# Whole body, Arm-Hand Vibration and Performance Drivers Tractors during Conservation Tillage under different Velocity and Soils

Ahmed Abd Ali Hamid AL-Mafrachi

Directorate of Dormitories, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract— The Experiment was carried out to determine the level vibration transfer in three axes Horizontal X, Lateral Y and Vertical Z direction to seat driver tractor, Vector sum of vibration and Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor, and vibration in steering wheel tractor, Heart Rate, Systolic and Diastolic blood pressure and temperature were measure to all Drivers before and after used Chisel plow in operation tillage. Statistical analysis system was used, Split-Split Plot Design under Randomized Complete Block Design, Three factors were used in this experiment included Two types of Soil Moist and Dry soil which represented main plot, Three Velocity Tractor was second factor included 1.6,3.5 and 5.4 km/hr and Three Drivers Tractor (D1, D2 and D3) was third factor. Result show higher levels Vibration in all direction in seat and steering wheel tractor when tillage dry soil and used high velocity tractor, heart rate increasing after operation tillage but no change in blood pressure and slight increasing (but still normal) in temperature.

Keywords— Conservation Tillage, Chisel Plow, Soil, Vibration, Performance Drivers, Velocity Tractor.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Random vibration transfer to tractor agricultural from soil field to Tiers then passing chasse to seat and steering wheel, The implement amounted behind the tractor such as Chisel plow when tilled transfer vibration to the tractor, So the driver tractor effected and attach these vibration, Add on Engine vibration tractor. The drivers of agricultural tractors are exposed two types of vibration Whole Body Vibrations (WBV) via the seat or via the floor and feet, and Hand Arm Vibration (HAV) (Hamid et al 2011, Issever 2003, Goglia 2006), which my by extremely sever depending upon such factors as the attached farm equipment, design, purpose, speeds of travel, condition of the field as soil dry or moisture and kind of Tiers and Pressure, the rpm of the engine, the type of seat ... etc. These vibration are extremely complex and varied ,with multi- axes translational as longitudinal, www.ijaems.com

lateral, vertical and rotational yaw, roll and pitch vibration inputs to different parts of the body (Hamid 2011). Vibration in tractors with low frequency is very dangerous on the drivers because the natural frequency on human body is low too, therefore may be Resonance happened (Hamid 2012,Hostens and Ramon 2003, Niranjan et al 1995). The impact between the tiers tractor and soil is effected by kind tiers, moist or soft and dry soil, texture, kind soil and topography field, velocity tractor, So the vibration transfer is variable. Increasing velocity of tractor when conducted operation tillage result to increasing vibration levels in deferent direction (Hamid 2011, Iman 2013). Szczepaniak 2013Found during the field test of the agricultural unit, that the whole body vibration is about 3 times higher, in vertical direction, when working at a speed of 4.16 ms-1 than for the speed of 1.39 ms-1. As previously stated, it is widely recognized that agricultural tractor operators are exposed to high levels of whole-body vibration (WBV) during typical farm operations. Kumar et al (2001) were measured the vibrations (root mean square rms) on different sizes of tractors under varying terrain conditions found The values were compared with ISO 2631-1, 1985 and 1997 standards exceed the 8 h exposure limit in one-thirdoctave frequency band procedure of ISO 2631-1 (1985) on both farm and non-farm terrains. American Conference of Governmental Hygienists (ACGIH)(2007) was limited exposure limits Maximum hand arm vibration from 4-8 hours is 4 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Santia 2014 mention 2.5 m/sec<sup>2</sup> for working day 8 hours. ISO 2621–1(1997) recommended the vibration exposure value were 0.63 m/sec<sup>2</sup> for 4 hour exposure duration, 0.5 m/sec<sup>2</sup> for 8 hour exposure duration and 3.5 to 5.8 m/sec<sup>2</sup> considered caution zone. Several studies conducted by (Milosevic 1997) on drivers and heavy vehicles revealed significant changes in body temperature ,diastolic blood pressure and an increase in accommodation visual reaction time after prolonged driving. Hamid 2012 Found in experiment field vibration tractor increasing heart rate in 20 drivers tractor after operation tillage but no change in diastolic and systolic blood pressure. The aim of these experiment is measuring whole body and arm-hand vibration ,Vector sum of vibration, Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) drivers tractor, Systolic, Diastolic, Heart Rate and Temperature drivers during conservation tillage in moist and dry soil under different velocity tractor.

#### Material and Methods

## 1-1 Field

Field experiment was conducted in Baghdad-Iraq. The field was not agriculture, and divided according to experimental design, Two part Soil, Moist soil was 16-19 % when soil tilled and Dry soil. Soil texture was silt clay loam (455, 435 and 110 g.kg-1 respectively). Field was 31.7 m above sea level and the weather temperature was measured 24 C° and humidity was 57 %. Depth tillage was 25 cm.

#### **1-2 Experimental Design**

Split-Split Plot Design under Randomized Complete Block Design with Three replication using least significant design (LSD) 5 % was used to compare the mean of treatments. Statistical analysis system used (SAS 2010 and ALsahooki 1991). Three factors were used in this experiment included Two types of Soil included Moist and Dry soil which represented main plot, Three Velocity Tractor was second factor included 1.6,3.5 and 5.4 km/hr and Three Drivers Tractor (D1, D2 and D3) was third factor. Experiment included 18 treatments with three replication for each treatment  $(2\times3\times3\times3=54)$  Treatments).

#### **1-3 Drivers Tractor**

Three Drivers (subjects) Tractor, were take the driving experience of operator on tractor, all teetotalers, not consuming psychotropic drugs and enjoying body and sound health were selected for the present study, all drivers no smoking and no alcohol. Choosing the drivers was very care and all them were normal Body Mass Index (BMI) is a measure of your weight relative to your height, It gives an approximation of total body fat- and that's what increases the risk of diseases that are related to being overweight (National Institutes of Health 1998) (Table 1). BMI was obtained by measuring weight in kilograms and height in meters then the following equation was used (Dennis 2005).

## $BMI = Weight/(Height)^2$ (1)

When result Under weight < 18.5, Normal 18.5 – 24.9, Over weight 25.0 – 29.9, Obesity > 30 over that, And Obesity divided to: Class I= 30.0 - 34.9, Class II = 35.5 - 39.9, Class III (Extreme) > 40.0.

Table 1. Characteristics of the drivers according to Body Mass Index (BMI).

Drivers	Age (yr)	Height (m)*	Weight (kg)**	BMI	State
D1	28	1.69	63	22.0	Normal
D2	28	1.72	69	23.3	Normal
D3	28	1.78	78	24.6	Normal

\* Measuring were by Electronic Meter with Accuracy 1% of reading height and weight drivers.

#### \*\* Weight is measured with wears but no shoes.

The anthropometric data taken as Height in mm, weight in kg. Hand length in mm (hand length is upper most shoulder to the tip of middle finger), Foot length in mm, Eye height (seating) in mm, Body girth (at seating) in mm (see fig.1) and (Table 2).



Fig.1 Anthropometric dimensions for tractor seat design according to NASA Anthropometric Source Book
(NASA, 1978): (A) Height, or Stature (B) Hand length, (C) Functional leg length (D) Buttock popliteal length, (E) Popliteal height, (F) Interscye breadth. (G) Hip breadth sitting, (H) Eye height sitting.

 Table 2. Anthropometry Measurement of Drivers Tractor

 in these experiment.

			-				
Hei	Ha	Funct	Butt	Popl	Inter	Hipb	Eye
ght	nd	ional	ock	iteal	scye	readt	Hei
<b>A</b> (	Len	leg	popl	Heig	Brea	Sittin	ght
mm	gth	lengt	iteal	ht	dth	g	Sea
)	В	h	Len	Е	F(	G	ting
	(m	С	gth	(mm	mm)	mm	н
	m)	(mm)	D	)			(m
			(mm				m)
			)				
169	730	900	450	470	350	350	730
0							
172	750	930	470	500	360	380	740
0							
178	770	960	500	520	380	430	770
0							
	Hei ght A( mm ) 169 0 172 0 178 0	Hei         Ha           ght         nd           A(         Len           mm         gth           )         B           (m         m)           169         730           0         -           172         750           0         -           178         770           0         -	Hei ghtHa ndFunct ionalA(Lenlegmmgthlengt)Bh(mCm)(mm)1697309000-1727509300-1787709600-	HeiHaFunctButtghtndionalockA(Lenlegpoplmmgthlengtiteal)BhLen(mCgthm)(mm)D(m)(mm)j)169730900450017275093047001787709605000	Hei ghtHa ndFunct ionalButt ockPopl itealA( A( Lenleg lengtpoplHeig itealmm gthlengt lengtitealht)B (mhLen (mmE(m m)C (mm)gth (mm)i-(mm) (mm))169 0730900450172 0750930470178 07709605005200	Hei ghtHa ndFunct ionalButt ockPopl itealInter scyeA( A( LenLen legleg poplpopl itealHeig BreaBreamm gthlengtiteal itealhtdth)B (m (m)Len (m)EF( (m) (mm)m)(mm) (mm)D (mm))169 (730730900450470172 (0)7509304705003600178 (0)770960500520380	Hei ghtHa ndFunct ionalButt ockPopl itealInter scyeHipb readtA( A( gthLen legleg poplpoplHeig HeigBrea BreaSittin gmm gthlengtiteal itealhtdth gg)B (m m)hLen (mm )EF( G(m m)C (mm)gth (mm ))mm169 0730900450470350172 07509304705003603800178 0770960500520380430

### 1-4 Agriculture Tractor and Chisel plow

UZEL 290 Tractor and Chisel plow were used in these experiment, The technical characters of the Uzel tractor and chisel Plows are listed in Table 3. Chisel plow mounted behind Tractor and adjusted on depth tillage 20 cm By put two Piece wood (thickness 20 cm) each of them under rear tire tractor then attach and tying the chisel plow with three points hydraulic tractor. Tractor worked with full fuel tank and radiator and tires were standard size for the tractor, as specified by the manufacturer. Three speeds tractor were chose carefully 1.6, 3.5 and 5.4 km/hr by limited point start treatment length 40 m and must leftover 10 m at least before this 40 m to give the speed ground tractor stability in movement and operation tillage and determined time in second by stopwatch to cross the tractor these distance (calculated the time tillage for 40 m only), then calculated by the following equation:

$$S = \left(\frac{D}{T}\right) \times 3.6$$
 (2)

When S was speed measure in km / hr , D was distance treatment line tillage limited equal 40 m, T was time to cross tractor distance 40 m in sec, 3.6 was factor conversion.

Table 3. Technical characters Uzel 290	tractor and
Chisel plow.	

Characters Usel 290 tractor					
Power drive	2- wheel				
Type engine	Perkins 4-				
stroke diesel with direct fuel inj	ection				
Cylinders no	4				
Engine power (H.P)	92				
Cooling system	liquid force				
feed with thermostat					
Maximal r.p.m	2200				
Suspension Seat	Spring				
Tires front size	7-50 R16				
Tires rear size	18-4 R30				
Fuel tank capacity (Liter)	70				
Characteristics of the Chisel plow.					
No. of Tines	9				
Max. Working Width (mm)	2160				
Plough depth (mm)	350				
Made	Turkey				

#### 1-5 Vibration Meter and Calibrated

Lutron vibration meter (Lutron VB – 8201HA) serial number (Q405638) made in Taiwan, it was used for measuring vibration levels (Fig.2), was calibrated prior to measurement for all directions with another vibration meter and the results were the same in both of them for all readers. Professional vibration meter measurement Velocity, Acceleration RMS value and Peak value, supply with vibration sensor and magnetic base, full set, Data hold button to freeze the desired reading with memory function to record data reading with recall and super large LCD display ( $61 \times 34$  mm), vibration meter weight 274 g, www.ijaems.com dimension  $185 \times 78 \times 38$  mm and vibration sensor probe (Round 16 mm Dia $\times 29$  mm).



Fig.2 Vibration Meter and Sensor

#### 5-1 Vibration Measurement

Driver seat tractor is portion of the machine provided for the purpose of supporting the buttocks and back of the seated operator, including any suspension system and other mechanisms provided (for example, for adjusting the seat position). The Drivers of agricultural tractors are often exposed to a low frequency vibration environment, partly caused by the movement of the tractor over uneven ground and the tasks carried out. The seat constitutes the last stage of suspension before the driver tractor. The most important vibration in tractors accrue to driver is Whole-body vibration (WBV) is vibration transmitted to the body as a whole through the buttocks of a seated driver tractor with many axes translational as longitudinal, lateral, vertical and rotational as yaw, roll and pitch (Fig.3), and Hand-arm vibration (HAV) is vibration transmitted into hands and arms when driver grip steering wheel tractors (fig.4).



Fig.3 Translational and rotational vibration in seat driver tractor



Fig.4 Coordinate system for the hand ( according to ISO 5349 ).

Root mean square (RMS) is the square root of the arithmetic mean of instantaneous values (amplitude or acceleration) square . Root mean square acceleration gives the total energy across the entire range. The weighted RMS acceleration is expressed in  $m/sec^2$  and is calculated by the following equation :

$$a_w = \left[\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T a_w^2 (t)dt\right]^{1/2}$$
(3)

Where  $a_w(t)$  is the weighted acceleration as a function of time in m/sec<sup>2</sup> and T is the duration of measurement in seconds.

According to ISO 2631:1997, the weighted value of acceleration aw can be used to evaluate human riding comfort of man- agricultural combination system. Vector sum of weighted values of acceleration in seat driver tractor can be obtained by following equation(ISO 2631-1,1997) which used by researchers (Hamid 2013, Szczepaniak 2013 and Marsili 2002):

 $ahv = \left[k_x^2 \ a_{wx}^2 + k_y^2 \ a_{wy}^2 + k_z^2 \ a_{wz}^2\right]^{1/2}$ (4) Where *ahv* the vector-sum vibration magnitude (m/sec<sup>2</sup>)

 $a_{_{\scriptscriptstyle W\!X}}, a_{_{\scriptscriptstyle W\!Y}}$  and  $a_{_{\scriptscriptstyle W\!Z}}$  are the weighted RMS acceleration in

X, Y and Z direction and  $k_x$ ,  $k_y$  and  $k_z$  are the

orthogonal (measurement) axis multiplying factor specified by ISO 2631-1:1997 for seated persons. For the evaluation of the effect of vibration on health the values for multiplying factors given by ISO 2631 - 1 (1997) are

$$k_x = 1.4$$
,  $k_y = 1.4$  and  $k_z = 1$ 

The daily vibration exposure shall be expressed in terms of the (8 hours) energy equivalent frequency-weighted vibration total value as:

$$A(8) = a_{hv} \sqrt{\frac{T}{To}}$$
 (5)

Where *T* is duration of exposure to the vibration magnitude ahv in these experiment *T* was 6.5 hr (23400 sec), and *To* is reference duration of 8 hours (28,800 seconds).

ISO 2631-1:1997 suggests approximate indications of public perception to a range of overall total RMS vibration emission values (see Table 4)

www.ijaems.com

Table 4. Likely perception of discomfort resulting from WBV (as suggested by ISO 2631-1:1997).

Vibration total value ( m/sec <sup>2</sup> )	Perceived comfort level
Less than 0.315	Not uncomfortable
0.315 - 0.63	A little uncomfortable
0.5 - 1.0	Fairly uncomfortable
0.8 – 1.6	Uncomfortable
1.25 - 2.5	Very uncomfortable
Greater than 2.0	Extremely
	uncomfortable

In these experiment measured vibration in seat tractor by put Sensor vibration meter in three location to measure vibration in three dimensions Horizontal X, Lateral Y and Vertical Z (see fig. 5), Then we measure vibration in steering wheel tractor in three dimension Horizontal X, Lateral Y and Vertical Z by tying Adaptor and clip in steering wheel tractor and put Sensor vibration meter in adaptor (see fig. 6), We Measuring level vibration for all treatments wit three replication for each treatment.



Fig.5 Accelerometer (Sensor) Location in Seat Driver Tractor to measuring Horizontal X, Lateral Y and Vertical Z.



Fig. 6 Accelerometer (Sensor) locations on steering wheel during measurement.

## **1-6 Blood Pressure, Heart Rate and Temperature Body Drivers tractor**

When the Human (Drivers) heart pumps blood through the blood vessels, the blood pushes against the walls of drivers blood vessels, This creates blood pressure. Human body needs blood pressure to move the blood throughout human body, so every part of the body can get the oxygen it needs. Human blood pressure is recorded as two numbers. The systolic blood pressure (the "upper" number) tells how much pressure blood is exerting against human artery walls while the heart is pumping blood, The diastolic blood pressure (the "lower" number) tells how much pressure blood is exerting against your artery walls while the heart is resting between beats. Blood pressure is measured in units of millimeters of mercury, or mm Hg, For example, a blood pressure reading might be 120/80 mm Hg (American Heart Association2014). A healthy blood pressure is under 120/80 mm Hg (Table 5). In some studies show significant change in Blood Pressure and Heart Rate during work and stress and mental stress (Hamid 2012 ,Fumio et al 2002 and Theorell et al 1985). Heart Rate had been used as a physiological measure of workload during driving (Lal 2001). The pulse can be defined as the frequency at which the heart beats. Pulse rate, in human beings is a vital sign of indication of a person's health. Other vital signs include body temperature, respiration rate and blood pressure, Pulse rate does not remain constant throughout the body even in a healthy driver tractor, It deviates according to the activities of an driver tractor. Normal pulse rate for healthy adults approximately 75 beats per minute, so the cardiac cycle length is approximately 0.8 seconds (National Institutes of Health 2003). Porges 1998 suggested that heart rate was the most sensitive cardiovascular index of the work load and the fatigue associated with driving, Thus heart rate has been used as a physiological indicator for measuring the performance of the operator in the present work. Body Drivers tractor temperature is a complex, non-linear variable that is subject to many sources of internal and external variation. A widely accepted medical concept is that a normal body temperature for a healthy adult is approximately 98.6° F / 37.0° C.

In this Experimental Field Rossmax Blood Pressure Monitor Model LC 150 had been used, made in USA Cincinnati, Ohio designed in accordance with international standard ISO 9001 and CE 0366 to measure Systolic and Diastolic Pressure and Heart Rate. We must keep correct measuring posture by place your elbow the table so that the cuff is the same level as your heart (see Fig.7). Rossmax Blood Pressure Monitor Calibrated in hospital in Baghdad with A sphygmomanometer (is an instrument used to obtain blood pressure readings by the auscultator method) for many people by measure both instruments and the results were same in all measures.

Blood Pressure	Systolic mm		Diastolic mm
Category	Hg*(Upper)		Hg (Lower)
Normal	Less than 120	And	Less than 80
			Good for
			human
Prehypertension	120 - 139	Or	80 - 89
High Blood Pressure	140 - 159	Or	90 - 99
(Hypertension) Stage			
1			
High Blood Pressure	160 or Higher	Or	100 or Higher
(Hypertension) Stage			
2			
Hypertensive Crisis	Higher than 180	Or	Higher than
(Emergency care			110
needed)			

Table 5. Healthy and unhealthy blood pressure ranges (American Heart Association 2014).

\* Millimeters of mercury.



Fig. 7. Rossmax Blood Pressure Monitor and method measuring for Driver Tractor.

#### II. RESULT AND DISCUSSION 1-2 Vibration in Seat Tractor

Tables 6, 7 and 8 effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vibration Longitudinal X, Literal Y and Vertical Z in Seat tractor. Results show significant effects to the soil types in vibration seat tractor in three axes X, Y and Z. Moist soil recorded lower values were 4.08, 1.87 and 2.40 m/sec<sup>2</sup> L,Y and Z as respectively, while Dry soil recorded higher values 5.92, 3.60 and 4.69 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z, That may be because the different in Stiffness and resistance between moist and dry soil against penetration chisel plow. Result show significant effects to velocity tractor in vibration seat tractor in three axes X, Y and Z, Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower values was 3.17, 1.51 and 2.17 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z, While velocity 5.4 recorded higher values was 7.06,4.13 and 5.11 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z and that because increasing vibration with increasing velocity tractor (see Fig.8) and these result in the same line with (Szczepaniak 2013 and Hamid 2011). Result show significant effects to Drivers in vibration seat tractor in

#### International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) Infogain Publication (<u>Infogainpublication.com</u>)

three axes X, Y and Z, Drivers D3 recorded lower values 4.87, 2.62 and 3.26 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z, While D1 recorded higher values 5.15, 2.86 and 3.91 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z, That may be because the different weight between drivers D1and D3.

Interaction between moist soil with velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower vibration seat tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 2.15,0.93 and 1.33 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with 5.4 km/hr recorded higher vibration in three axes X,Y and Z were 7.76,5.33 and 6.63 m/sec<sup>2</sup>.

Table 6. Effect Soil Types, Velocity Tractor and Drivers and interaction on Longitudinal (X) vibration in Seat tractor.

Longitudinal (X) vibration in Seat tractor						
Trea	atments	Inte	eraction	Interaction		
			es, Velo	city	Soil type	
		Tracto	or with D	Drivers	and	
Soil	Velocity		Drivers		Velocity	
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3	Tractor	
	1.6	2.30	2.13	2.03	2.15	
Moist	3.5	3.86	3.73	3.63	3.74	
	5.4	6.46	6.33	6.26	6.35	
	1.6	4.26	4.20	4.10	4.18	
Dry	3.5	6.00	5.80	5.70	5.83	
	5.4	8.03	7.73	7.53	7.76	
Drivers mean		5.15	4.98	4.87		
Soil Types		Interac	tion Soil	Soil Types		
¥ 1		with Drivers			Mean	
Moist		4.21	4.06	3.97	4.08	
]	Dry	6.10	5.91	5.77	5.92	
Veloci	ty Tractor	Intera	ction Ve	Velocity		
Kı	n / hr	Tracto	or with E	Tractor		
					Mean	
	1.6	3.28	3.16	3.06	3.17	
	3.5	4.93	4.76	4.66	4.78	
	5.4	7.25	7.03	6.90	7.06	
L.S.D	0.05					
Soil Ty	pes : 0.0836	i	Velocit	y Tracto	or: 0.1024	
Driver : 0.1024						
Interaction Soil Types with Velocity Tractor: 0.1765						
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers : 1.6527						
Interaction Velocity Tractor with Drivers: 1.2309						
Interac	tion Soil Ty	pes , Ve	locity T	ractor w	ith Drivers:	
0.2507						

*Table 7. Effect Soil Types, Velocity Tractor and Drivers and interaction on Lateral (X) vibration in Seat tractor.* 

	Vertical (Z) vibration in Seat tractor					
Trea	itments	Inte	raction 9	Soil	Interaction	
1100	unents	Turas Valosity			Soil type	
			or with T	son type		
			Weight	11100	Velocity	
Soil	Valoaity		Drivere		Tractor	
Tumas	Treator	Drivers			fractor	
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3		
	1.6	1.46	1.33	1.20	1.33	
Moist	3.5	2.50	2.50	2.03	2.27	
	5.4	4.06	3.53	3.16	3.58	
	1.6	3.43	3.00	2.63	3.02	
Dry	3.5	4.83	4.00	4.43	4.42	
	5.4	7.16	6.63	6.10	6.63	
Drive	rs mean	3.91	3.46	3.26		
Soil	Types	Interaction Soil Types			Soil Types	
		with Drivers			Mean	
Ν	loist	82.67	2.48	2.13	2.40	
1	Dry	5.14	4.54	4.38	4.69	
Veloci	ty Tractor	Interaction Velocity			Velocity	
Kr	n / hr	Tractor with Drivers			Tractor	
					Mean	
	1.6	2.45	2.16	1.91	2.17	
	3.5	3.66	3.15	3.23	3.35	
	5.4	5.61	5.08	4.63	5.11	
L.S.D	0.05					
Soil Ty	Soil Types : 0.0776 Velocity Tractor : 0.0951					
Drivers : 0.0951						
Interaction Soil Types with Velocity Tractor : 0.344						
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers : 1.2869						
Interac	tion Veloci	ty Tracto	or with I	<b>Drivers:</b>	1.554	
Interac	tion Soil Ty	pes , Vel	ocity Tı	actor w	ith Drivers:	
0.2329	0.2329					

Interaction between Moist soil with Driver D3 recorded lower vibration seat tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 3.97, 1.74 and 2.13 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with Driver D1 recorded higher vibration in three axes X,Y and Z were 6.10, 3.72 and 5.14 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction between velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower vibration seat tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 3.06, 1.40 and 1.91 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with velocity 5.4 km/hr recorded higher vibration in three axes X,Y and Z were 7.25, 4.28 and 5.61 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction among Moist soil with Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower vibration seat tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 2.06, 0.80 and 1.20 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction among Dry soil with Velocity tractor 5.4 km/hr with Driver D1 recorded higher vibration seat tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 6.0, 5.46 and 7.16 m/sec<sup>2</sup>.

*Table 8. Effect Soil Types, Velocity Tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vertical (X) vibration in Seat tractor.* 

	Lateral (Y	) vibrat	ion in S	Seat tra	ctor
Trea	tments	Inte	raction	Soil	Interaction
		Types, Velocity			Soil type
		Tractor with Drivers			and
Soil	Velocity	Drivers			Velocity
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3	Tractor
	1.6	1.03	0.96	0.80	0.93
Moist	3.5	1.86	1.73	1.63	1.74
	5.4	3.10	2.90	2.80	2.93
	1.6	2.20	2.06	2.00	2.08
Dry	3.5	3.50	3.40	3.30	3.40
	5.4	5.46	5.30	5.23	5.33
Drive	Drivers mean		2.72	2.62	
Soil	Types	Interaction Soil			Soil Types
		Types with Drivers			Mean
Moist		2.00	1.86	1.74	1.87
]	Dry	3.72	3.58	3.51	3.60
Veloci	ty Tractor	Interaction Velocity			Velocity
Kr	n / hr	Tractor with Drivers			Tractor
					Mean
	1.6	1.61	1.51	1.40	1.51
	3.5	2.68	2.56	2.46	2.57
	5.4	4.28	4.10	4.01	4.13
L.S.D	0.05				
Soil Typ	pes : 0.0493		Velocity	y Tracto	or : 0.0604
Drivers	:0.0604				
Interact	tion Soil Typ	oes with	Velocit	y Tracto	or : 0.1242
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers : 1.1405					
Interaction Velocity Tractor with Drivers : 1.1851					
Interact	tion Soil Typ	bes , Vel	ocity Tr	actor w	ith Drivers:
0.1479					



Fig.8 Increasing vibration in seat tractor (Longitudinal X, Lateral Y and Vertical) with increasing Velocity Tractor.

2-2 Vector Sum of vibration in seat driver tractor Tables 9. Effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vector sum of vibration in Seat driver tractor. Results show significant effects to the soil types in Vector sum of vibration in seat driver tractor. Moist soil recorded lower values were 6.76 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Dry soil recorded higher values 10.80 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, That may be because the different in Stiffness

and resistance between moist and dry soil against penetration chisel plow. Result show significant effects to velocity tractor in Vector sum of vibration in seat driver tractor, Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower values was 5.39 m/sec2, While velocity 5.4 km/hr recorded higher values was 12.62 m/sec<sup>2</sup> because when increasing velocity tractor increasing vibration in all directions, and these result in the same line with (Szczepaniak 2013 and Hamid 2011). Result show significant effects to Drivers in Vector sum of vibration in seat driver tractor, Drivers D3 recorded lower values 14.21 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. While driver D1 recorded higher values 15.37 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, That may be because the different weight between drivers D1and D3. Interaction between moist soil with velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower Vector sum of vibration in seat driver tractor was 3.56 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with 5.4 km/hr recorded higher value was 14.76 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction between Moist soil with Driver D3 recorded lower Vector sum of vibration in seat driver tractor was 6.47 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with Driver D1 recorded higher value 11.26 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction between velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower Vector sum of vibration in seat driver tractor 5.09 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with velocity 5.4 km/hr recorded higher value was 13.10 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction among Moist soil with Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower Vector sum of vibration in seat driver tractor was 3.28 m/sec2. Interaction among Dry soil with Velocity tractor 5.4 km/hr with Driver D1 recorded higher value 15.37 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Fig. 9 and 10 explain the interaction among moist and dry soil, velocity tractor and drivers on vector sum of vibration in Seat driver tractor, these vibration was highly during tillage dry soil compare with tillage moist soil and increasing with increasing velocity tractor on both types soil, Driver D3 recorded least values during tillage moist and dry soil in all velocity compare with drivers D1 and D2 that because D3 was more weight body from D1 and D2 so the seat driver tractor received least transfer vibration wit driver D3.

Tables 9. Effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vector sum of vibration in Seat driver tractor.

Vec	tor Sum of	' vibrati	on in sea	at drive	r tractor	
Trea	tments	Interaction Soil			Interaction	
		Types, Velocity			Soil type	
		Tractor with Drivers			and	
Soil	Velocity	Dri	ver Wei	Velocity		
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3	Tractor	
	1.6	3.82	3.60	3.28	3.56	
Moist	3.5	6.51	6.20	6.01	6.24	
	5.4	10.83	10.49	10.11	10.48	
	1.6	7.54	7.20	6.90	7.21	
Dry	3.5	10.86	10.22	10.22	10.43	
	5.4	15.37	14.70	14.21	14.76	
Drivers mean		9.15	8.73	8.46		
Soil Types		Interac	tion Soil	Soil Types		
		W	ith Drive	Mean		
Moist		7.05	6.76	6.47	6.76	
I	Dry	11.26	10.70	10.44	10.80	
Veloci	ty Tractor	Interaction Velocity			Velocity	
Kr	n / hr	Tractor with Drivers			Tractor	
					Mean	
	1.6	5.68	5.40	5.09	5.39	
	3.5	8.68	8.21	8.12	8.34	
	5.4	13.10	12.59	12.16	12.62	
L.S.D	0.05					
Soil Ty	pes : 0.117	7	Veloc	ity Trac	tor: 0.1441	
Drivers: 0.1441						
Interaction Soil Types with Velocity Tractor: 0.3589						
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers: 3.0609						
Interac	Interaction Velocity Tractor with Drivers: 2.6536					
Interac	tion Soil T	ypes , V	elocity '	Fractor	with	
Drivers: 0 353						



Fig.9 Interaction among moist soil, velocity tractor and drivers in Vector sum vibration in seat tractor.



Fig.10 Interaction among Dry soil, velocity tractor and drivers in Vector sum vibration in seat tractor.

## **3-2** Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor

Tables 10. Effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor, Results show significant effects to the soil types in Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor Moist soil recorded lower values were 6.07 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Dry soil recorded higher value 9.77 m/sec<sup>2</sup>.Result show significant effects to velocity tractor in Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor, Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower value was 4.92 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, While velocity 5.4 km/hr recorded higher value was 11.35 m/sec<sup>2</sup> because when increasing velocity tractor increasing vibration in all directions. Result show significant effects to Drivers in Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor Vector, Drivers D3 recorded lower value was 7.59 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, While driver D1 recorded higher values 8.31 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, That may be because the different weight between drivers D1and D3. Interaction between moist soil with velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor was 3.20 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with 5.4 km/hr recorded higher value was 13.28 m/sec2. Interaction between Moist soil with Driver D3 recorded lower Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor was 5.79 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with Driver D1 recorded higher value 10.27m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction between velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor 4.58 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with velocity 5.4 km/hr recorded higher value was 11.79 m/sec<sup>2</sup>(see fig.11). Interaction among Moist soil with Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor was 2.95 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction among Dry soil with Velocity tractor 5.4 km/hr with Driver D1 recorded higher value 13.83 m/sec<sup>2</sup>.

Tables 10. Effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver tractor.

Daily	Daily Vibration Exposure (8 hours) in seat driver									
		tra	ctor.							
Trea	tments	Interaction Soil			Interaction					
			es, Velo	Soil type						
		Tracto	or with D	Drivers	and					
Soil	Velocity	Driver Weight			Velocity					
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3	Tractor					
	1.6	3.43	3.23	2.95	3.20					
Moist	3.5	5.85	5.57	5.33	5.58					
	5.4	9.74	9.43	9.10	9.47					
	1.6	7.23	6.48	6.21	6.64					
Dry	3.5	9.76	9.19	9.19	9.38					
	5.4	13.83	13.22	12.78	13.28					
Drive	rs mean	8.31	7.85	7.59						
Soil	Types	Interac	tion Soil	Soil Types						
		with Drivers			Mean					
Moist		6.34	6.08	5.79	6.07					
I	Dry	10.27	9.63	9.39	9.77					
Veloci	ty Tractor	Interaction Velocity			Velocity					
Kr	n / hr	Tracto	or with D	Tractor						
					Mean					
	1.6	5.33	4.85	4.54	4.92					
	3.5	7.81	7.38	7.26	7.48					
	5.4	11.79	11.33	10.94	11.35					
L.S.D	0.05									
Soil Ty	pes : 0.121	2	Veloc	ity Trac	tor:					
0.1484	Dı	rivers: 0	.1484							
Interac	tion Soil T	ypes wi	th Veloc	ity Trac	ctor:					
0.3703	0.3703									
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers: 2.7265										
Interaction Velocity Tractor with Drivers: 2.428										
Interac	ction Soil T	ypes , V	elocity '	Tractor	with					
Driver	s: 0.3635									



*Fig.11 Interaction between velocity tractor and drivers on Daily vibration exposure (8 h) in seat tractor.* 

#### 4-2 Vibration in Steering Wheel Tractor

Tables 11, 12 and 13 effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vibration Longitudinal X, Literal Y and Vertical Z in Steering Wheel Tractor. Results show significant effects to the soil types in vibration in steering wheel tractor in three axes X, Y and Z. Moist soil recorded lower values were 1.50, 1.07 and 2.08 m/sec<sup>2</sup> L,Y and Z as respectively, while Dry soil recorded higher values 2.56, 2.00 and 3.70 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z directions. Result show significant effects to velocity tractor in vibration steering wheel tractor in three axes X, Y and Z, Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower values was 1.40, 1.02 and 1.93 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z, While velocity 5.4 km/hr recorded higher values was 2.67, 2.10 and 3.91 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X,Y and Z as respectively (see fig 12). Result show significant effects to Drivers in vibration steering wheel tractor in two axes X, Y, Drivers D3 recorded lower values 1.90, 1.47 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X and Y, While D1 recorded higher values 2.13, 1.61 m/sec<sup>2</sup> as X and Y, Result show too, insignificant effect in vertical vibration Z in steering wheel. Interaction between moist soil with velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr recorded lower vibration steering wheel tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 1.05, 0.65 and 1.50 m/sec2, while Interaction between Dry soil with 5.4 km/hr recorded higher vibration in three axes X,Y and Z were 3.36, 2.66 and 5.01m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction between Moist soil with Driver D3 recorded lower vibration steering wheel tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 1.33, 1.02 and 2.01 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with Driver D1 recorded higher vibration in three axes X,Y and Z were 2.62, 2.08 and 3.74 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction between velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower vibration steering wheel tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 1.25, 0.95 and 1.98 m/sec<sup>2</sup>, while Interaction between Dry soil with velocity 5.4 km/hr recorded higher vibration in three axes X,Y and Z were 2.80, 2.16 and 3.93 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction among Moist soil with Velocity tractor 1.6 km/hr with Driver D3 recorded lower vibration steering wheel tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 0.86, 0.60 and 1.43 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. Interaction among Dry soil with Velocity tractor 5.4 km/hr with Driver D1 recorded higher vibration steering wheel tractor in three axes X,Y and Z were 3.43, 2.73 and 5.03 m/sec<sup>2</sup>.

### International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) Infogain Publication (<u>Infogainpublication.com</u>)

Tables 11. Effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vibration Longitudinal X in Steering Wheel Tractor.

Longitudinal Vibration X in Steering Wheel Tractor.						
Trea	tments	Interaction Soil			Interaction	
		Types, Velocity			Soil type	
		Tractor with Drivers			and	
Soil	Velocity	Driver Weight			Velocity	
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3	Tractor	
	1.6	1.20	1.10	0.86	1.05	
Moist	3.5	1.56	1.53	1.33	1.47	
	5.4	2.16	2.00	1.80	1.98	
	1.6	1.83	1.76	1.63	1.74	
Dry	3.5	2.60	2.63	2.53	2.58	
	5.4	3.43	3.40	3.26	3.36	
Drivers mean		2.13	2.07	1.90		
Soil	Types	Inte	raction	Soil Types		
		Types	with D	Mean		
Moist		1.64	1.54	1.33	1.50	
I	Dry		2.60	2.47	2.56	
Veloci	ty Tractor	Intera	ction Ve	Velocity		
Kr	n / hr	Tractor with Drivers			Tractor	
					Mean	
	1.6	1.51	1.43	1.25	1.40	
	3.5	2.08	2.08	1.93	2.03	
	5.4	2.80	2.70	2.53	2.67	
L.S.D	0.05					
Soil Ty	pes : 0.093	1	Veloc	ity Trac	ctor: 0.1141	
Drivers: 0.1141						
Interaction Soil Types with Velocity Tractor: 0.1748						
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers: 0.5731						
Interaction Velocity Tractor with Drivers: 0.7378						
Interac	tion Soil T	ypes , V	elocity	Tractor	with	
Driver	s: 0.2794					

Tables 12. Effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vibration Lateral Y in

Lateral Vibration (Y) in Steering Wheel							
	Tractor.						
Trea	Treatments		raction	Interaction			
			es, Velo	ocity	Soil type		
			actor w	ith	and		
			Drivers	Velocity			
Soil	Velocity	Driver Weight			Tractor		
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3			
	1.6	0.73	0.63	0.60	0.65		
Moist	3.5	1.10	1.03	0.93	1.02		
	5.4	1.60	1.50	1.53	1.54		
	1.6	1.50	1.40	1.30	1.40		
Dry	3.5	2.03	1.93	1.90	1.95		
	5.4	2.73	2.70	2.56	2.66		
Drive	Drivers mean		1.53	1.47			
Soil	Soil Types		raction	Soil Types			
		Types with			Mean		
			Drivers				
Moist		1.14	1.05	1.02	1.07		
Ι	Dry		2.01	1.92	2.00		
Velocit	Velocity Tractor		teractio	Velocity			
Kr	Km / hr		city Tr	Tractor			
		with Driver			Mean		
	1.6	1.11	1.01	0.95	1.02		
	3.5	1.56	1.48	1.41	1.48		
	5.4	2.16	2.10	2.05	2.10		
L.S.D	0.05	•					
Soil Types : 0.0805 Velocity Tractor:							
0.0986 Drivers: 0.0986							
Interaction Soil Types with Velocity Tractor:							
0.1359							
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers: 0.4764							
Interaction Velocity Tractor with Drivers: 0.636							
Interaction Soil Types , Velocity Tractor with							
Drivers: 0.2416							

Steering Wheel Tractor.

Tables 13. Effects Soil Types, Velocity tractor and Drivers and interaction on Vibration Vertical Z in Steering Wheel Tractor.

Vertical Vibration (Z) Steering Wheel Tractor.							
Treatments		Interaction Soil			Interaction		
		Types, Velocity			Soil type		
		Tr	actor w	and			
			Drivers	Velocity			
Soil	Velocity	Drivers			Tractor		
Types	Tractor	D1	D2	D3			
	1.6	1.56	1.50	1.43	1.50		
Moist	3.5	2.06	2.00	1.80	1.95		
	5.4	2.83	2.80	2.80	2.81		
	1.6	2.56	2.30	2.53	2.46		
Dry	3.5	3.63	3.66	3.60	3.63		
	5.4	5.03	5.06	4.93	5.01		
Drive	ers mean	2.95	2.88	2.85			
Soil Types		Interaction Soil			Soil Types		
		Ту	pes w	Mean			
		Drives					
Moist		2.15	2.10	2.01	2.08		
Dry		3.74	3.68	3.67	3.70		
Velocity Tractor		Interaction			Velocity		
Km / hr		Velocity Tractor			Tractor		
		with Drivers			Mean		
1.6		2.06	1.90	1.98	1.93		
	3.5	2.85	2.83	2.70	2.49		
	5.4	3.93	3.93	3.86	3.91		
L.S.D	0.05						
Soil Ty	pes : 0.126	7	Vel	ocity T	ractor:		
0.1552	Driv	vers: N	.S				
Interaction Soil Types with Velocity Tractor:							
0.2023							
Interaction Soil Types with Drivers: 0.8754							
Interaction Velocity Tractor with Drivers:							
1.1308							
Interaction Soil Types , Velocity Tractor with							
Drivers: 0.3801							



Fig.12 Increasing vibration in seat tractor (Longitudinal X, Lateral Y and Vertical) with increasing Velocity Tractor.
5-2 Heart Rate and Blood Pressure

www.ijaems.com

Table14. Average Heart Rate Blood Pressure (Systolic and Diastolic Pressure) values before and after Tillage. Result show increasing heart rate to the all drivers D1, D2 and D3 after operation tillage. Result found there is no notice change in blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) and found slight increasing (still normal) temperature drivers body. These results agree and the same line with results (Hamid 2012, Fumio et al 2002, Milosevic 1997 and Theorell et al 1985).

Table14. Average Heart Rate, Blood Pressure an	ıd
Temperature values before and after Tillage.	

Drivers	Heart Rate (beats/min)			Blood		
Pressure (r	nmHg)*	Temperature $\mathbf{C}^{\circ}$				
	Initial	Final		Initial		
Final		Initial	Final			
D1	75	93		118/77**		
121/81		36.9	37.2			
D2	74	96		117/79		
120/82		37.1	37.4			
D3	71	<b>89</b>		116/78		
120/80		37.0		37.5		

\* Millimeters of mercury.

\*\* The first number 118 is systolic and second number 77 is diastolic.

#### III. CONCLUSION

Vibration levels in seat and steering wheel tractor, Daily vibration exposure (8 hours) show highly compare with levels world permeation. Higher vibration transfer in all direction during tillage in dry soil compare with moist soil. Increasing Velocity tractor result to increasing vibration in all main direction (Longitudinal X, Lateral Y and Vertical Z). Driver D3 recorded least vibration compare with drivers D1 and D3. Heart Rate increasing after conducted the experiment for all drivers tractor, Blood Pressure Systolic and Diastolic Not change, Temperature drivers Body was slight increasing but still normal for all drivers tractor.

#### REFERENCE

- Hamid, Ahmid A. A, Mudhfer K.A, Ahmed, A.H, Ali F.F: Effect of Steering Wheel Vibration on drivers Hands in a Two-Wheel Drivers Hand tractor. Journal of Engineering V17 N6 p 1539-1549. 2011.Iraq.
- [2] Issever H, Aksoy C, Sab H, Karan A:Vibration and its effects on the body. Med Princ Pract , 12, 34-38. 2003.
- [3] Goglia, Vlado. Zlatko G, Dubravko F, Igor D: Influence on operator's health of hand-transmitted vibration from handles of a single –axle tractor. Ann Agric Environ Med, 13, 33–38. 2006.

- [4] Hamid, Ahmed A A, Mudafer K. A: Measurement of transmitted vibration to tractor seat. Journal of Engineering. V17 N5 p 1260-1268. 2011.Iraq.
- [5] Iman, Ahmadi: Health Hazard Assessment of Tractor Driver Whole-body Vibration Utilizing the ISO 2631 Standard. Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus . Vol. 78 No. 1 (71-78). 2013.
- [6] Hamid, Ahmed. Abd.Ali. Back Injury Vibration, Blood Pressure and Heart Rate in Operator Tractor. The second conference scientific for Machines and equipment agriculture. Collage of Agriculture-Baghdad University. Iraqi Society of Soil Science journal, 12 (1) 199- 209. 2012. Iraq.
- [7] Hostens, I, Ramon H. Descriptive analysis of combine cabin vibrations and their effect on the human body. J Sound Vib.266: 453–464. 2003.
- [8] Niranjan, P.,V.K.Tewari and Rajvir, Y: Tractor ride vibration – A review. Journal Terramachanics. Volume 32, Issue 4, Pages 205 19. 1995.
- [9] Szczepaniak, J. Kromulski, J and Dudziak, B: Dynamic loads acting on the farm tractor operator at work in the field with the increased operating speed. Combustion Engines. 154(3), 981-984. 2013.
- [10] Kumar, Adarsh. Puneet M, Dinesh M, Mathew V: Tractor Vibration Severity and Driver Health: a Study from Rural Indial J. agric. Engng Res. doi:10.1006/jaer.2001.0755.
- [11] ISO 2631-1:1985 ISO (1985). Evaluation of human exposure to whole-body vibration\*Part 1: general requirements. International organization for Standardization.
- [12] ISO 2631-1:1997 Mechanical Vibration and Shock Evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole-Body Vibration – Part 1: General requirements.
- [13] American Conference of Governmental Hygienists (ACGIH): Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents & Biological Exposure Indices. Cincinnati: USA. 2007.
- [14] Santia. 2014 Vibration Guidance Note 31 . available in internet: <u>www.santia.co.uk/accreditation</u>.
- [15] Milosevic, S: Drivers' Fatigue Studies, Ergonomics, 40, pp.381-389. 1997.
- [16]SAS Statistical Analysis System. User's Guide. Statistics (version 6.0).SAS Institute. Inc. Cary. NC.USA. 2010.
- [17] Al-Sahookie, Madhet, Kareema.M.W. Applications in Design and analyses the experiments. Unevirsity of Baghdad. Ministry of higher education and scientific research . Hakma publish and home. Mousel UN.(Book In Arabic). 1990.
- [18] National Institutes of Health. Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: The Evidence

www.ijaems.com

Report; NIH Publication No. 98-4083, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, in cooperation with the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. June 1998.

- [19] 19-National Institutes of Health NIH: Your guide to lowering blood pressure ,NIH Publication No.03-5232 May 2003.
- [20] Dennis, L.K. Eugene, B. Anthony, S. F. Stephan, H and Dan, L. L. 2005: Harrisons Principles of internal Medicine, Volume 1.16th ED. Me Graw- Hill Medical publishing Division .P.P 423 -425.
- [21] ISO 5349-1-,2001. Mechanical vibrationmeasurement and evaluation of human exposure to hand-transmitted vibration – part 1 : General Requirements, ISO, Geneva.
- [22] Hamid. Ahmed.Abd.Ali: Vibration measurement and performance efficiency of grass mower. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences – 44(4): 540-552, 2013. Iraq.
- [23] Marsili, A. L, Ragni. G, Santoro. P. Servadio and G. Vassalini1: Innovative Systems to reduce Vibrations on Agricultural Tractors Comparative Analysis of Acceleration transmitted through the Driving Seat. Biosystems Engineering 81(1), 35-47. 2002. available online athttp://www.idealibrary.com.
- [24] American Heart Association: Understanding and Managing High Blood Pressure. P16. Internet Available: <u>https://www.heart.org</u>. 2014. ( 461840.pdf)
- [25] Fumio K, Takemasa W, Misuzu W: Blood Pressure and Heart Rate variability in taxi drivers on long duty schedules, J Occupational Health 44: 214 – 220. 2002.
- [26] Theorell ,T, Knox S, Svensson J, Waller D: Blood Pressure variations during a working day at age 28: Effects of different types of work and Blood Pressure level at age 18. J humans stress, 1: 36 -41.1985.
- [27] Lal, K and A.Craig:A critical: review of the psychophysiology of driver fatigue. Biological Psychology 55, 173–194. 2001.
- [28] Porges, SW. RJ Apparies and TD Riniolo: A psycho physiological investigation of the effects of driving longer – combination vehicles . Ergonomics 41, 581 – 592. 1998.