# A Review of Environmental Effects of Surface Water Pollution

Igwe, P.U.\*; Chukwudi, C.C.; Ifenatuorah, F.C.; Fagbeja, I.F.; Okeke, C.A.

Department of Environmental Management, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, P.M.B. 02, Uli, Anambra State, Nigeria

Abstract—Water is life without pollution, but death when it is polluted. The objective of this study is to conduct a literature review of environmental effects of surface water pollution. The method used for this study is a review of academic journal articles, internet materials, textbooks, bulletins, conference papers, project reports and publicly available materials on the environmental effects of surface water pollution. All previous authors whose works were reviewed agreed that anthropogenic activities greatly contributed to surface water pollution and spatial variation of physicochemical parameters over time and location more than other sources in terms of both chemical and physical water pollutants that indicated elevated values of major chemical parameters (lead, cadmium, chromium, copper and some anions) beyond the permissible/threshold limits set by regulatory bodies. They also had a unity of opinion that the parameters have adverse effects on human plants, aquatic and physical environment. From the review and based on the results of the previous studies, this study concludes that most surface waters across the globe are polluted and as such must be treated before use both for domestic and industrial purposes to avoid the spread of epidemics that can lead to deaths of humans who are the most precious of all creatures. Recommendations of the study include: (1) regular review of environmental effects of surface water pollution by researchers to indicate the trend in pollutional loads of rivers and streams across the globe; (2) strict enforcement of regulations water quality standards and (3) regular monitoring of the environments of water bodies by regulators and the locals.

Keywords— Surface Water, Pollution, Water Quality, Physicochemical Parameter, Review, Sustainable Water Management.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Water occupies about 71% of the earth's surface and yet it is one of the scarcest commodities especially in the developing countries of the world (Karikari and Ansa, 2006). They also stated that water is one of the most demanded of all urban and rural amenities and it is

indispensable for man's activities. Oketola, Adekolurejo and Osibanjo (2010) noted that water is abundant on the planet Earth as a whole, but fresh potable water is not always available at the right time or the right place for human or ecosystem use and water is undoubtedly the most precious natural resource vital to life. Furthermore, they opined that water is distributed in nature as surface and ground water in different forms and sources which are oceans, seas, rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, wells, boreholes and springs. Rivers are among the oldest water bodies in the world (Higler, 2012). He also noted that in most urban-rural communities in the developing countries especially the Sub-Saharan Africa, surface waters (rivers, streams, and lakes) have been the most available sources of water used for domestic purposes. The water from these sources is contaminated with domestic, agricultural, and industrial wastes and is likely to cause water related diseases (Ojekunle, 2012; Ayeni, 2014).

Water is a resource that has many uses, including recreation, transportation, hydroelectric power and domestic, industrial and commercial uses (Kumar, 2007). He also asserted that water also supports all forms of life and affects our health, lifestyle, and economic well-being. Although more than three quarters of the Earth's surface is made up of water, only 2.8 percent of the Earth's water is available for human consumption (Iskandar, 2010). At present, approximately one-third of the world's people live in countries with moderate to high water stress and the worldwide freshwater consumption increases six fold between the years 1900 and 1995 more than twice the rate of population growth, thus, many parts of the world are facing water scarcity problem due to limitation of water resources coinciding with growing population (United Nations Environmental Programme, UNEP, 2002). Filkersilasie (2011) opined that the role of the river is not primarily to carry industrial wastes but their ability to do so is hugely exploited. He also reported that there has been significant impairment of rivers with pollutants, rendering the water unsuitable for beneficial purposes.

Rivers provide a variety of services for human populations, including water for drinking and irrigation, recreational opportunities, and habitat for economically important fisheries (Leroy, 2002). The growing problem of pollution of river ecosystem has necessitated the monitoring of water quality (Ravindra, 2003). Fresh water is a finite resource, essential for agriculture, industry and even human existence, without fresh water of adequate quantity and quality, sustainable development will not be possible (Kumar, 2007). Rivers play a major role in assimilation or carrying off of municipal and industrial wastewater and runoff from agricultural land, the former constitutes of constant polluting non-point sources whereas the later is a seasonal phenomenon (Muduli and Panda, 2010). With the rapid development in agriculture, mining, urbanization, and industrialization activities, the river water contamination with hazardous wastes and wastewater is becoming a common phenomenon (Ali, 2012).

Rapu (2003) reported that in South Africa, over 15% of rural dwellers depend on polluted river waters for their domestic needs. Khalil (2005) claimed that over 70% of people in Sudan get their water supply from surface waters, which in most cases are polluted by agricultural chemicals and industrial effluents. Shuaib (2007) was of the opinion that over 40% of Nigerians depend on either polluted surface waters or wells for their domestic activities. He also argued that the constant use of heavily polluted water for a long time usually results in health problems. Researchers in different parts of the world have reported health problems associated with prolong time use of polluted river water, which range from dysentery, diarrhea, abortion, premature birth, viral hepatitis and gastric and duodenal ulcers amongst others (Oguzie and Okhagbuzo, 2010; Purnamitta, 2011). This study is therefore focused on a review of environmental effects of surface water pollution.

## 1.1 Statement of the Problem

The need for good water quality has been of growing concern in Nigeria and worldwide as anthropogenic activities are fast degrading most water bodies, these activities which are agricultural practices, human domestic activities and dredging, all result in pollution of the natural habitats of aquatic organisms (Adeloye, 2004). Rivers serve as sinks to most wastes that result from these anthropogenic activities (Onyegeme and Ogunka, 2015). They further opined that as human population increases, more pressure is put on available water resources in meeting human water needs and for waste disposal.

Adeyemi, Adikwu, Akombu and Iyua (2009) stated that people residing close to rivers are predominantly farmers

and occasional dredgers (sand miners). They use poultry droppings as well as chemical fertilizers to enrich their farmlands; these constitute pollutants which drain into the river through run-offs. Furthermore, they were of the opinion that most Rivers in Nigeria had in recent times come under stress as a result of rapid urbanization. All the domestic and industrial wastes as well as sewage from all parts of Port Harcourt are washed into the river during runoff (Olorode, Bamigbola, and Ogba, 2015). They further statedthat the topography of the town slopes into the river and drainage channels are constructed emptying into the river. This situation may eventually lead to pollution of the river which might have dire consequences on the ecosystem. The physicochemical and biological parameters of rivers vary temporally and spatially depending on the nature and quantity of effluents they receive seasonally and at points along their courses (Howard, 2011). Rivers may show the same trend making the water hazardous for use at some points or times (Olorode et al, 2015).

[Vol-4, Issue-12, Dec- 2017]

ISSN: 2349-6495(P) | 2456-1908(O)

# 1.2 Objective of the Study

The objective of this research is to conduct a review of environmental effects of surface water pollution.

# II. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: SUSTAINABLE WATER MANAGEMENT (SWM)

This research is based on the concept of sustainable water management (SWM). SWM is a critical component of sustainable development, and accounts for similar issues as sustainability. Mays (2006) defined SWM as meeting current water demand for all water users without impairing future supply. More specifically, SWM should contribute to the objectives of society and maintain ecological, environmental, and hydrologic integrity (Loucks and Gladwell, 2002). A more holistic objective of water management is provided in Agenda 21 (United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, Rio de Janero, Brazil, 3-14 June, 1992) which ensures that adequate supplies of water of good quality are maintained for the entire population of the planet, while preserving the hydrological, biological and chemical functions of ecosystems, adapting human activities within the capacity limits of nature and to combat vectors of water-related diseases.

## III. METHOD

This research made use of a review of academic / journal articles, conference papers, internet, and textbooks. The researcher assembled twenty-five (25) materials for this research but was able to use fifteen (15) which addressed

<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.4.12.21</u>

ISSN: 2349-6495(P) | 2456-1908(O)

environmental effects of surface water pollution. This enabled the researcher to make a synthesis of various researchers' views on environmental effects of surface water pollution.

# IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

Enetimi, Angaye and Okogbue (2016) conducted field research on physio-chemical quality assessment of river Orashi in Eastern Niger Delta of Nigeria and asserted that river quality assessment is essential to the sustenance of aquatic biodiversity, the environment and public health. They also indicated that mild anthropogenic activities have caused changes in parameters assessed such as iron, PH, magnesium, calcium with increase in total dissolved solids. Furthermore, they were of the opinion that if mitigation measures are not put in place, anthropogenic effects could rise beyond tolerant or permissive limits, which could affect the sustenance of the river. Bellingham (2012), in his study on physicochemical parameters of natural waters opined that the concentration levels of Pb, Cd, Fe and Mn were in surplus because fertilizers and pesticides used for agricultural activities, manufacturing land-use along the watershed area and other anthropogenic activities were the major causes for the elevated concentrations of the metals in

Tajuddin, Masaom, Yustiawati, Suhaemi, Syawal, Takeshi, Shunitz and Masaaki (2012) conducted field research on comparative assessment of water quality in the major rivers of Dhaka and West Java, asserted that Bangladesh have been considerably contaminated by heavy metals, physiochemical and biological pollutants. In addition, they opined that biological pollution indicates anthropogenic sources caused by poor sewerage system whereas the heavy metals physiochemical pollution indicate sources. Ugwu and Wakawa (2012) conducted field analysis on seasonal physio-chemical parameters in River Usman and reported that there is adverse effect of the monsoon as well as diverse anthropogenic activities on the bacterial population of water bodies which has led to decrease in bacterial calculations in the heavy rain period owing to flushing effect. Kumar (2006) conducted field research on view of freshwater environment and revealed that high saturation levels of dissolved oxygen and low concentrations of phosphates nitrate, sodium and potassium in surface waters varies as a result of season of the year.

Onyegeme Okerenta and Ogunka Nnoka (2017)conducted field research on seasonal variations in physicochemical and bacteriological parameters of Ulasi River, Okija, Anambra State and stated that high value of biological oxygen demand (BOD) and Coliform count in dry season indicated deterioration of water quality which was due to the effluents

which showed thatfor drinking purposes, the water is not of an ample quality in the absence of any purification; but for other leisure activities like swimming and industrial use, the river water was still of an adequate quality. Agbabiaka and Oyeyiola (2012) carried out field analysis on microbial assessments of soil sediments of Foma River, Ita-Nmo, Ilorin, Nigeria and reported that turbidity and BOD of surface water bodies were as a result of mining of dolomite and soil wearing away. Onyegeme and Ogunka (2017)conducted field study on physicochemical properties of water quality of Imeh, Edegelem and Chokocho communities located along Otamiri-Oche River in Etche ethnic nationality of Rivers State, Nigeria and claimed that parameters such as the pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), dissolved oxygen (DO), BOD and chemical oxygen demand (COD), alkalinity, hardness, chloride, nitrate-nitrite were found to be abnormal due to large amount of oxygen demanding wastes entering into the river from domestic

[Vol-4, Issue-12, Dec- 2017]

Iyama. and Edori (2014) undertook analysis of the water quality of Imonite Creek in Ndoni, Rivers State, Nigeria and claimed that the quality of a given water body is governed by the physical, chemical and biological factors all of which interact with one another and greatly influence its productivity, bio monitoring in conjunction with physical and chemical observation of water quality is potentially useful in assessing water bodies. Rajiv, Hasna, Abdulsalam, Kamaraand Sankar, (2012) conducted field analysis of physicochemical and microbial different river waters in Western Tamil Nadu, India and claimed that in order to mitigate the impact human societies have on natural waters, it is becoming increasingly important to implement comprehensive monitoring regimes. He further highlighted that monitoring water resources will quantify water quality, identify impairments and help policy makers make land use decisions that will not only preserve natural areas, but improve the quality of life.

Meligaand Salifu (2014) carried out field research on assessment of physicochemical and biological parameters of Imaboro River, Oyo State, Ibadan, Nigeria and opined that the pH, DO, BOD, chlorides, phosphates and nitrates has changeable levels of pollution from unpolluted to exceptionally-polluted levels depending on the pragmatic seasons of the year which have a posturing danger to the fish health and biodiversity. Dimowo, Benjamin and Onozey (2013) conducted field research on assessment of some physicochemical parameters of River Ogun (Abeokuta, Ogun State, Southwestern Nigeria) in Comparison With National and International Standards and asserted that water surface such as faecal coliform bacteria,

in the satisfactory limit set by the World Health Organization (WHO) for drinking water, metals such as lead and iron and physical characteristics such as turbidity and oil and grease, had been surpassed at all the sites they studied leading to extremely contaminated/poor condition for drinking or domestic use.

Cosmas, Ahamefula, Ahiarakwem, Samuel and Onyekuru (2015) conducted research on comparative assessment of the physicochemical and microbial trends in Njaba River, Niger Delta Basin, Southeastern Nigeria and reported that industrial activity and its effluent have contaminated surface water with large amount of heavy metals (Mn,Cr, Cd, Ni, Zn and Fe) ,Ca, chlorides and total hardness were in high levels. Raja and Venkatesan (2010) carried out field

research on assessment of surface water pollution and its impact in and around Punnam area of Karur district, Tamilnadu, India and reported that there was variation in the parameters like total hardness, total alkalinity, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, and pH of surface water bodies. They founded that dissolved oxygen was maximum during wintry weather which was deducted as a factor of cool atmospheric temperature. According to them, however, during the summer season, conductivity, total hardness and total alkalinity were found to be at upper limits. Additionally, they reported that most of the parameters were high in summer which might be as a result of hot temperature, high loss and small water level and lowest in wintry weather due to improved water level.

Table.1: Summary of Characteristics of some of the Studies on Pollution of Surface Water

		Table.1: Summary of Characteristics of some of the Studies on Pollution of Surface Water				
S/	Auth	Topic of the	Method(s	Results	Recommendation(s)	Conclusion
	Rajiv,	Physicochem	Laborator	Turbidity and BOD	This study would help	Results obtained showed
1.	Hasn	ical and	y analysis	showed elevated values	to create and develop	slight variations between
	a,	Microbial	and	compared to limits.	awareness among the	water qualities of the rivers.
	Abdu	Analysis of	questionn	Maximum values of	people to maintain the	The comparative analysis
	lsala	Different	aire	magnesium and calcium	quality of the river	suggests the distinct nature of
	m,	River Waters		may be credited to the	waters. Water quality	different river water and it
	Kama	in Western		mining of dolomite and	monitoring and	depends on geographical
	ra	Tamil Nadu,		soil wearing away.	management should	location, time zone and
	and	India.			be in place in order to	geological foundation.
	Dimo	Assessment	Laborator	The result showed that	To prevent mass	Since most of the parameters
2.	wo,	of Some	y analysis	dissolved oxygen,	extinction of aquatic	measured were above the
	(2013	Physicochem	and	hydrogen ion	organisms due to	maximum permissible limits
	).	ical	questionn	concentration, total	anoxic conditions,	of the national and
		Parameters	aire	hardness and nitrate	proper regulations	international standards, it can
		of River		were above the	should be	be concluded that the water is
		Ogun		maximum permissible	implemented to reduce	unfit for domestic uses,
	Melig	Assessment	Laborator	The mean observations	People should be	Most physicochemical
3.	a,	of	y analysis	for the various water	sensitized on the	parameters of Imaboro river
	Salifu	Physicochem	and	quality parameters in the	danger of dumping	fall within permissible limits.
	(2014	ical and	questionn	sampled months of June	refuse inside the river,	However, the water showed
	)	Biological	aire		molecular techniques	evidence of pollution.
		Parameters			be adopted for	
		of Imaboro			accurate identification	
	Iyam	Analysis of	Physical	The mean observations	The local authorities	The relatively lower
4.	a. and	the Water	observati	for the various water	should make	concentrations of heavy
	Edori	Quality of	on,	quality parameters in the	provisions for task	metals and TDS indicated
	(2014	Imonite	laborator	sampled months of June,	forces to ensure strict	that the Imonite Creek was
	)	Creek in	y analysis	September, November	compliance by the	not polluted by the organic
		Ndoni,		and January respectively	natives on water	and inorganic contaminants
		Rivers State,		are BOD, mg/l (0.27,	quality standard.	entering the water body
		Nigeria		0.28, 0.33, 0.33), DO		around Ndoni in the Niger
				mg/l (3.8, 3.78, 2.72,		Delta of Nigeria.
				2.73), pH (7.43, 7.53,		

					13311. 2343 0433(1)   2430 1300(0)		
5.	Cosm	A	Laborator	Results of the analyses	The pH can be	The physical and bio-	
	as,	Comparative	y analysis	indicated that average	corrected (raised)	chemical properties of the	
	Aham	Assessment	and	pH, electrical	using sodium	Njaba River water samples	
	efula,	of the	questionn	conductivity and the	bicarbonate (soda ash)	within the period (2003 to	
	Ahiar	Physicochem	aire	Total Dissolved Solids	while the microbial	2008) under investigation	
	akwe	ical and		(TDS) of the Njaba	assay can be improved	indicated an increase (at a	
	m,	Microbial		River in 2003 were 6.3,	upon by boiling and	slow rate) of contaminant	
	Samu	Trends in		22 μS/cm and 13.5 mg/l,	subjection to treatment	loads. The trend indicated	
	el and	Njaba River,		respectively. Mean	using chlorine	some environmental	
	Onye	Niger Delta		values in 2008 for the		problems (low pH, poor	
_	Onye	Seasonal	Water	Results obtained for	A management plan to	This study demonstrates the	
6.	geme	Variations in	sampling	turbidity are $205 \pm 0.70$	restrict the dumping of	influence of rural land use	
	Okere	Physicochem	and	(downstream), 25.70 ±	wastes into Ulasi	and seasonal effect on water	
	nta	ical and	laborator	0.00 (upstream) for wet	River is needed.	quality in Ulasi River. The	
	and	Bacteriologi	у	season and 138 $\pm 0.60$	Educating the people	data clearly shows that the	
	Ogun	cal	analysis.	(downstream).	was also	downstream is more polluted	
	ka	Parameters			recommended and	than upstream.	
	Nnok	of Ulasi			strict enforcement of		
	a (2017	River, Okija,			laws.		
	(2017	Anambra					
	Agba	Microbial	Water	pH values were	The local authorities	Pollution of surface water	
7.	biaka	Assessments	sampling	generally in the optimal	should make	occurs when too much of an	
	and	of Soil	and	range of 6.14 - 7.97;	provisions for task	undesirable or harmful	
	Oyeyi	Sediments of	laborator	Dissolved solids values	forces to ensure strict	substance flows into a body	
	ola	Foma River,	у	expressed in mg/l were	compliance by the	of water, exceeding the	
	(2012	Ita-Nmo,	analysis.	generally high	natives on water	natural ability of that water	
	)	Ilorin,		throughout the months	quality standard.	body to remove the	
		Nigeria.		with a range of 120 –		undesirable material, dilute it	
				7800 mg/l and		to a harmless concentration,	
				Temperature values		or convert it to a harmless	
	Eneti	Physicochem	Laborator	Results of sampling	Sensitization of people	River quality assessment is	
8.	mi,	ical quality	y analysis	showed that temperature	residing around the	essential to the sustenance of	
	Anga	assessment	and field	of the river ranged from	•	biodiversity, the environment	
	ye	of river	observati	26.77 - 28.07 and 26.37 -	Intervention aimed at	and public health. Our results	
	and	Orashi in	on	27.13oC in dry and wet	cushioning	showed that the river quality	
	Okog	Eastern		seasons respectively.	anthropogenic	assessment of Orashi river	
	bue	Niger Delta		The pH of the sampling	activities around the	indicated mild anthropogenic	
	(2016	of Nigeria		stations in this study,	river.	activities in terms of	
	)			was lower in dry season		parameters assessed.	
				(6.21 - 6.52) and higher		However, if mitigation	
	Rajaa	Assessment		The pH values of all the	It is suggested to	The water quality parameter	
9.	ndVe	of surface	Laborator	sample shows in the	exercise all the	of the various areas around	
	nkate	water	y analysis	range of pH 7.3 to 8.7,	necessary precaution	Punnam clearly indicates that	
	san	Pollution	and	which indicates they	before the water is	the water samples are highly	
	(2010	and	review of	were within the desirable	used for drinking and	polluted. It is observed that	
	).	itsImpact in	related	limit except sample 6.	irrigation. Otherwise,	the water taken from	
		and around	literature	The mild alkalinity may	it may lead to much	PasupathipalayamKulathur,	
		Punnam		be due to the bi-	adverse health effect.	Chathiram are alarmingly get	
		Area		carbonates. The		polluted followed by	
		ofKarur		alkalinity of the samples		Kuttakadai. These are as	

[Vol-4, Issue-12, Dec- 2017]

	Tajud	Comparative	Water	The pH value was	The result of the study	It is concluded from the
1	din,	Assessment	sampling	ranging from 7.1-8.4 for	suggests there is	present study that the rivers in
0.	Masa	of Water	and	the sampling points in	urgent need for	West Java, Indonesia and
	om,	Quality in	laborator	both countries. It can be	systematic monitoring	Dhaka, Bangladesh have been
	Yusti	the Major	y analysis	seen that the ionic	along with	considerably contaminated by
	awati,	Rivers of		environment in rivers are	remediation to reduce	heavy metals, physiochemical
	Suhae	Dhaka and		identical and lies within	pollutant inputs and by	and biological pollutants. The
	mi,	West Java		the standard range	developing functional	biological pollution indicates
	Syaw			recommended by the	sewage treatment	anthropogenic sources caused
	al,			WHO. Conductivity is a	plant.	by poor sewerage system

Source: Researchers' design, 2017

# V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Water is a key component of the environment which its

quality must be maintained and free from pollution. From Table 1, all the topics reviewed are relevant to environmental effects of surface water pollution. The researchers (eg. Dimowo, 2013; Enetimi, Angaye and Okogbue, 2016; and Iyama. and Edori, 2014) made use of standard method including questionnaire, review of related literature, sampling and laboratory analysis for obtaining data and information from the field. Previous studies by authors showed that surface water pollution arises from anthropogenic activities by transporting sediments from different land uses into nearby surface water bodies. Various authors were of convergent view that surface water parameters such as PH, BOD, COD, TDS and turbidity varies with season of the year (i.e.) dry and rainy season. Iyama. and Edori (2014) and Dimowo (2013) had similar view that BOD and COD of surface water has reduce because of the quantum on organic and inorganic wastes deposited inside rivers. Authors such as Meliga and Salifu (2014) and Enetimi, Angaye and Okogbue, (2016) have unity of opinion that sensitizing people on the dangers of

because of the quantum on organic and inorganic wastes deposited inside rivers. Authors such as Meliga and Salifu (2014) and Enetimi, Angaye and Okogbue, (2016) have unity of opinion that sensitizing people on the dangers of dumping refuse inside the river should be adopted for to reduce the level of pollution and contamination in surface water bodies while others (Agbabiaka and Oyeyiola, 2012; and Onyegeme Okerenta and Ogunka Nnoka, 2017) were of the view that task forces should be employed to ensure strict compliance by the natives to maintain water quality standard.

Generally, it is of common knowledge that regions with high human population and high rate of urbanization tends to suffer more of surface water pollution because individuals and industries has a mindset that surface water bodies are dumpsite for disposing off their waste. This is because, in global context, many people see water body as industrial dustbin since they channel out their industrial effluents in them for easier waste discharge which is of great environmental cost.

#### VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

From the reviewed literatures and based on the results the following recommendations are made not only to enhance the water quality and the environment, but also to protect the health of the people who depend on these surface water bodies for their living:

- Management plan to restrict the dumping of wastes into surface water bodies is needed in order to reduce the impact on water quality and pollution related health problems. This can be achieved through effective waste management strategy and provision of reliable public water supply.
- Regular monitoring exercises should be carried out by enforcement agencies and the locals on the activities along the river bank in order to ensure those effluents standards and other sanitary conditions are complied with
- Regulators of environmental and public health standards should put in place functional measures to enforce the already established standards not just only by punishing offenders, but also by rewarding/acknowledging compliance.
- 4. Regular review of environmental effects of surface water pollution should be conducted by researchers to indicate the trend in pollutional loads of rivers, stream and lakes across the globe.

#### VII. CONCLUSION

This paper discussed the environmental effects and physicochemical characteristics of surface water pollution through a review of works of previous authors. Previous authors viewed water pollution as a threat to the survival of the environment and mankind. They argued that anthropogenic activities are the major factor of water

[Vol-4, Issue-12, Dec- 2017]

pollution. River quality assessment is essential to the sustenance of biodiversity, the environment and public health. Results of the quality assessment of rivers indicated mild anthropogenic activities in terms of parameters assessed. However, if mitigation measures are not put in place, anthropogenic effects could rise beyond tolerant or permissive limits, which could affect the biodiversity

# VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We appreciate the grace and empowerment of God Almighty who has been our source of strength from beginning to completion of this work. We also commend the effort of the relations, friends and well- wishers of the authors who contributed both financially and otherwise for making this review a success. Our gratitude extends to the chancellor and the entire stakeholders of Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli, Anambra State, Nigeria for providing a platform for the study of Environmental Management. To all the lecturers, head of department and dean of the Environmental Sciences, we appreciate their collective efforts in making sure that the goal of environmental management is achieved in the institution. We are highly indebted to the chief author, Mr. Igwe, P.U. for his tireless effort towards an extensive research on the materials used for the review. We cannot fail to commend and appreciate the works of various authors used for the review. Finally, we thank the entire students of Environmental Management especially her final year students for their support throughout the review.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Adakole, J.A., and Oladimeji, A.O. (2006). The effects of Pollution on Phytoplankton in a stretch of River Kubanni, Zaria, Nigeria. *Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup>annual Conference of Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON)*, Pp.151-158.
- [2] Adejuwon, J. O., and Adelakun, M. A. (2012). Physiochemical and Bacteriological Analysis of Surface Water in Ewekoro Local Government Area of Ogun State, Nigeria: Case Study of Lala, Yobo and Agodo Rivers. *International Journal of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering*, 4(3): 66-72.
- [3] Adeloye, L.A. (2004). Enumeration of Total Heterotrophic Bacteria and Some Physicochemical Characteristics of Surface Water used for Drinking Sources in Ogun River, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 3(1): 28 34.

- [4] Adeyemi, S.O., Adikwu, I. A., Akombu, P. M., and Iyua, J. T. (2009). Survey of Zooplanktons and Macro-Invertebrates of Gbedikere Lake, Bassa Kogi State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Lake and Rivers*, 2(1): 37 44.
- [5] Agbabiaka, T.O., and Oyeyiola, G.P (2012). Microbial and Physiochemical Assessment of Foma River, Ita-Nno, Ilorin, Nigeria: An important source of domestic water in Ilorin metropolis. *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Science*, 2(1): 209-218.
- [6] Aghoghovwia, O. A., and Ohimain, E. I. (2014). Physicochemical Characteristics of Lower Kolo Creek, Otuogidi, Bayelsa State. Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment, 10 (1):23 - 26.
- [7] Ali, J. (2012). An Assessment of the Water Quality of Ogunpa River Ibadan, Nigeria. M.Sc. Dissertation. University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, Pp. 32 41.
- [8] Arokoyu, S.B., and Ukpere, D.R.T. (2014). Access to Safe Water Supply and Sanitation in Lower Orashi River Basin, Rivers State, Nigeria. ARPN Journal of Science and Technology. 4 (11): 639-646.
- [9] Asaolu, S.S. (2012). Interrelationship of Heavy Metals Concentration in Water, Sediment as Fish samples from Ondo State Coastal area, Nigeria. *African Journal of Science*, 1(5): 5-61.
- [10] Ayeni, A. O., Soneye, A. S. O., and Balogun, I. I. (2009). Physicochemical Properties of Surface Water Quality. *The Arab World Geographer*, 12(1-2): 95-104.
- [11] Ayeni, J.F.N. (2014). Salinity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH and Surface Water Temperature Conditions in Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 2(1): 36 40.
- [12] Bellingham, K. (2012). Physicochemical Parameters of Natural Waters: Stevens Water Monitoring Systems. <a href="http://Www.Stevenswater.Com/Index.Aspx"><u>Http://Www.Stevenswater.Com/Index.Aspx</u></a> 2(6): 141 -154.
- [13] Best, G.A., Bogacka, T., and Niemirycz, E. (2008). International River Water Quality: Pollution and Restoration. 1st Edn. Taylor and Francis, London UK. *ISBN-10: 0419215409*, P. 310.
- [14] Bhatnagar, A., and Singh, S. (2010). Seasonal Variation in the Physicochemical Properties of Bir Lake, Ajmer. Proc. of Sem. of Conservation of Lakes and Water Resources. *Management Strategies*, Held On 19-20 Feb., At Udaipur (Rajasthan), Pp. 392-399.
- [15] Black, F.C. (2003). Changes in Enzyme Activities and Microbial Biomass after in situ Remediation of Heavy

- Metal Contaminated Soil. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **28**: 125 139.
- [16] Boulton, C.E. (2012). Assessment of Elemental and Microbial Quality of Lake Efi in Bayelsa State, Central Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 3(3): 71 75.
- [17] Cardar, N.S. (2003). Assessment of Water Quality in Canaan land, Ota, Southwest Nigeria. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*, 2(4):577-583.
- [18] Champman, D. (2002). Water Quality Assessments. Sediments and Water in Environmental Monitoring. *A Guide to the Use of Biota*, Pp. 43.
- [19] Chia, A. M. (2009). A Survey for the Presence of Microsystems in Aquaculture Ponds in Zaria, Northern-Nigeria: Possible Public Health Implication. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8 (22): 6282-6289
- [20] Chigor, V. N. (2012). Water Quality Assessment: Surface Water Sources used for Drinking and Irrigation in Zaria, Springer. Science Business Media B,20(23):321 - 334
- [21] Cosmas, A., Ahamefula, S., Ahiarakwem, C., Samuel, P., and Onyekwuru, S. (2015). Seasonal Variations in physiochemical and Bacteriological Parameters of Rivers. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 5: 1094 – 1110.
- [22] Dimowo, B.O. (2013). Assessment of some physiochemical parameters of river Ogun, Abeokuta, Ogun State. *Journal of Aquaculture*, 3(15): 79 84.
- [23] Eguabor, M.N. (2008). Surface and Groundwater Quality of Enugu Urban Area. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Department of Geography, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, pp. 34 -56.
- [24] Enetimi, I.S., Tariwari, C.N., Okugbue, B.C (2016). Physiochemical Quality Assessment of River Orasin in Eastern Niger Delta of Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 4(4): 143-148.
- [25] Fawell, G.E and Nieuwenhuijsen, L.S. (2016). A. D. APHA. (American Public Health Association), Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. *Eaton. Publ. Office American Public Health Association*. Washington, D. C, 9 (1): 9-147
- [26] Filkersilasie, J.F.N. (2011). The Physical and Chemical Condition of Sombreiro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 3(4): 327-340.
- [27] Greenwood, G. and Lockwood, W.V. (2011). Assessing the Sustainability Performance of Industrial Wastes on the Environment. *Journal of Environmental Sustainability*, 5:124-126.

- [28] Higler, L. W. G. (2012). Fresh Surface Water Biology and Biodiversity of River Systems, ALTERRA, Wageningen, the Netherlands. *Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS)*, pp. 233 242
- [29] Howard, S. N. (2011). Studies on the Physicochemical Status of two Water Bodies at Sagar City under Anthropogenic Influences. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, 3 (1):231 244.
- [30] Iskandar, A.P. (2010). The Effect of Urban Runoff Water and Human Activities on Some Physicochemical Parameters of the Epie Creek in the Niger Delta. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 5(1):47-55.
- [31] Iyama, W.A., and Echri, O.S. (2014). Water quality of Imomte creek in Ndori, Rivers state, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Chemistry*, 7(1):6 9.
- [32] Jaiswal, P.C. (2014). Soil, Plant and Water Analyses. Kalyani Publishers Ludhiana, New Delhi Norda*Hyderabab*, pp.1–399.
- [33] Kanu, I. and Achi, O.K. (2011). Industrial Effluents and their impact on Water Quality of Receiving Rivers in Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Technology and Environmental Sanitation*, 1(1):75-86.
- [34] Kapoor, F. (2004). Sustainable operations, their impact s on the triple bottom line. *International Journal of Production Economic*, 140(1): 149–159.
- [35] Karikari, A. Y., and Ansa Asare, O. D. (2006). Physicochemical and Microbial Water Quality Assessment of the Densu River of Ghana. West Africa Journal of Applied Ecology, 10: 87 100.
- [36] Khalil, A.A. (2005). Water Sanitation and Human Health in Southern Sudan. *Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 8(1):64–82.
- [37] Kumar, N.A. (2007). View on Freshwater Environment. *Journal of Ecology, Environment and Conservation*, 3(3):386-393.
- [38] Lalitha, O.C. and Barani, F.I. (2004). Management Approaches for Nigeria's Water Resources. *Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology*, 42. (1): 21-30.
- [39] Leroy, P.N. (2002). Aquatic Ecosystems and Global Climate Change, Potential Impacts on Inland Freshwater and Coastal Wetland Ecosystems in the United States. USEPA, Washington DC, pp.76-84.
- [40] Meliga, O.A., and Salifu, P.C. (2014). Assessment Physiochemical and Biological parameters of Imaboro Rivers, Oyo State, Ibadan, Nigeria. M.sc, Thesis submitted to Ahamadu Bello University, Zaria.
- [41] Morelli, D. (2010). Concept of Sustainability. *Journal of Environmental Science*, 1(1): 1572–1579.

- [42] Muduli, B.P. and Panda, C. R. (2010). Physicochemical Properties of Water Collected from Dhamra Estuary. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 1:103 117.
- [43] Nwajei, G. E, and Gagophien, P.O. (2000). Distribution of Heavy Metals in the Sediments of Lagos Lagoon. Pakistan. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 43:338-340.
- [44] Oguzie, F.A., and Okhagbuzo, G.A. (2010). Concentrations of Heavy Metals in Effluent Discharges Downstream of Ikpoba River in Benin City. *Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotechnology*, 9(3):319-325.
- [45] Ohimain, E.I., and Angaye, T.C.N. (2014). Iron Levels, Other Selected Physicochemical and Microbiological Properties of Earthen and Concrete Catfish Ponds in Central Niger Delta. *International Journal of Biological and Biomedical Sciences*, 3 (5): 041-043.
- [46] Ojekule, P.A. (2012). The Impact of Urban Environment and Seasonality on the Quality of Ikpoba River in Benin City. *Nigeria Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 6(2):362-369.
- [47] Oketola, A., Adekolurejo, S., and Osibanjo, O. (2010).
  Water Quality Assessment of River Ogun Using Multivariate Statistical Techniques. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 4 (5): 466-479.
- [48] Olorode, O.A., Bamigbola, E.A., and Ogba, O.M. (2015). Comparative Studies of some River Waters in Port Harcourt based on their Physicochemical and Microbiological Analysis, Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, 3(3): 29 - 37.
- [49] Omotoso, T., Lane-Serff, G.F., Young, R. (2015). Issues in River Water Quality, Assessment and Simulation in a West Africa Sub-Region. *E-Proceedings of the 36th IAHR World Congress*28 June 3 July, 2015, The Hague, the Netherlands, pp. 1 8.
- [50] Onyegeme-Okerenta, B.M.,and Ogunka, M.O. (2015). Physicochemical Properties of Water Quality of Imeh, Edegelem and Chokocho Communities located along Otamiri-Oche River in Etche Ethnic Nationality of Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*, 20(1):113-119.
- [51] Peter A. G. (2013). Modeling Parameters of Oxygen Demand in the Aquatic Environment of Lake Chad for Depletion Estimation. *Journal of Science and Technology*, 3(1): 2225-7217.
- [52] Purnamitta, G. (2012). Microbiological Aspects of Water: Key Criteria of Quality. *Current Research in*

- Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 11(1):157-166.
- [53] Raja, G., and Venkatesan, P. (2010). Assessment of Surface water pollution and its impacts in and around Punnam area of Karur district, Tamilnadu, India. *E-Journal of Chemistry*, 7(2): 473-478.
- [54] Ramachandra, K.R., and Malvikaa, K. B. (2007). A Comparative Study on the Physicochemical and Bacteria analysis of drinking Borewell and Sewage Water in the three different places of Sivakasi. *Journal of Environment Biology*, 28 (1): 105 108.
- [55] Ran, V., and Wooten, H.D. (2000). Environmental Problems and Sustainable Development in developing countries. *Journal of Environmental Science*, 1(1): 1572–1579.
- [56] Rao, C.S., and Subba, N.V. (2005). Ground Water Quality in Residential Colony. *Industrial Journal of Environmental Health*, 37(4):295-300.
- [57] Rapu, R. A. (2003). Study of Water Quality of the Rivers of Ranchi District. *Industrial Journal of Environmental Protection*, 21(5):398 402.
- [58] Ravindra, D.B. (2003). Surface Water (Lakes) Quality Assessment in Nagpur City (India) based on Water Quality index (WQI). *Journal of Chemical Society*, 4(1):43-48.
- [59] Rea, R.E., and Silberyed, G.R. (2009). Influence of Cliniptilolite, Rock on Chemical Speciation of Selected Heavy Metals in Sewage Sludge. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **149**: 310 316.
- [60] Sheila, M. (2007). General Information on Solids. BASINS. City of Boulder/USGS Water Quality Monitoring. pp.223 -245.
- [61] Shuaib, A.H. (2007). Environmental Impact of Tombia Bridge Construction across Nun River in Central Niger Delta, Nigeria. *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*, 2(11): 32 41.
- [62] Singh, S.K. (2007). An Assessment of Water Quality of River Ganga at Garmukeshwar. *Industrial Journal of Ecology*, 14(20): 278-287.
- [63] Siyanbola, T.O., Olanipekun, E.O., Edobor-Osoh, A., Adekoya, J.A., Akinsiku A. A., and Ehi-Eromosele, C. O. (2013). Basic Analytical Examination of Selected Streams and their Water Quality in Ado-Ekiti (South-Western Nigeria) and its Neighbouring Villages. African Journal of Geo-Science Research, 1(2):07-11.
- [64] Tajuddin, S., Masaom, Y., Yustiawati, M.S., Takeshi, S., Shunit, T., and Masaaki, K. (2012). Comparative Assessment of Water Quality in the Major Rivers of

[Vol-4, Issue-12, Dec- 2017] ISSN: 2349-6495(P) | 2456-1908(O)

- Dhaka and West Java. *International Journal of Environmental Protection*, 2(4): 8-13.
- [65] Ugwu, A.I., and Wakawa R.J. (2012). A Study of Seasonal Physicochemical Parameters in River Usman. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 8 (5): 569-576.
- [66] UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) (2002). Convention on Biological Diversity. United Nations.
  - http://www.Biodiv.Org/Convention/Articles.Asp.
- [67] United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (2012). Drinking Water Standard and Health Advisories, 12: 3–4.
- [68] Whitehead, P.G. (2007). Quality Simulation along River Systems (QUASAR): Model theory and development. *Journal of Science and Total Environment*, 194(195):447–456.
- [69] World Health Organization (WHO). (2004). Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality. 3<sup>rd</sup>edition, Recommendations, World Health Organization, Geneva, 1:1-8.