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Abstract
Interstitial lung diseases (ILD) are known as a debilitating pulmonary complications that may be occurred in almost all systemic connective tissue diseases (CTD), including mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD). ILD is usually found in more than half of MCTD patients after 2-4 years after the diagnosis made. A 47-years-old female initially diagnosed as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) developed a severe progressive dyspnea. She has recently diagnosed as MCTD with ILD after 9 months of initial symptoms. She was giving with Cyclophosphamide 500 mg IV pulse dose. However, after 1 months she developed severe pneumonia and pronounced demise due to intractable septic shock. The debilitating course of ILD is commonly seen in most systemic CTD. Therefore, it is important to perform initial screening and prevention. Systemic corticosteroid with or without immunosuppresser agent(s) are indicated in ILD-MCTD. Patients with progressive diseases will have poor prognosis.
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Introduction
Interstitial lung disease (ILD) and pulmonary hypertension (PH) are known as the main cause of mortality and morbidity among patients with Collagen vascular disease (CVD). ¹ ² ILD is a heterogeneous group of non-neoplastic parenchymal lung disorders hallmarkd by vary degrees of inflammation and fibrosis that share common radiologic, pathologic, and clinical manifestation. § ILD does not only affect the interstitium but also the airspaces, peripheral airways, and vessels respectively with their epithelial and endothelial linings. ILD, one of CTD manisfestation, seldomly occurs in MCTD. ⁴ In CTD, there are two major presentations of ILD; first ILD can be the initial symptoms or become one of the symptoms of CTD, ² second ILD found as interstitial pneumonia in patients who do not meet the CTD criteria, but later defined as interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features (IPAF). ⁵

Here we present a case of a 47 years old woman with chronic cough, progressive dyspnea, and previous history of SLE and Scleroderma. She was later diagnosed as ILD and CTD due to MCTD and underwent cyclophosphamide IV pulse therapy.

Case Illustration
A 47 years old female refered to our institution due to progressive dyspnea for 2 weeks prior to admission (PTA).

Nine months PTA she complained of intermittent fever. She had non reproductive cough and multiple swollen-tender joints. Yet denied any weakness, abdominal pain, facial erythema, morning stiffness, hair loss, and dysuria. She had given medicines to relive the symptoms, but no relieve were noted yet.

Six months PTA, persistent fever, joint pain and non-productive cough were noted. She developed dyspnea on activity, facial erythema with sun exposure, hair loss, multiple oral ulcers, and weakness notably over her both legs. She also complained morning stiffness persist more than 30 minutes over both arms, and multiple asymmetry swollen-tender joints. There were features of scleroderma and Reynauds phenomenon. Further, there were positive anti neutrophilic antibody (ANA), hence diagnosed as systemic lupus erymathosus (SLE) and she got methyl-prednisone (MP) daily 3x4 mg peroral (PO).

Four months PTA, the swollen joints were improved, persistent fever back to intermittent fever. But the other symptoms were persisted. She regularly consulted to her physician and got MP 3x4 mg/PO daily. Further assessment showed moderate positivity of ANA anti-SCL 70, and anti Sm-RNP which brought the diagnosis to scleroderma. So, additional methotrexate (MTX) 1x5 mg/PO and folic acid 1x5 mg/PO weekly were given.

Two weeks PTA, she had another episode of high grade fever, cough and dyspnea. She readmitted to hospital due to severe pneumonia for 1 weeks. From the hospital, she was referred to our institution.

In her previous medical history, she has been treated for lymphadenitis tuberculosis 2 times with undocumented treatment. She is non-smoker and non-alcoholic drinker, denies any promiscuities and narcotics. She had a history of previous miscarriage below 18 weeks of gestation on her third pregnancy.

When assessment made, she was alert and had mild respiratory distress. Blood pressure : 90/60 mmHg, Heart rate: 105/min, respiratory rate: 24 cycle/min, temperature: 38°C. Her face shown a normal facial expression with thickening. There were some area show non scarring hair loss, pale
palpebral conjunctiva, multiple palatal ulcers and jugular venous pressure noted 5+0 cmH2O. Chest and lung assessment showed normal vesicular breath sound with bilateral basal crackles and negative wheezes. There were tenderness over both shoulders, elbows, right knee, and right foot; skin thickening on both arms and feet; periangual ulcers; Raynaud’s phenomenon; and vascular telangiectasia over the plantar of both feet.

Laboratory test were performed, such as complete blood count, liver function test, renal function test, coagulation state, electrolytes, metabolic activity, inflammation state, and screening TB, hepatitis B, and hepatitis C infection. Besides, we also performed analysis for ANA state. Screening for HIV, tuberculosis, hepatitis B and C showed unremarkable results. For the other laboratory results is shown in Table 1. Her electrocardiography (ECG) findings showed sinus tachycardia. No other abnormalities were found. Chest X-ray finding showed bilateral lung infiltrate with normal heart size as shown in Figure 1.

### Table 1. Laboratory Examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.0-13.0 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrite</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>40-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocyte</td>
<td>4,550</td>
<td>5,000-10,000/µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trombocyte</td>
<td>151,000</td>
<td>150,000-400,000/µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV/MCH/MCHC</td>
<td>83.5/28.2/33.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff count</td>
<td>0/0/76/21/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.0-0.3 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>302.2</td>
<td>150-400 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT</td>
<td>36.4 (35.1)</td>
<td>31-47.0 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>9.2 (10.4)</td>
<td>9.8-11.2 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.4-4.8 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>1.8-3.9 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>6.4-8.7 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGPT (ALT)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-49 u/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGOT (AST)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0-32 u/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0-6.9 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.8-1.3 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureum</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>0-49 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natrium</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>132-147 mEq/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalium</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3-5.4 mEq/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clorida</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>94-111 mEq/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>&lt;2155 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0-200 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>Non reaktif</td>
<td>Non reaktif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&lt;5 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti HCV</td>
<td>Non reaktif</td>
<td>Non reaktif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microalbuminuria</td>
<td>67.5 mg/24 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB Screening</td>
<td>Unremarkable</td>
<td>Non reaktif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGRA</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Xpert</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFB smears 3 times</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGA</td>
<td>7.5/26.1/100/24.1/-2.5/98.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA</td>
<td>Positive 1/1000 (homogen)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2GP-IgM</td>
<td>21.2 U</td>
<td>&lt;20 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2GP-IgG</td>
<td>4.3 U</td>
<td>&lt;20 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupus anticoagulant</td>
<td>Weak positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bilateral infiltrate in both lungs' base.

Patient was initially diagnosed as Health Care Associated Pneumonia (HCAP), MCTD with possible ILD, and anemia. She treated with cefepime 2x1 gr/IV, N-acetylcysteine 1x600 mg/PO, and nebulization with salbutamol 3x2.5 mg. MTX were given subsequently and increased to 1x10 mg/PO/week. Other medications, included: cilostazol 2x50 mg/PO, omeprazole 1x20mg/PO, folic acid 5 mg/PO/weeks, nifedipine 1x10 mg/PO and MP 3x4 mg/PO were continued.

Chest CT-scan shown a typical finding for ILD with possible undifferentiated interstitial pneumonitis (UIP) or nonspecific interstitial pneumonitis (NSIP) (Figure 2). Spirometry showed a restrictive pattern with low FEV1: 1.26 L or 40.6% predicted. Echocardiography showed: a normal ejection fraction (EF) 62% with no signs of pulmonary hypertension. Skin biopsy resulted consistent with scleroderma. Bone surveys showed: signs of multiple erosion and osteopenia. Electromyograph revealed carpal tunnel syndrome over left arm and myotonic lesion over the lower extremities. Then patient treated with fluticasone - salmeterol 500mcg/50mcg two times daily attenuation, oral cavit D3 thrice daily, oral zolendronic 35mg/weekly, she was planned to undergo cyclophosphamide pulse dose 500 mg/IV. First dose of intravenous cyclophosphamide 500m mg was started as the clinical condition improved, and continued every two weeks until 6 doses given.
Note: Chest CT-scan showed ground glass opacity and traction bronchiectasis in subpleura and both lung base (arrow sign); multiple lymphadenopathies of paratrakeal and sub carinal.

Unfortunately, after 1 month of treatment, she readmitted due to the progression of dyspnea. The clinical findings notably showed the progression of ILD, but the clinicians denied to give further dose of cyclophosphamide. She was hospitalized and developed sepsis due to hospital acquired pneumonia (HAP) in the fourth day of hospitalization. She got treatment contained intravenous meropenem 3x1 gr daily and intravenous levofloxacin 500 mg daily. However on the 8th day, she pronounced death due to irreversible septic shock.

**MCTD and pulmonary involvement**

MCTD was first described by *Sharp et al* (1971-72) as a distinct syndrome with similar features of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), systemic sclerosis (SSC), dermatomyositis/polymyositis and rheumatoid arthritis (RA). The disease was associated with autoantibodies to ribonuclease-sensitive component of extractable nuclear antigen (U1 RNP). It is a rare disease and affected only 2.7/1,000,000 patients in Japan population. Various studies show the correlation between the disease and the existence of HLA-DR4 and HLA-DR2. The clinical symptoms of MCTD usually takes several years before the diagnosis of MCTD established. Diagnosis of MCTD can be made using criteria from Alarcon-Segovia and Kahn which have sensitivity 62.5% and specificity 86.2%. Our patients showed moderate positive U1 RNP, Reynaud’s phenomenon, acrosclerosis, history of synovitis, and possible myositis hence satisfy the diagnosis of MCTD.

The presence of pulmonary involvement occurs in 75% patients. Common pulmonary problems could be found, such as: pleural effusion (50%), pleuritic pain, pulmonary hypertension, ILD, thromboembolic disease, alveolar hemorrhage, diaphragmatic dysfunction, aspiration pneumonitis/pneumonia, obstructive airway disease, pulmonary infections, pulmonary vasculitis. Vegh, et al reported from 179 patients with MCTD, 96 patients (53.6%) had ILD, with onset approximately 2-4 years prior to the presence of the symptoms, which confirmed common interstitial pneumonitis. The patients were followed-up, and the data of computed tomography and respiratory function tests were detected 6 months, and then 4 years after the acute lung disease complicated by mixed connective tissue disease.

**RESULTS:** Out of the 179 mixed connective tissue disease patients 96 (53.6%) Meanwhile Gunnarsson, et al reported that abnormal pulmonary function tests (PFTs) restrictive pattern were seen in up to 90% of patients with MCTD. This finding is compatible with our patient.

**Pathophysiology ILD in MCTD**

The role of autoimmunity in ILD associated with CTD is well established. The higher concentration of proinflammatory and profibrotic mediators have been implicated in the pathogenesis of ILD and IPF, and might also have important roles in SSc-associated ILD. Those mediators include chemokines, cytokines, growth factors, lipids, and prostanoids. The pivotal mediator of fibrosis is the multifunctional cytokines, transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ). Substantial evidences implicate that TGFβ—along with platelet-derived growth factor, endothelin-1 (ET-1), and other cytokines—plays role in the pathogenesis of SSc.7 (Figure 3).

Pathologic findings of pulmonary involvement of MCTD are classified into interstitial fibrosis and vascular changes. Interstitial fibrosis typically shows appearance as UIP. It causes distortion of the alveolar architecture. On the other hand, vascular changes are typically consisted of bland intimal proliferation of the lung arterioles, plexogenic angiopathy, and chronic pulmonary emboli, which subsequently cause pulmonary hypertension.

**Approach to patient with ILD in CTD**

As discussed above pulmonary manifestation may vary in MCTD. A comprehensive history assessment may provide valuable informations lead to certain diseases, including CTD-
ILD. Differential diagnosis can be narrowed when the history and clinical findings combined with appropriate measurements of lung function and specific blood tests such as autoimmune serologies consistent to CTD. Moreover, if suspected extrapulmonary tissue sampling (e.g. lymph node or skin biopsy) and thoracic imaging can be performed. Clinical approach for diagnosing ILD-CTD is represented in Figure 4. Assessing specific cause of ILD may needed invasive diagnostics (bronchoscopy, biopsy and bronchoalveolar lavage).

Figure 4. Approach to the diagnosis in ILD-CTD
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In the late stage, honeycomb appearance may be identified. High Resolution CT (HRCT) of the chest is the most sensitive test in establishing ILD diagnosis. In previous study, ILD was detected in 48% of MCTD patients using HRCT. Often, manifestation of mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD) should be supported by clinical symptoms and histopathological results. Because, in some cases it may mimic the other diseases, such as: NSIP and UIP. Study by Kosuka et al. found that ground-glass attenuation almost identified in all ILD patients. Other frequent findings seen by HRCT were intralobular reticular opacity, traction bronchiectasis, honeycombing, subpleural small nodules, and non-septal linear opacity predominant in the lower and peripheral lung fields. Radiologic abnormalities include areas of parenchymal consolidation that may be related to BOOP (bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia).

Bronchoscopy and/or surgical lung biopsy may be required to make a more convince diagnose of specific ILD. The right middle lobe or lingula of the left upper lobe are likely to be the best regions to perform lavage when diffuse disease is present. Areas with ground-glass opacification or profuse nodular change are more likely to provide useful diagnostic information. Endobronchial biopsy provide useful information if endobronchial abnormalities are present (e.g. superficial nodules, mucosal ulceration). Transbronchial lung biopsy is best performed far from the area of advanced fibrosis. A surgical lung biopsy (SLB) obtained via videoassisted thoracic surgery (VATS) or open biopsy is likely to provide an excellent specimen.

The main histopathologic changes of pulmonary involvement in MCTD is classified into interstitial fibrosis and vascular changes. Interstitial fibrosis has the appearance of usual or NSIP. Typical vascular changes consist of bland intimal proliferation of the lung arterioles, plexogenic angiopathy and chronic pulmonary emboli. Histopathologic examination could be used to confirm the presence of ILD however the results may vary. Most patient ILD due to MCTD shows histopathologic pattern of NSIP followed by UIP.

Treatment ILD-MCTD

The general strategies recommended for managing idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) are often applied in CTD-ILD. These include the use of supplemental oxygen in patients with resting hypoxemia and treatment of asymptomatic gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). There are no specific guidelines exist for managing acute exacerbations of ILD in CTD. Interventions commonly give, included: Broad spectrum antimicrobials, coverage for pneumocystis jirovecii and fungal is considered based on risk factors. Risk factor assessed, such as preexisting immunosuppression, removal of the offending agents if drug toxicity suspected. High doses of pulse methylprednisolone (1g IV daily for three days) and IV or oral cyclophosphamide is considered.

Treatment ILD-MCTD

There is a few published literatures regarding the correlation of histology findings in ILD associated with MCTD and the use of immunosuppressive therapy. Administration of immunosuppressive therapy, such as corticosteroid, DMARD, or others immunosuppressive drugs showed no significant evidence for a better outcome. However, we found one study which showed 47% of patients with MCTD-ILD respond better to 2 mg/kg/day corticosteroids. Often, manifestation of mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD) On the first admission our patient got broad spectrum antibiotic, cefepime 2x1 gr/IV, after that she treated with intravenous cyclophosphamide pulse therapy.

Other consideration in treating ILD is assessing any other comorbid conditions. For example pulmonary hypertension (PH). It is diagnosed if mean pulmonary artery pressure found ≥25 mmHg. Therefore it can only be diagnosed by right heart catheterization. Therapy for PAH is quite specific, it is included anticoagulation, oxygen, diuretics, and pulmonary vascular vasodilators, phosphodiesterase inhibitor, immunosuppressant.

Conclusion

Pulmonary manifestations of MCTD patients may vary from mild to severe respiratory symptoms. The hallmark of pulmonary manifestation in MCTD is vasculopathy and PH
which manifested as progressive dyspnea, chronic cough, and even hemoptysis. A history of progressive dyspnea and typical HRCT findings is required in order to establish the diagnosis of ILD-MCTD. There was no specific recommended guidelines for the treatment of ILD-MCTD. Several case reports shown the improved of patient’s survival when using pulse dose corticosteroid and immunosuppressant. Some patients only respond to high dose corticosteroid.
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