and without index.
F. User Interface Module: This Module develops user interfaces for various operations.

3.2 INDEXING
We have used a clustering algorithm, the K-means clustering algorithm to group the images into clusters based on the color content. This clustering algorithm has been frequently used in the pattern recognition literature. Here we are going to filter most of the images in the hierarchical clustering and then apply the clustered images to K-Means, so that we can get better favored image results.

3.2.1 K-MEANS ALGORITHM
The basic aim is to segment colours in an automated fashion using the L*a*b* colour space and K-means clustering. The entire process can be summarized in following steps:-
Step 1: Read the image Read the image from mother source which is in JPEG format, which is a fused image of part of Bhopal city of Madhya Pradesh, India with DWT fusion algorithm of Cartosat-1 and LISS-IV of Indian satellite IRS-P6 and IRS-1D.
Step 2: For colour separation of an image apply the De-correlation stretching.
in the ‘n’ dimensional vector space. Thus, a feature vector is mapped to a point in the n-dimensions. This mapping helps us to perceive the images (represented by their feature vectors) as high-dimensional points. The advantage of this representation is that one can now use different distance metrics for (i) finding similarity between two images and (ii) ordering a set of images based on their distances from a given image. This enables one to do a nearest neighbour search on a large database of images and retrieve a result set containing images that are closest matches to a user-specified query.

It is evident that the images and their ordering depend both on the feature extraction method as well as on the distance metric used. In this work, Manhattan distance and Euclidean distance metrics have been used as a similarity rule. After the colour, shape or texture information is extracted from an image, it normally encoded into a feature vector. Given two feature vectors, x1 and x2, a distance function computes the difference between them. It is hoped that this difference will accurately measure the dissimilarity between the images from which the features were extracted. The greater the distance, lesser is the similarity. Distance functions Euclidean (L2) norm and Manhattan distance or L1 norm (also known as city block metric) equations are as follows: The Euclidean distance is given by the following mathematical expression[4].

\[ d_E(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (x_1(i) - x_2(i))^2} \]

Where, x1, x2 are the coordinates where two pixels p1 and p2 are located.

The Manhattan distance is given by following mathematical:

\[ d_M(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} |x_1(i) - x_2(i)| \]

Distance functions or metrics follows following properties:

\[ d(p, q) \geq 0 \quad (d(p, p) = 0) \]
\[ d(p, q) = d(q, p) \]
\[ d(p, z) \leq d(p, q) + d(q, z) \]

3.3 SIMILARITY MATCHING

For finding the similarities in the images we use some distances. These are Euclidean distance, Manhattan distance, Canberra distance. By using these distances we find the similarity between images & retrieve most similar images with query image.

3.3.1 EUCLIDIAN DISTANCE ALGORITHM

In the domain of image retrieval from large databases using signatures like colour histogram, each ‘n’ dimensional feature vector may be considered as a point in the ‘n’ dimensional vector space. Thus, a feature vector
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the other hand, have better frequency resolution properties because of their longer filter lengths.

3.4.1 WAVELET TRANSFORM

The transforms are mainly based on small waves, called wavelets. It shows that both the frequency and temporal information uses wavelets. Human vision is much more sensitive to small variations in brightness or colour that is more sensitive to low frequency signals. To determine the low frequency area and high frequency area wavelet transform has been used. Wavelet analysis shown in fig.3 represents a windowing technique with variable-sized regions. Wavelet analysis allows use of long time intervals where we want more precise low frequency information, and shorter regions where we want high frequency information [7].

In decomposition, firstly image is decomposed into four sub, One Level Decomposition. The LL sub-band further decomposed then called Two Level Decomposition shown in fig. 4.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have followed the image retrieval technique, as described in the section III. We performed experiments mainly on two image sets, such as buses and dinosaurs. The following figure explains Indexing creation process. The clustering is done using the K-means algorithm, clusters can be viewed as shown in fig. 5.

The following figure shows that if there are different instances in the query image as shown in fig. 6, result shows that accuracy in the retrieval of the images is preserved.

The rough and precise filtering can be demonstrated depending upon the user’s interest as shown in fig. 7. Retrieval results shows that the number of images in precise filtering decreases eventually.
V. CONCLUSION

Content based image retrieval (CBIR) is a lively discipline, expanding in both depth and breadth and an important research topic covering a large number of research domains like image processing, computer vision, very large databases and human computer interaction. One of the main tasks for (CBIR) systems is similarity comparison, extracting feature signatures of every image based on its pixel values and defining rules for comparing images.

Image retrieval algorithms always use the similarity between the query image and images in image database. However, they ignore the similarities between images in image database. In this paper we addressed this problem by introducing a graph-theoretic approach for image retrieval post processing step by finding image similarity clustering to reduce the images retrieving space. Experiment results show that the efficiency and effectiveness of k-means algorithm in analysing image clustering, which also can improve the efficiency of image retrieving and evidently promote retrieval precision. This k-means algorithm independent on the feature extraction algorithm is used as a post-processing step in retrieval.
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