# Seedling Parameters as affected by Soaking in Humic Acid, Salinity Stress and Grain Sorghum Genotypes

Kandil A.A.<sup>1</sup>, A.E. Sharief<sup>1\*</sup>, Doha E. A. El Badry<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt \*Corresponding Author

Abstract— To study the effect soaking in humic acid and salinity stress on sorghum seedling parameters, a laboratory experiment accompanied in Seed Science Laboratory Faculty of Agriculture Mansoura University from June 2017 to July 2017. This exploration intended to study performance of seedling parameters of five grain sorghum cultivars viz. Dorado, hybrid 306, Giza 15, Mecca hybrid and H-305 to soaking in humic acid under salinity concentrations of 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 dS/m<sup>-1</sup>beside the control, and humic acid soaking. The results showed that seed soaking in humic acid recorded the tallest shoot and root, weight of fresh shoot and root, weight of dry shoot and the lowest percentages of relative dry weight and highest salinity tolerance index. Seed soaking in humic acid exceeded shoot and root length (cm), weight of fresh shoot and root, weight of dry shoot and tolerance index by 14.3, 92.6, 8.7, 4.5, 4.7 and 40.8%, respectively compared without soakingin humic acid. The tallest shoot and the fresh shoot weight were produced from germinating Giza 15 cultivar. In addition, the tallest roots and highest values of stress tolerance index were recorded from sown Mecca hybrid and Giza 15 cultivars without significant differences. It could be stated that sown Mecca hybrid surpassed H-305 cultivar in root length by 36.3 %. Moreover, Giza 15 cultivar surpassed H-305 in shoot length, shoot fresh weight and stress tolerance index by 30.6, 10.1 and 29.1 %, respectively. The results clearly revealed that accumulative salinity concentrations from 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 dSm<sup>-1</sup> significantly produced the shortest shoot, root, weight of fresh shoot, root, weight of dry shoot, and root, highest percentages of seedling height reduction, and stress tolerance index, except the percentage of relative dry weight increased with salinity levels increased. The shortest shoot and root (cm), were recorded from the highest salinity concentrations of 15 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. The gradually increases in salinity till of 15 dSm<sup>-1</sup> significantly diminished the length of shoot and root, the fresh weight of shoot and root, the dry weight of shoot and root, seedling height reduction

percentages, and stress tolerance index by 51.7, 17.7,4.7, 59.5, 38.7 and 57.4, respectively compared the control treatment. Accordingly, sown Mecca hybrid or Giza 15 cultivar with soaking in humic acid under salinity of 6 dSm<sup>-1</sup>maximized seedling parameters and could recommended to cultivated in saline new reclaimed soils. **Keywords—Sorghum varieties, humic acid, salinity levels.** 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Salinity is harmfully influenced crop yield of various crops extents of Egypt and the world. Irrigation water sources in Egypt was limit. There is a shortage in cereal production with annually increases of population. To overwhelmed the lack of cereal productivity, it could be achieved by growing grain sorghum cultivars tolerant of salinity to get economical yields from saline reclaimed soils, particularly in early seedling stages is very important. Soil salinization is a great determinate factor of crop productivity, especially in dried area(Ahmed, 2009). Many physiological variations induced in plants affecting their growth, development and seedling parameters in arid and semi-arid districts(Saroj and Soumana, 2014).

Humic acid activate many processes accompanied emergence of primary root and shoot emergence. Soaking in potassium humate at concentration of 100 m.L<sup>-1</sup> for 24 hours the length of root and shoot and the weight of dry shoot were increased with (**Ali and Elbordiny**, **2009**).The root and shoot length increased in the seedlings which preserved with potassium humate over control (**Patil**, **2010**). The seeds primed at level of 750 mg 1 for 12 h recorded the greatest seedling growth parameters. Increasing concentration up to 500 and 750 mgL-1had highest influenced of seedling growth parameters (**Asgharipour and Rafiei et al., 2011**). Germination percentage and tallest root produced from seed of wheat priming with humic acid compared with those primed in water(**Ali et al., 2014**). Seedling growth inhibiting by salt and varying due top retreatment of humic acid (Cavuşoğlu and Ergin, 2015).

The recommended cultivar for new reclaimed saline soils were Soavecultivar (Almodares et al., 2007). Root length affected by salt stress more than shoot length. 235461 and 69239 genotypes recorded the more salt tolerant during seedling growth (Geressu and Gezahagne, 2008). The most salt tolerance genotypes recorded from 235461, 69239, 223550, 69029 and 23403 genotypes during seedling stage. Nevertheless, sensitive to salt genotypes recorded from 22885, 233247, 237264, 237265 and 237267 (Asfaw, 2011).Sorghum genotypes differed from response to salinity, the medium tolerant recorded by Hegariand JS-263 medium cultivars, while Noor cultivar produced the medium sensitive and the sensitive produced from FJ-115 and PSV-4 cultivars (Kausar et al., 2012). The STI, GMP and MP genotypes were better to cultivate under saline and non-saline conditions. In contrast, cluster of the highest tolerance index and stress sensitivity index is sensitive to saline or non-saline conditions (Hefny et al., 2013). The highest seedling growth characters recorded from Meko, Gambella-1107, ICSV-111 and Melkam genotypes and were more salt tolerant cultivars. However, germinating ESH-2 and Gobye genotypes were salt sensitive throughout growth stage. (Tigabo et al., 2013). The most salt tolerant cultivars produced from germinated Shallu, Desert Maize, and 1790E genotypes, while the least salt tolerance genotypes produced from Schrock and RTx430 cultivars(Sun et al., 2014).

Wad Ahmed cultivar recorded the more salt tolerant, but Arfagadamak and Butana cultivars were the more salt sensitive (El Naim et al., 2012). The seedling characters decreased as the salinity concentrations increased in all studied cultivars. Salinity stress reduced root and shoot length and weight of dry seedling of all genotypes(Chauhan et al., 2012). The shortest shoot androot, the lowest weight of dry root and chlorophyll contents were produced from increasing of salinity levels of all studied cultivars(Movafegh et al., 2012). The control and salinity level of 5 dS.m<sup>-1</sup> produced the tallest root and shoot. As salinity increased, the shoots and root length decreased. The highest shoot length recorded by KFS2 cultivar, so this genotype was salt tolerant cultivar (Tabatabaei and Anagholi, 2012). Seedling growth of sorghum decreased due to salinity. Seedling dry weight decreased due to increasing in salinity concentration (Behzadnejad and Tohidinejad, 2014). Radical and shoot length and weight decreased with increasing salinity concentration(Dadar et al., 2014). The plumule and

radical fresh and dry weight were decreased as the NaCl concentrations increased (**Sam et al., 2014**). Plumule and radical length reduced as NaCl level was amplified. The sensitive cultivar to salinity produced from Barbarei cultivars compared to Tabat and Wad-Ahmed cultivars, Tabat cultivar recorded the more-salt tolerant cultivars(**Siddig and Idris, 2015**).Therefore, the goals of this study aimed to study the behavior of seedling parameters of some grain sorghum cultivars soaking in humic acidunder salinity stresses.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Treatments and Experimental Design:

A laboratory experiment carried out in the Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University from June 2017 to July 2017 in Agronomy Department, Seed Science Laboratory. The aimed of the investigation conducted to screening forfive (Sorghum bicolor L.(Moench) cultivars i.e. Dorado, hybrid 306, Giza 15, Mecca hybrid and H-305 under salinity stress, sodium chloride (Na Cl) at the levels of 0 (as control), 3, 6,9, 12 and 15 dS/m<sup>-1</sup> soaked or nonsoaked in humic acid. RCBD design in factorial experiment in four replications was used. The first factor include two treatments with and without soaking in humic acid. The second one includes the five-grain sorghum cultivars i.e. Dorado, hybrid 306, Giza 15, Mecca hybrid and H-305.Six different concentrations of NaCl include 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 dS/m<sup>-1</sup>were allocated as third factor. The experiment included240 Petri dishes, then, the Petri dishes take placed in a growth chamber for 14 days at 28  $\pm$  C ° for germination according to **ISTA**, 2016 roles.

#### 2.2. Studied characters:

Eight seedling trails were studied as follows:

1-The length of shoot (cm): It measured as average of five shoot length in centimeters (cm).

2-The length of root (cm): Itwas calculated as means of five root length fin centimeters (cm).

3-Weight of fresh shoot (g): Means of five shoots were weighted in gram (g).

4-Weight of fresh root (g): Means of five seedlings roots wasweighted in gram (g).

5-Weight of dry shoot (g): Mean of five seedlings shoots was weighted after oven drying at 75 °c for 48 h.

6-Weight of dry root (g):Mean of five seedlings roots was weighted after oven drying at 75  $^{\circ}$ c for 48 h.

#### **Physiological Parameters:**

7-The percentage of seedling height reduction: It calculated as described by the following equation (Islam and Karim, 2010).



To calculate the germination stress tolerance index (GSI), promptness index (PI) estimated using following (Ashraf et al.,2006)formula:

**Promptness index** (PI) = nd1(1.00) + nd2(0.75) + nd3(0.50) + nd4(0.25)

Where nd1, nd2, nd3 and nd4 = Number of seeds germinated on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th day, respectively. The germination stress tolerance index (GSI) calculated in terms of percentage as follows:

9-Germinations stress tolerance index (GSTI) = It calculated according the following formula:



#### 2.3. Statistical Analysis:

Rendering to the system of variance (ANOVA)was used for the factorial in RCBD as published by Gomez and **Gomez (1991)**of the subjected data was statistically analyzed. LSDmethod was used as defined by **Snedecor and Cochran (1980)**.The data as (**Russel, 1986**).method was statistically analyzed using RCBD design by MSTAT-C computer package.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### 3.1. Humic acid soaking effect:

The results presented in Tables (1 and 2) clearly showed that soaking in humic acid significantly affected shoot and root length (cm), weight of fresh shoot and root,weight of dry shoot, relative dry weight and germination stress tolerance index, however insignificantly affected root dry weight and percentage of seedling height reduction. The results showed that seed soaking in humic acid recorded the tallest shoot (5.75 cm) and radical (8.67 cm), the highest weight of fresh shoot (0.39 g) and root (0.36 g), the dry shoot weight (0.34 g)and lowest percentages of relative dry weight (79.95) and the highest salinity tolerance index values (80.59). It could noticed that soaking seed in humic acid exceeded the length of shoot and root (cm), weight of fresh shoot and root, weight of dry shoot and tolerance index by 14.3, 92.6, 8.7, 4.5, 4.7 and 408 %, respectively compared without humic acid soaking. Root and shoot length increased in the seedlings treated with potassium humate over control (Patil, 2010). Seeds primed at level of 750 mg 1 for 12 h recorded the greatest seedling growth parameters. Increasing concentration up to 500 and 750 mgL-1 had highest influenced of seedling growth parameters (Asgharipour and Rafiei et al., 2011). Germination percentage and tallest root produced from seed of wheat priming with humic acid compared with those primed in water(Ali et al., 2014). Seedling growth inhibiting by salt and varying due to pretreatment of humic acid (Cavusoğlu and Ergin, 2015).

#### International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB) http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/2.6.56

Table.1: Means of shoot length, root length, weight of fresh shoot and dry as affected by humic acid soaking, sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations

| Treatment            | Shoot length | Root length | Weight fresh Shoot | Weight fresh root |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| A.Humic acid         |              |             |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Without              | 4.93         | 7.64        | 0.35               | 0.33              |  |  |  |  |
| Soaking              | 5.75         | 8.67        | 0.39               | 0.36              |  |  |  |  |
| F-test               | *            | *           | *                  | *                 |  |  |  |  |
| B.Sorghum cultivars  |              |             |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Dora                 | 4.97         | 6.61        | 0.37               | 0.35              |  |  |  |  |
| H.306                | 5.24         | 7.95        | 0.38               | 0.36              |  |  |  |  |
| Giza 15              | 6.37         | 7.81        | 0.39               | 0.32              |  |  |  |  |
| Mecca hybrid         | 5.72         | 10.47       | 0.36               | 0.32              |  |  |  |  |
| H-305                | 4.42         | 6.77        | 0.35               | 0.33              |  |  |  |  |
| F-test               | *            | *           | *                  | NS                |  |  |  |  |
| L.S.D at 5%          | 0.42         | 0.71        | 0.02               | -                 |  |  |  |  |
| C. Salinity Level    |              |             |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 0 dsm <sup>-1</sup>  | 7.42         | 8.72        | 0.38               | 0.36              |  |  |  |  |
| 3 dsm <sup>-1</sup>  | 7.03         | 8.67        | 0.37               | 0.34              |  |  |  |  |
| 6 dsm <sup>-1</sup>  | 5.48         | 8.01        | 0.36               | 0.34              |  |  |  |  |
| 9 dsm <sup>-1</sup>  | 4.41         | 7.50        | 0.37               | 0.33              |  |  |  |  |
| 12 dsm <sup>-1</sup> | 4.33         | 7.47        | 0.36               | 0.33              |  |  |  |  |
| 15 dsm <sup>-1</sup> | 3.58         | 7.18        | 0.36               | 0.32              |  |  |  |  |
| F-test               | *            | *           | *                  | *                 |  |  |  |  |
| L.S.D at 5%          | 0.46         | 0.78        | 0.02               | 0.02              |  |  |  |  |

### 3.2. Cultivars performance:

The outcomesof accessible results in Tables (1 and 2) clearly revealed that studied sorghum cultivars significantly influenced the length of shoot and root (cm), the weight of fresh shoot, seedling height reduction and relative dry weight percentages as well as stress tolerance index, however insignificantly affected root fresh and dry weight and shoot dry weight. The results clearly indicated that the tallest shoot (6.37 cm) and shoot fresh weight (0.39 g) were produced from sown Giza 15 cultivar.In addition, thetallest roots (10.47, 7.81 cm) and highest values of stress tolerance index (69.47, 70.83) were recorded from sownMecca hybrid and Giza 15 cultivars differences. The without significant maximum percentages of seedling height reduction(44.18 %) were foundfrom germinating H-306 cultivar and highest relative dry weight from sown Dora cultivar. The shortest roots (4.42 cm) and shoot (6.66 cm) the lowest values of shoot fresh weight(0.35 g), relative dry weight (79.44 %) and stress tolerance index (50.17) were obtained from sown H-305 genotypeIt could be stated that sown Mecca hybrid surpassed H-305 cultivar in root length by 36.3 %. Moreover, Giza 15 cultivar surpassed H-305 in shootlength, shoot fresh weight and stress tolerance index by 30.6, 10.1 and 29.1 %, respectively. The recommended cultivar for new reclaimed saline soils were Soave cultivar (Almodares et al., 2007). Root length affected by

salt stress more than shoot length. 235461 and 69239 genotypes recorded the more salt tolerant during seedling growth (Geressu and Gezahagne, 2008). NM-92 cultivar was more salinitytolerant (Ahmed, 2009). The more-salt tolerance recorded from 235461, 69239, 223550, 69029 and 23403 genotypes during seedling stage. Nevertheless, sensitive to salt genotypes recorded from 22885, 233247, 237264, 237265 and 237267 (Asfaw, 2011). Wad Ahmed cultivar was recorded the more salt tolerant, but Arfagadamak and Butana cultivars were the more salt sensitive (El Naim et al., 2012). Shoot and root growth, weight of fresh and dry shoot and root were clearly demonstrated varietal differences (Khan et al., 2014).

#### 3.3. Salinity level effects:

The outcomes of accessible results in Tables (1 and 2) clearly showed that studied sorghum cultivars significantly influenced all studied trials. Increment in salinity levels from 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 dSm<sup>-1</sup> significantly abridged the length of shoot and root (cm), weight of fresh shoot and root (g), weight of dry shoot and root, percentages of seedling height reduction and stress tolerance index, except, the relative dry weight increased with increasing salinity levels. The highest values of shoot (7.42 cm) and root length (8.72 cm), shoot (0.38 g) and root (0.36 g) fresh weight (g), shoot (0.34 g) and root (0.32 g) dry weight (mg), and stress tolerance index(100.0) were recorded from without salinity. The

lowest values of shoot (3.58 cm) and root length (7.18 cm), shoot (0.36 g) and root (0.32) fresh weight (g), shoot (0.31 g) and root (0.29 g) dry weight (mg), seedling height reduction, ( and stress tolerance index were produced from the highest salinity concentrations of 15 dSm<sup>-1</sup>.The results revealed that accumulative salinity up to 15 dSm<sup>-1</sup> significantly abridged the length of shoot and root (cm), the weight of fresh shoot and root (g), weight of dry shoot and root, the percentages of seedling height reduction besides stress tolerance index by 51.7, 17.7, 4.7, 59.5, 38.7 and 57.4, respectively compared the control treatment. The decrease in the dry weight which related with salt adaptation and lessening in photosynthetic rates per unit leaf area (Netado et al; 2004). Salt stress known to perturb a multitude of physiological processes (Noreen and Ashraf, 2008). The seedling characters decreased as

the salinity concentrations increased in all studied cultivars. Salinity stress reduced root and shoot length and weight of dry seedling of all genotypes (Chauhan et al., 2012). The shortest shoot and root, the lowest weight of dry root and chlorophyll contents were produced from increasing of salinity levels of all studied cultivars (Movafegh et al., 2012). The STI, GMP and MP genotypes were suitable for cultivation under salinity and without salinity. In contrast, cluster of the highest tolerance index and stress sensitivity index is sensitive to under salinity and without salinity (Hefny et al., 2013). Salt stress harmfully disturbs plants and crops at all stages (Hussain et al., 2013). Similar conclusions were described by Almodares et al. (2014), Behzadnejad and Tohidinejad (2014), Dadar et al. (2014) and Sam et al. (2014).

Table.2: Averages of root fresh weight and root dry weight as affected by humic acid soaking, sorghum cultivars and salinity

| Treatments            | Weight of dry | Weight of dry | Seedling height | Relative dry | Stress tolerance |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|--|
|                       | shoot         | root          | reduction       | weight       | index            |  |  |  |
| A. Humic acid         |               |               |                 |              |                  |  |  |  |
| Without               | 0.32          | 0.32          | 39.49           | 84.41        | 47.67            |  |  |  |
| Soaking               | 0.34          | 0.33          | 41.45           | 79.95        | 80.59            |  |  |  |
| F-test                | *             | NS            | N.S.            | *            | *                |  |  |  |
| B. Sorghum cultivars: |               |               |                 |              |                  |  |  |  |
| Dora                  | 0.34          | 0.33          | 42.81           | 86.81        | 65.12            |  |  |  |
| H.306                 | 0.34          | 0.33          | 44.18           | 80.03        | 65.06            |  |  |  |
| Giza 15               | 0.34          | 0.33          | 36.76           | 82.11        | 70.83            |  |  |  |
| Mecca hybrid          | 0.31          | 0.32          | 36.42           | 82.51        | 69.47            |  |  |  |
| H-305                 | 0.32          | 0.31          | 42.12           | 79.44        | 50.17            |  |  |  |
| F-test                | NS            | NS            | *               | *            | *                |  |  |  |
| L.S.D at 0.05         | -             | -             | 5.34            | 2.30         | 7.50             |  |  |  |
| C. Salinity Level     |               |               |                 |              |                  |  |  |  |
| 0 dsm <sup>-1</sup>   | 0.34          | 0.32          | 100.00          | 100.00       | 100.00           |  |  |  |
| 3 dsm <sup>-1</sup>   | 0.33          | 0.32          | 13.58           | 92.16        | 71.51            |  |  |  |
| 6 dsm <sup>-1</sup>   | 0.33          | 0.32          | 22.51           | 87.02        | 66.53            |  |  |  |
| 9 dsm <sup>-1</sup>   | 0.32          | 0.31          | 31.14           | 79.85        | 53.58            |  |  |  |
| 12 dsm <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.32          | 0.30          | 35.13           | 72.79        | 50.56            |  |  |  |
| 15 dsm <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.31          | 0.29          | 40.49           | 61.28        | 42.60            |  |  |  |
| F-test                | *             | *             | *               | *            | *                |  |  |  |
| L.S.D 0.05            | 0.02          | 0.02          | 5.86            | 2.52         | 8.21             |  |  |  |

#### **3.4. Interaction effects:**

## **3.4.1.** Interaction between humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars effects:

The results graphically demonstrated in Fig. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7showed the interaction effect between humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars on shoot and root length, shoot and root fresh weight and stress tolerance index, respectively. This interaction significantly influenced shoot and root length, shoot and root fresh

weight and stress tolerance index. The results showed that the tallest shoot (7.61 cm) and root (11.4 cm), shoot (0.49 g) and root fresh weight(0.37 g) and highest values of stress tolerance index (90.05) were recorded from sown Giza 15 cultivar with soaking in humic acid. However, the lowest values of shoot and root length and stress tolerance index were obtained from sown H-305 cultivar without soaking in humic acid. In addition, the interaction between humic acid soaking and studied sorghum

#### International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB) http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/2.6.56

cultivars significantly seedling height reduction affected as graphically demonstrated in Fig.5, the highest seedling height reduction (45.22 %) was obtained from sown H-306 cultivar with soaking in humic acid and the lowest values (36.14 %) produced fromsownH-305 cultivar with soaking in humic acid. Meanwhile, the interaction between humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars significantly influenced relative dry weight as graphically demonstrated in Fig.6, the results rev that the highest relative dry weight (89.72 %) was recorded from sown Dora cultivar without soaking in humic acid. While, the lowest percentages of the relative dry weight was recorded from sown H-305 cultivar with soaking in humic acid. Cultivars resistant to levels of salinity due to the interaction between salt and its levels as well as the ways of seed soaking (Wei and Qing-Xiang, 2011).



Fig. 1. Averages of shoot length as influenced by humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars.



Fig. 2. Averages of root length as affected by humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars.



Fig. 3. Averages of shoot fresh weight as influenced by humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars.



Fig. 4. Averages of root fresh weight as affected by humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars.



Fig. 5. Averages of seedling height reduction as influenced by humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars.



Fig. 6. Averages of relative dry weight as affected by humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars.



Fig. 7. Averages of germination stress tolerance index as influenced by humic acid soaking and studied sorghum cultivars.

### **3.4.2.** Interaction between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels effects:

The outcomes graphically demonstrated in Fig.8revealed that the interaction between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels significantly affected root length. The resultsshowed that the tallest root (11.02 cm) was produced from sown Mecca hybrid at without fresh salinity.Theweightof shoot as graphically demonstrated in Fig.9 as influenced by the interaction between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels. The highest freshshootweight (0.41 g) was produced from sown Giza 15 cultivar at without salinity. The results graphically demonstrated in Fig.10 showed the effect of the interaction between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels on weightof freshroot. The maximum freshrootweight (0.37 g) was recorded from sown H-306cultivar at the control treatment. The results graphically established in Fig.11 and 12, showed the effect of the interaction between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels onweightof dryshoot and root,

respectively. The results showed that the highest weightof dryshoot (0.36 g) and weightof dryroot(0.36 g)obtained from sown Dora cultivar and without salinity, respectively. The results graphically illustrated in Fig.13 indicated thatthe interaction effect between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels on he percentage of seedling height reduction. The results showed that the less percentages of seedling height reduction (8.41 %) was produced from sown H-306 cultivar at salinity level of 3 dSm<sup>-1</sup>.The results graphically confirmed in Fig.14 revealed theinteractioneffect between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels on the percentages of relative dry weight. The results showed that the great percentages of relative dry weight (95.41 %) was produced from sown Dora cultivar at salinity level of 3 dSm<sup>-1</sup>.The results graphically illustrated in Fig.15 showed the interaction effect between studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels onstress tolerance index. The highest stress tolerance index (100 80.13 and 79.5 %) was produced from sown Mecca hybrid at without salinity and at

#### International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB) http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/2.6.56

salinity level of 3 dSm<sup>-1</sup> without significant difference as well as between Giza 15 cultivar at salinity level of 6 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.The more-salt tolerance recorded from 235461, 69239, 223550, 69029 and 23403 genotypes during seedling stage. However, sensitive to salt genotypes recorded from 22885, 233247, 237264, 237265 and 237267 (Asfaw, 2011). Sorghum genotypes differed from response to salinity, the medium tolerant recorded by Hegariand JS-263 medium cultivars, while Noor cultivar produced the medium sensitive and the FJ-115 PSV-4 sensitive produced from and cultivars(Kausar et al., 2012). The highest seedling

growth characters recorded from Meko, Gambella-1107, ICSV-111 and Melkam genotypes and were more salt tolerant cultivars. However, germinating ESH-2 and Gobye genotypes were salt sensitive throughout growth stage(**Tigabo et al., 2013**). Inter cultivars genetic variation and concentration x cultivars interaction showed significant differences for all the parameters studied (**Khan et al., 2014**). The shoot length, shoot fresh and dry weight and radical decreased as the NaCl concentrations increased (**Sam et al., 2014**). Similarly, many investigators such as **Dadar et al. (2014), and Siddig and Idris (2015)** reported results.



Fig. 8. Averages of root length as influenced by studied sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations.



Fig. 9. Averages of shoot fresh weight as influenced by studied sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations.



Fig. 10. Means of root fresh weight as influenced by studied sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations.



Fig. 11. Averages of shoot dry weight as influenced by studied sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations.



Fig. 12. Averages of root dry weight as influenced by studied sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations.



Fig. 13. Averages of seedling height reduction as influenced by studied sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations.



Fig. 14. Averages of relative dry weight as influenced by studied sorghum cultivars and salinity concentrations.

## **3.4.3.** Interaction between humic acid soaking and salinity levels effects:

The results revealed that the interaction between humic acid soaking and salinity levels insignificantly influenced the length of shoot and root, weight of fresh shoot and root, the percentages of seedling height reduction and relative dry weight besides stress tolerance index.

## **3.4.4.** Interaction between humic acid soaking x cultivars x salinity levels effects:

The results revealed that the interaction among humic acid soaking studied sorghum cultivars and salinity levels insignificantly influenced he length of shoot and root, weight offresh shoot and root, the percentages of seedling height reduction and relative dry weight besides stress tolerance index.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, sown Mecca hybrid or Giza 15 cultivar with soaking in humic acid under salinity of 6 dSm<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>maximized seedling parameters and could recommended to cultivated in saline new reclaimed soils.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Ahmed, S. 2009. Effect of soil salinity on the yield and yield components of Mungbean. Pakistan J. Bot., 4(1):263-268.http://www.pakbs.org/pjbot/PDFs/41(1)/PJB41(1)

263.pdf

- [2] Almodares, A., M.R. Hadi and B. Dosti 2007. Effect of Salt Stress on Germination Percentage and Seedling Growth in Sweet Sorghum Cultivars. J. of Biological Sciences, 7(8): 1492-1495. http://scialert.net/abstract/?doi=jbs.2007.1492.1495
- [3] Ali, H., Y. Akbar, Dr. Abdul Razaq and D. Muhammad 2014. Effect of humic acid on root elongation and percent seed germination of wheat seeds. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences, 7(4): 196-201.http://ijagcs.com/wpcontent/uploads/2014/04/196-201.pdf
- [4] Asgharipour, M. R. and M. Rafiei 2011. The Effect of different Concentrations of humic Acid on seed Germination Behavior and Vigor of barley. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5(12): 610-613. http://ajbasweb.com/old/ajbas/2011/December-2011/610-613.pdf
- [5] Asfaw, K.G. 2011. Effects of Salinity on Seedling Biomass Production and Relative Water Content of Twenty Sorghum (Sorghum biolor L. Moench) Accessions. Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 242-249. 3(3): https://www.medwelljournals.com/abstract/?doi=rjagr. 2010.24.30
- [6] Behzadnejad, J. and E. Tohidinejad 2014. Ameliorative Effects of Exogenous SA on Germination of Sorghum under Salinity Stress. Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture, 9(4): 1519-1524. www.aensiweb.com/jasa/index.html
- [7] Çavuşoğlu, K. and H.G. Ergin 2015. Effects of humic acid pretreatment on some physiological and anatomical parameters of barley (Hordeumvulgare 1.) Exposed to salt stress. Bangladesh J. Bot. 44(4): 591-598.http://www.bdbotsociety.org/journal/journal issu e/2015%20December/14.pdf
- [8] Chauhan, R. R., C. Reema, S. Alka and P.K. Singh 2012. Salt Tolerance of Sorghum bicolor Cultivars during Germination and Seedling Growth. Research

1(3):2-10. Journal of Recent Sciences, http://www.isca.in/rjrs/archive/v1/i3/1.ISCA-RJRS-2012-033 Done.pdf

- [9] Dadar, A., A. Asgharzade, and M. Nazari 2014. Investigation effects of different salinity levels on sorghum Bicolor seed germination characters. Indian J. Sci. Res. 7 (1): 1031-1034.https://www.ijsr.in/upload/1819321125Microsof t%20Word%20-%20Dadar%20et%20al.pdf
- [10] El-Naim, A.M., K. E. Mohammed, E. A. Ibrahim and N. N. Suleiman 2012. Impact of Salinity on Seed Germination and Early Seedling Growth of Three Sorghum (Sorghum biolor L. Moench) Cultivars. Science and Technology, 2(2): 16-20. http://article.sapub.org/10.5923.j.scit.20120202.03.ht ml
- [11] Geressu, K. and M. Gezahagne, 2008. Response of some lowland growing sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) accessions to salt stress during germination and seedling growth. African Journal Agriculture Research. 3(1): 044-048. http://www.academicjournals.org/article/article13808 77252 Geressu%20and%20Gezaghegne.pdf
- [12] Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez 1991. Statistical Procedures in Agricultural Research, John Wiley and 2nd York Sons, New edition, pp. 680.http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf\_docs/PNAAR208.pdf
- [13] Hefny, M.M., E. M. R. Metwali and A. I. Mohamed 2013. Assessment of genetic diversity of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) genotypes under saline irrigation water based on some selection indices. Australian Journal of Crop Science, 7(12): 1935-1945. http://www.cropj.com/henfy 7 12 2013 1935 1945. d
- р [14] http://agris.fao.org/agrissearch/search.do?recordID=PK2013000980
- [15] Hussain, S. H, A. Khaliq, A. Matloop, W.M. Ashfaq and I. Afzal 2013. Germination and growth response of three wheat cultivars to NaCl salinity.Soil & Environment, 32(1): 36-43.http://agris.fao.org/agrissearch/search.do?recordID=PK2013000980
- [16] Islam, M.M. and M.A. Karim 2010. Evaluation of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) genotypes at germination and early seedling stage for their tolerance to salinity. The Agriculturists, 8 57-(2): 65.http://www.banglajol.info/index.php/AGRIC/articl e/view/7578
- [17] ISTA Rules. (2013). Germination Sec. Chapter 5: pp. 5 - 44.
- [18] Kandil A.A., A.E. Sharief, W.A.E. Abido and M.M. Ibrahim 2012. Effect of Salinity on Seed Germination and Seedling Characters of Some Forage Sorghum Cultivars. International Journal of Agriculture

f

Sciences, 4(7): 306-311.<u>http://oaji.net/articles/2014/30-1394266790.pdf</u>

- [19] Kausar, A., M. Y. Ashraf, I. Ali, M. Niaz and Q. Abbass 2012. Evaluation of sorghum varieties/lines for salt tolerance using Physiological indices as screening tool. Pak. J. Bot., 44(1): 47-52.<u>https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig\_q=RN</u> :43028062
- [20] Khan, A., M. Ibrar and I. Ahmad 2014. A preliminary approach to halo sensitivity of Sorghum cultivars. African Journal of Plant Science, 8(1): 76-83. <u>http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJPS/article</u> <u>-full-text-pdf/761A51342821</u>
- [21] Movafegh, S., R. R. Jadid and S. Kiabi 2012. Effect of salinity stress on chlorophyll content, proline, water soluble carbohydrate, germination, growth and dry weight of three seedling barley (Hordeumvulgare L.) cultivars. Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry, 8 (4):157-168. http://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/effect-of-salinitystress-on-chlorophyll-content-proline-water-solublecarbohydrate-germination-growth-and-dry-weight-ofthree-seedling
- [22] Nazi, F., H. Reza and R. Rahman 2014. Effect of Humic Fertilizer on Germination of Wheat Seeds under Drought Stress. Advances in Bioresearch 5(4): 98-102. <u>http://soeagra.com/abr/abrdec2014/18.pdf</u>
- [23] Netondo, G.W., J.C.Onyango and E. Beck 2004.
  Sorghum and salinity:II.Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence of sorghum under salt stress. Crop Sci. 44: 806-811.<u>https://dl.sciencesocieties.org/publications/cs/abst</u>

racts/44/3/806?access=0&view=pdf

- [24] Noreen, S. and M. Ashraf 2008. Alleviation of adverse effects of salt stress on sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) by exogenous application of salicylic acid: Growth and photo-synthesis. Pakistan Journal Botany, 40(4): 1657-1663. <a href="http://sa.indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/files/Pakistan">http://sa.indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/files/Pakistan</a> %20Journal.pdf
- [25] Patil, R. 2010. Effect of Potassium Humate and Deproteinised Juice (DPJ) on Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of Wheat and Jowar. Annals of Biological Research, 1 (4): 148-151. <u>http://www.scirp.org/(S(lz5mqp453edsnp55rrgjct55))/</u> <u>reference/ReferencesPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=12387</u> <u>55</u>
- [26] Russell, D.F. 1986. MSTAT-C computer based data analysis software Crop and Soil Science Department, Michigan State University USA. https://msu.edu/~freed/disks.htm
- [27] Sam, A., A. Y. Edris and M.S.A. Abo 2014. Effect of Salinity on Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of

Pearl millet (Pennisetumglaucum L.) and Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.), Journal of Plant and Pest Science, 1 (1): 01-08. <u>http://journals.sfu.ca/jpps/index.php/jpps/article/viewF</u> <u>ile/4/10</u>

- [28] Saroj, M. and D. Soumana, 2014. Salt stress induced changes in growth of germinating seeds of Vigna mungo(L.) Hepper and Vigna aconitifolia (Jacq.) Marechal. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, 7. (4):44-48. http://www.recentscientific.com/sites/default/files/433 6.pdf
- [29] Siddig, A.A.M. and A.A.Y. Idris 2015. Response of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) Cultivars to Salinity Levels at Early Growth Stages. J. of Agricultural Science and Engineering, 1(1): 11-16. <u>http://www.publicscienceframework.org/journal/jase</u>
- [30] Snedecor GW and WG. Cochran 1980. Statistical Methods. 7<sup>Th</sup>Ed. Iowa State University Press, Iowa, USA, ISBN-10: 0-81381560-6, Pp: 507. <u>https://www.amazon.com/Statistical-Methods-</u> <u>Seventh-isbn-0813815606/dp/B0012S4NIE</u>
- [31] Sun, Y., G. Niu1, P. Osuna, L. Zhao, G. Ganjegunte, G. Peterson, J. R. Peralta-Videa, and J. L. Gardea-Torresdey 2014. Variability in Salt Tolerance of Sorghum bicolor L. Agricultural Science, 2(1): 9-21.<u>www.iosrjournals.orgwww.iosrjournals.org</u>
- [32] Tabatabaei, S. A., and A. Anagholi 2012. Effects of salinity on some characteristics of forage sorghum genotypes at germination stage. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences, 4(14): 979-983.<u>http://ijagcs.com/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2012/09/979-983.pdf</u>
- [33] Tigabo, E., M. Andargie and K. Tesfaye 2013. Genotypic Variation for Salinity Tolerance in Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) Genotypes at Early Growth Stages. Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry, 9 (2): 253-262. <u>http://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/genotypic-variationfor-salinity-tolerance-in-sorghum-sorghum-bicolor-lmoench-genotypes-at-early-growth-stages</u>
- [34] Wei G. and W. Qing-Xiang 2011. Effects of seed soaking with humic acid on wheat seedlings antioxidant system under salt-alkali stress. YingyongShengtaiXuebao, 22 (10):2539. <u>http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/articles/69910778/e</u> <u>ffects-seed-soaking-humic-acid-wheat-seedlingsantioxidant-system-under-saltalkali-stress</u>