GRAMMATICAL METAPHOR AS FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' TRANSLATION OF DICSUSSION TEXT (A CASE STUDY OF ENGLISH DEPARTMENT'S STUDENTS IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITY INDONESIA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION)

Restu Surya Dinagara Department of English Education, Indonesia University of Education

Abstract: This study focuses on investigating the procedures and methods of translating grammatical metaphors, introduced by Halliday (1985) and developed by Taverniers and Ravelli (2003), in students' translation. This study was conducted in Indonesia University of Education, Bandung, taking six fifth semester students as the participants. In order to answer the research questions, this study employs a descriptive qualitative case study. The data were obtained from the collection of students' translation of a discussion text from particular news website. The findings indicated that the translators need improvement in translating grammatical metaphors in terms of understanding the classification of metaphors, the congruent forms of metaphors, and relevant procedures of translating. Based on the text analysis, the students experienced some difficulties in translating grammatical metaphors in terms of adapting proper methods which led to mistranslating. Nevertheless, the translation produced by some students show relevant and equivalent translation. Thus, the translators need be taught explicitly about the grammatical metaphors and methods and procedures to translate the grammatical metaphors in order to produce relevant, equivalent, accurate, and faithful translation.

Keywords: Systemic Functional Linguistics, Grammatical Metaphors, Discussion Text, Translating, Translation.

Introduction

In translating field, it is necessary to pick a proper method and procedures to obtain a relevant, equivalent, faithful, and accurate translation (Douglas, 2003, p. 11) (Newmark, 1988, p. 73) (Fawcett, 2003 p. 7). This is due to its fact that translators' role are to deliver knowledge, culture, ideas, and even thought from various languages into the target language. Therefore, translation studies requires multilingual and also interdisciplinary, encompassing languages, linguistics, communication studies, philosophu, and range of types of cultural studies (Munday, 2001).

Aside from translation studies, the presence of Systemic Functional Linguistics enrich the ideas of linguistic field. Halliday (1994) introduced a new terminology: grammatical metaphors which refer to verbal transference of various kinds (Halliday, 1994a, p.340). Grammatical metaphors was put forwad by Halliday and defined as the expression of a meaning through a lexico-grammatical form which originally evolved to express different kind of meaning (Emilia, 2014, Thompson, 1996).

The relation between translation studies and grammatical metaphors is that these two studies are found in particular text which contains more nominalizations; it is discussion text. Ravelli (2003, p.46) investigated the of translation metaphor use in teaching and it led to at least two problems: firstly, students are trying to move from spoken language into written language, especially those who are from non-English speaking background, secondly students tend to grasp at nominal straws to find appropriate nominalizations. Therefore, the study intends to fill the gap with the Grammatical Metaphors as framework analysis of students' translation on discussion text.

This study is carried out to analize the grammatical metaphors in discussion text translated into target language by fifth semester students in Indonesia University of Education in terms of the methods and procedures employed.

Literature Review

• Systemic Functional Linguistics

Systemic Functional Linguistics (henceforth abbreviated to SFL) is one of the main functional theories of language developed in the twentieth century and it continuous to evolve in the century (Martin, 2011, p.14 cited in Emilia, 2014, p.62). SFL is a functionally based theory which examines the functions that language has evolved to serve in society and study how meanings are made in different contexts (Young & Harrison, 2004, p12).

In usual communication, situations and events can be conceptualized and expressed linguistically in two major ways: they are closer to speaker's experience and are the basic transitivity patterns (Downing and Locke, 2002, p 160). According to Downing (2002, p 161), entities such as people and things are necessarily expressed by nouns, actions by verbs, and quality by adjectives. In fact, the linguistic representation tends to be more complex as we are mature which leads that any situations can be expressed in more than one way. Mature writers effectively exploit the source of grammatical metaphor; children and other immature writers do not (Halliday, 1994:32, cited in Cullip, 2000).

The concept of grammatical metaphor was introduced in Halliday's Introduction of Functional Grammar (1985). The most productive form of grammatical metaphor is nominalization, or the transfer of meaning to the nominal group in the clause. Processes and their qualities, quantities and qualities of Things and logical relations can all be coded as Things (Cullip, 2000).

The functions of grammatical metaphor in English are to accomplish the following:

- a. To take advantage of the meaning potential to nominal group;
- b. To structure new argument through the manipulation of the system of Theme and New
- c. To technicalize processes by freezing actions, associated participants and circumstances, and logical relations (Cullip, 2000).

Relevant to the metafunctions of language, there are three main types of grammatical metaphors that can be found in a clause in a text: *metaphors of transitivity* (ideational metaphor), *metaphors of mood* (interpersonal metaphor), and logical metaphor (textual metaphor) (Halliday, 1994a, p. 343, cited in Emilia, 2014, p. 267).

• Ideational Metaphors

Ideational metaphor involve transference from one kind of element to another and can be classified into *experiential*, and *logical*; *experiential* concerns with elements of figure meanwhile *logical* concerns with reconstruing a conjunction between figures as if it were a *process*, *quality*,

circumstance or thing (Martin & Rose, 2003, p.104, cited in Emilia, 2014, p. 267). Ideational metaphor is also called as metaphor of transitivity. The grammatical variation between congruent and incongruent forms here applies to transitivity configurations, and can be analyzed in terms of the functional structure of these configurations (Ravelli, Vanderbergen, & Taverniers, 2003, p. 8).

• Logical Metaphors

metaphors (textual Logical metaphor) can be expressed in some relational (circumstantial) processes, such as: cause and lead to; cause and lead to are the metaphorical forms from "if-so" (Emilia, 2014, p. 272). Logical metaphor replaced more of congruent use two mental processes clauses bound by a logical sign (because) with a relational containing process clause two embedded mental process clauses (Bloor and Bloor, 2004, p. 130). Logical metaphor, according to Martin & Rose (2008, p.42) depend on nominalizing what happened as well. That makes the prepositions and verbs have something to depend on.

Causal connections can also be realized incongruently using nominal (*reasons*, *effects*, *response*); verbal (*make*, *lead to*), and prepositional (*for*, *through*, *from*, *in the absence of*, *etc.*) (Emilia, 2014, p. 273).

• Interpersonal Metaphors

Interpersonal metaphors deal with the way to enact interpersonal relations and create intersubjective positioning through linguistic interaction (Taverniers, 2006, p. 5). Interpersonal metaphor involves noncongruent ways of informal spoken language which concerns with establishing and maintaining relations with other people (Xue-feng, 2010, p. 30). Interpersonal metaphors include metaphor modality and metaphor of mood.

Metaphors of modality usually occur in some expressions in which modality usually realized either as a Finite or as an Adjunct in fact gets realized as a clause (Emilia, 2014, p. 274). Metaphors of modality are often found in the clause realizing the speakers' opinion as a separate projecting clause in a hypotactic clause complex, not as a modal element (Vandenbergen, Taverniers, and Ravelli, 2003, p. 94-95). Examples of metaphors of modality can be seen below, adapted from Halliday (1994a, p. 354).

Metaphors of mood can occur especially in some commands, statements, and offers. The choice between these different mood types enables people to give information by means of statements, using declarative mood; to ask information by means of questions, using the interrogative mood; to put forward something to be considered, accepted, or refused be means of offers, using the interrogative mood; or to ask for something to take place by means of commands, using imperative mood (Emilia, 2014, p. 276, Xue-Feng, 2010, p. 31). In this type of interpersonal metaphor, a mood meaning is not expressed in the clause, but rather as explicit element outside the clause (Taverniers, 2002, p. 402).

Some benefits of using grammatical metaphor are that author will focus on key abstract ideas rather than processes and events (Gibbons, 2009, p. 51, cited in Emilia, 2014, p. 272). Grammatical metaphor constitutes an alternative way of constructing the picture of reality (Hadidi, 2012, p. 349). Grammatical metaphor has been used in historical texts, written by politicians (Martin, 2002, p. 51). It also makes the writing more vivid and contrived (Xue-Feng, 2012, p.36).

• Discussion Text

Discussion text is a breakdown version of argumentative text; argumentative is divided into two types: exposition and discussion. Discussion text aims to discuss the light of some kind of frame of position which proposes both sides of argument (Emilia, 2005, p. 60).

Discussion text sometimes begins with a background stage which provides any information the reader needs in order to follow the arguments. Discussion can be found in essays, editorials, and public forums which canvas a range of views of an issue, panel discussion, or research summaries (Feez and Joyce, 1998b, cited in Emilia, 2005, p. 60-61).

Knap and Watkins (2005, p. 189-190) explains that the grammatical features of argumentative essay, here is discussion, can be seen as follows: (1) the use of mental verbs to express the opinions, (2) the use of connectives to argue logical relations and link points, (3) movement from personal to impersonal voice, (4) the use of modality to argue the position of the writer and the reader, (5) the use of nominalizations in argument to allow the writer condense information and deal with abstract issues.

• Translation Studies

Translation studies play an important role regarding communication among countries. Newmark (1988, p. 5) defines translation as rendering the meaning of a text into another language in the way the author intended the text. Hatim & Munday (2004, p. 3) also add that translation relates to a process, which focuses on the role of the translator in taking the original or source text (ST) and turning it into a text in another language, and the product, which centers on the concrete translation product produced by the translator. In other words, it can be concluded that there are two different important points in translation studies: translation, as a and translating, product, the as

process of translating which covers many aspects and linguistics components. Catford (1965, p. 1) also adds that translation is an operation performed on languages: a process of substituting a text in one language for a text in another.

During the process of translation, there are several terms to discuss due to their similar purposes. Newmark (1988b, p.81) states that there are distinction between translation methods and translation procedures. He also adds that methods deal with the whole text meanwhile procedures deal with particular smaller units of language.

The discussion of translation process comes to fruitful explanations from some experts. In terms of types of translation methods, there are several types of methods according to Cartford (1965, p. 21-26): (1) Full translation: the entire text is submitted to the translation process (2) Partial Translation: some part or parts of the SL text are left untranslated, (3) Total Translation: the replacement of SL grammar and lexis by equivalent TL, (4) Restricted translation: means replacement of SL textual material by

equivalent TL textual material, at only one level (Catford, 1965, p. 21), (5) Phonological translation means the replacement of SL phonology by equivalent TL phonology, but there are no other replacements except such grammatical or lexical changes, (6) Graphological Translation means the replacement of SL graphology by equivalent TL graphology, without other replacements except accidental changes, (7) Free Translation : in free translation, equivalences shunt up and down the rank scale, but tend to be at the higher ranks, sometimes between lager units than the sentence, (8) Literal Translation lies in particular positions as intended by the author to achieve equivalence; it may add additional words, or change the structure at any rank, (9) Word-for-Word Translation means the translation with rank-bound at wordmay include rank and some morpheme-morpheme equivalences

Related to the explanation above, this study focuses on investigating the methods and procedures employed by low, middle, and high achiever students in their translation of grammatical metaphors in discussion text.

Methodology

This study employed а descriptive-qualitative method to answer the research questions. This method was selected due to intention of the researcher to analyze, describe, categorize, and interpret the data (Creswell, 2012, 16)in p. investigating the linguistic elements through grammatical metaphor concept in translation product.

This study was conducted at one of public university in Bandung, West Java, Indonesia. The participants of this study were six fifth semester students representing all levels of achievement: low, midle, and high achievers. This study used purposive sampling technique in choosing the participants. The participants were intentionally selected based on specialist knowledge or criteria (Walliman, 2006, p. 79) after field observation done to make sure certain types of individuals that expose particular features in this study (Berg, 2001, p. 32).

To follow purposive sampling, the researcher chose six participants from one class and categorized into three levels achievement: low, middle, and high achiever based on their in comprehending performance Practice of Translating subject in previous semester based on their score. It is done to enhance the understanding of the context based on prior knowledge (Duff, 2008).

The data were obtained from the translation product done by students of English Education Department. Each student was asked to translate an Indonesian discussion texts entitled "Using Wikipedia as PR is a Problem, but Lack of a Critical Eye is Worse" retrieved at October 13th, 2015 from jakartaglobe.beritasatu.com.

Data Presentation and Discussions

To begin the analysis of the translated text, the action of unpacking metaphors from the original text is necessary. Halliday (1999, cited in Hadidi & Raghami, 2012) stated that each metaphorical wording must have its equivalent

congruent wording. So from the original text, congruent domains will be extracted as refer to Thompson hypothesize (see Chapter II). Stalhammar (2006, p.3) also added unpacking metaphors that into underlying clauses to reveal contextual knowledge and linguistic maturity is required.

Generally, the result of the translations from low, mid, and high achievers students provide some similarities and differences. The similarities are found in translating ideational metaphors. In ideational metaphor number 1, most students used inappropriate free translation. In ideational metaphor number 2, most students translated appropriately some translators added although additional word to develop the translation. In ideational metaphor 3. number translators mostly employed free translation and created some variations in the translation. In ideational metaphors number 4 most students used free translation method to obtain the translation. In ideational metaphors number 5, most students used word-for-word quite effectively produce the translation. In to

ideational metaphor number 6, the free translation often used although some result of translation varied. In ideational metaphor number 7, some students used word-for-word and the rest used free translation to obtain the translation. In terms of interpersonal metaphor, students generally produced equivalent translation, although there were mistranslations in some words.

In terms of differences based on the translation result, low achiever students employed less various methods in the process of translation. They used word-for-word method and obtained a non-natural translation. Meanwhile, mid achiever students employed more various method and added additional words to develop the translation. Meanwhile, high achiever students were relatively more creative in producing the translation and various of employed methods translation. They also sometimes restructure the grammar in the target language but still managed to maintain the ideas and naturalness of the meaning.

Based on this study, translating grammatical metaphors is not as easy

as translating cultural or idiomatic clauses. Some students even failed to translate the metaphors that it resulted into inappropriate translations. Grammatical metaphors consist of nominalization that is the alteration of verb, adjective, or conjunction to noun so that the students need to identify the congruent forms in advance before translating the text.

Conclusion

This study found out that some students, especially middle and high achievers have comprehended the concept of grammatical metaphors and ways to translate discussion text into target language although they have not taken the Functional Grammar course. Meanwhile the low achievers were sometimes getting confused of how to translate the nominalizations, appropriately. It can be seen on how the low achievers sometimes mistranslated nominalizations and just employed word-for-word methods to obtain the translation. Meanwhile, the middle and high, although they sometimes mistranslated too, employed various ways of translating in order to

produce the translation. Since the character of good translation are faithful. correct, accurate, and equivalent provide or even appropriate collocation (Douglas, 2003, p. 11) (Newmark, 1988, p. 73) (Fawcett, 2003 p. 7), the low achievers' translation did not cover those characteristics.

Despite the difficulties of translating grammatical metaphors, the knowledge of translating grammatical metaphors are necessary to be taught to the students for the grammatical metaphors sometimes led to mistranslation. This is for further improvement of students' translation because grammatical metaphors are mostly found in discussion and argumentative text written by mature writers (Halliday, 1994:32, cited in Cullip, 2000).

Experientially, the low achiever tended to use less various methods to produce the translation and provided the similar sentence structure in the translation. When they found the difficult sentence or clause, such as grammatical metaphors, they left the word for word translation and it sometimes obscured the core meaning of the sentence. Meanwhile, the mid and high achievers students provided more flexible structure and sometimes added a word or extension to provide better translation Cartford (1965, p. 21-26). Some high achievers even translated to grammatical metaphor by providing the congruent form and adopted literal translation.

Overall, the findings indicate that students still need improvements in terms of nominalization comprehension, SFL comprehension, and translating comprehension to obtain a reliable and relevant translation.

References

- Bassnett, S. (2002). *Translation studies*. New York: Routledge.
- Bassnett, S., Trivedi, H. (2002). Postcolonial translation. London: Routledge.
- Bateman, J. A. (1990). Finding translation equivalents: An application of grammatical metaphor. 13.

- Bloor, T., Bloor, M. (2004). The functional analysis of English. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Catford, J. (1965). A linguistic theory of translation. London: Oxford University Press.
- Coffin, C. (2006). *Historical discourse*. London: Continuum.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research*. Boston: Pearson.
- Downing, A. (2002). English grammar. New York: Routledge.
- Emilia, E. (2005). A critical genrebased approach to teaching academic writing in a tertiary EFL context in Indonesia. Melbourne.
- Emilia, E. (2012). Pendekatan genrebased dalam pengajaran bahasa Inggris: petunjuk untuk guru. Bandung: Rizqi Press.
- Emilia, E. (2014). *Introducing functional grammar*. Bandung: Pustaka Jaya.

- Fawcett, P. (1997). *Translation and language*. Manchester: Saint Jerome Publishing.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education.* New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gerot, L., Wignell. P. (1995). *Making sense of functional grammar*. Sydney: Gerd Stabler.

- Hadidi, Y. (2012). A comparative study of ideational grammatical metaphor in business and political texts. *Macrothink* Institute International Journal of Linguistics, 349.
- Halliday, M. (1994). An introduction to functional grammar. London: Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M. (2001). Towards a theory of good translation. In E. Steiner & C. Yallops (Eds) Exploring translation and multilingual text production: beyond content. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 13-18.
- Halliday, M. (2004). *The language of science*. London: Continuum.
- Halliday, M., & Matthiessen, C. (2004). An introduction to functional grammar. London: Oxford University Press.
- Hatim, B., Munday. J. (2004). Translation and advanced

resource book. Oxon: Routledge.

- Hewings, A. M. H. (2005). Grammar and context. Oxon: Routledge.
- Jakobson, R. (1959). On linguistic aspects of translation. pp. 232-239.
- Jesus, S. M., Pagano, A. S. (2006). Probabilistic grammar in translation. International Systemic Functional Congress, (p. 428).
- Knapp, P., Watkins, M. (2005).
 Genre, text, grammar.
 Technology for teaching and assessing writing. Sydney:
 University of New South Wales Press Ltd.
- Manfredi, M. (2008). Translating text and context: translation studies and systemic functional linguistics.
- Martin, J., C.M.I.M., M., & Painter, C. (1997). Working with functional grammar. London: Arnold.

- Merriam, S. (1998). Qualitative research and case study applications in education. Revised and expanded from: Case study research in education. San Fransisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Munday, J. (2001). Introducing translation studies. London: Routledge.
- Newmark, P. (1988). *A textbook of translation*. Hempstead: Pearson Education Limited.
- Sari, E. T. (2014). The sequence of ideational grammatical metaphor wording technique in historical text: a systemic functional linguistic approach. International journal of applied linguistics & english literature.
- Stalhammar. (2006). Grammatical metaphor/metonymy in the treaty establishing a constitution for Europe: A comparison between the English and Swedish versions. 99.

- Steiner, E. C. Y. (2001). Exploring translation and multilingual production: beyond content. Berlin: Mouton De Gruyter.
- Taverniers, M. (2002). Systemic functional linguistics and the notion of grammatical metaphor. Ghent: Universiteit Gent.
- Taverniers, M. (2006). Grammatical metaphor and lexocal metaphor: Different perspectives on semantic variation. *Springer*, 321-332.
- Thompson, G. (1996). *Introducing functional grammar*. London: Arnold.
- Vanderbergen, A. M. S. (2003). Grammatical metaphor views from systemic functional linguistics. Philadelphia: John Benjamins North America.
- Vandervergen, A. M. S., Taverniers,
 M., & Ravelli, L. (2003).
 Grammatical metaphor:
 Views from systemic
 functional linguistics.
 Amsterdam: Benjamins.

discourse analysis. London: Continuum.

- Walinski, J. (2015, October 4). *Translation procedures*. Retrieved from research gate: https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/282504599_Tran slation_Procedures
- Walliman, N. (2006). Social research method. London: Sage Publication.
- Xue-Feng, W. (2010). Grammatical metaphor and its difficulties in application. US-China foreign language, 36.
- Yasseri, T. (2015, September 9). *Commentary:* Using wikipedia as pr is a problem, but lack of a critical eye is worse. Retrieved October 2015, from http://jakartaglobe.beritasatu. com/: http://jakartaglobe.beritasatu. com/opinion/commentaryusing-wikipedia-pr-problem-

lack-critical-eye-worse/

Young, L., Harrison, C. (2004). Systemic functional linguistics and critical

No	Source	ce Language	Target Language	Process	Metaphoric	Translation
					al	Result
					Alteration	
1	Metaphorical	Conflict of interest in	Ketertarikan	Material	Verb to	The meaning
	Forms	those editing articles	mengedit artikel-	process	Noun	of "conflict of
		has been part of	artikel telah	F		interest" is not
		Wikipedia from the	menjadi konflik-			relevant with
		beginning.	konflik bagian			the proper
		ocgnining.	Wikipedia sejak			target
			awal.			language
	Const	People are conflicting	awai.			Conflict of
	Congruent Forms	due to the article				
	Forms					interest means
		editing from the				konflik
		beginning of				kepentingan
		Wikipedia				
2	Metaphorical	Their contribution to	kontribusi mereka	Material	Verb to	The translation
	Forms	the project	dalam proyek	process	Noun	is relevant
	Congruent	As many authors	tersebut		(Alteriation	with the
	Forms	have contributed to			from	source
		the project			contribute	language. The
					to	target
					contributio	language is
					n)	natural and
						equivalent.
3	Metaphorical	Self-serving editing	Jasa mengedit	Material	From	The translation
	Forms		pribadi	process	Clause to	is irrelevant
					Nominal	since the word
					Group	self-serfing
						did not contain
						personal
						opinion.
	Congruent	To edit the				Self-serving
	Forms	information by				editing means
		themselves				pengeditan
						yang

4 Metaphorical The arrival of Adanya Existentia Verb to The clause 4 Forms [citation needed] tags [kebutuhan] l process Noun "the arrival of 5 mengatuangka n diri sendiri mengatuangka n diri sendiri 6 Metaphorical The arrival of [kebutuhan] l process Noun "the arrival of 1 readers to the menandiai adalah ith e cnding necded] tag" was 1 or flat-out wrong. perputipan] or flat-out wrong. form) mistranslated. 1 Tags arrives to alert artikel yang or flat-out wrong. "The arrival of 1 Forms readers to the dimuat tersebut menjadi aman, "The arrival of 1 good way. benar. menjadi aman, means masuknya 1 atterments to be unsafe, unsupported, benar. Existentia Material From Irrelevant 1 good way. sendiri Material From Irrelevant 1 forms by them							
4MetaphoricalThe arrival of (citation needed] tags is a good way to alert readers to the optential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.Existentia (chagning)Verb to (Chagning) (Chagning)The clause "the arrival of (Chagning) of the verb5MetaphoricalSelf-editing or flat-out wrong in a good way.Self-editing self-editingPengetitan diri< self-editing self-editingMaterial or self-editingFrom masuknya tandal5Metaphorical FormsSelf-editing by themlseves.Pengetitan diri self-icting self-ictingMaterial processFrom means masuknya tandal ful6Metaphorical a bit of caution and Formsa bit of caution and readers in the goot masisSelfiki perhatian dan pengamanan pengetitan diri processMaterial processFrom clause to translation of "self-editing"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and readers of these potential flaws is goot masissedikit perhatian dan pengamanan pengetitan diri dan pengamanan processFrom clause to translation of "self-editing"7Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is dari potensiSelf-witan dari potensiBehaviora diri processFrom clause to translation of "awareness"7Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is dari potensiBehaviora diri potensiFromIrrelevant translation of "awareness"6Metaphori							menguntungka
Forms[citation needed] tags is a good way to alert readers to the potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong.[kebutuhan pengutipan]l processNoun (Changing the ending of the verb"the arrival of [citation needed] tag"CongruentTags arrives to alert potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong.sinyal bagi pembaca agar artikel yangform)"The arrival of [citation needed] tag"CongruentTags arrives to alert potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.menandia iaman, didukung, atau"The arrival of [citation needed] tag" means mistranslated.5Metaphorical FormsSelf-editingPengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFromTranslation of "self-editing"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is goot data penganana dat potensisedikit perhatian dat pengananan processFromIrrelevant translation of "self-editing"7Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is dat i potensisedikit perhatian dat i potensi dat i potensi pengurangan yang digrupFromIrrelevant translation of "awareness"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is dat i potensiBehaviora dari potensi dari potensiFromIrrelevant translation of "awareness"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these							n diri sendiri
Image: construct of the section of	4	Metaphorical	The arrival of	Adanya	Existentia	Verb to	The clause
Image: constraint of the section of		Forms	[citation needed] tags	[kebutuhan	1 process	Noun	"the arrival of
Image: constraint of the constra			is a good way to alert	pengutipan]		(Changing	[citation
And the statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong.baik sebagai sinyl bagi pembaca agar artikel yangform)mistranslated.CongruentTags arrives to alert potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.artikel yang dimuat tersebutImage: statements to be dimuat tersebut"The arrival of [citation meeded] tag" means masuknya tanda [kutipan diperlukan].5Metaphorical FormsSelf-editing by themlseves.Pengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to Nominal means means pengeditan means pengeditan dian pengamanan dan pengamananSelf-editing reelevantSelf-editing reelevant6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and awareness in the reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dari potensi pengurangan yang pengurangan yangBehavioraFrom readeres ranslation of readeres required6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and awareness in the reader of these potential flaws is dari potensiBehaviora dari potensi dari potensiFrom dari potensi groupFrom dari potensi6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and awareness' in the reader of these potential flaws is dari potensiBehaviora dari potensiFrom dari potensiFrom dari potensi6Metaphorical FormsA bit of caution and awareness'Sedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora dari potensiFrom dari potensi6Metaphorical Forms			readers to the	menandai adalah		the ending	needed] tag"
Image: construct or flat-out wrong.sinyal bagi pembaca agar artikel yang"The arrival of [citation nceded] tag"CongruentTags arrives to alert readers to the potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.artikel yang dilukung, atau benar."The arrival of [citation nceded] tag" means <i>tanda</i> [kutipan diperlukan].5MetaphoricalSelf-editing or flat-out wrong in a good way.Pengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to Nominal irself-editing"6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan dari potensiBehaviora l processFrom clause to Nominal6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora dri processFrom clause to Nominal6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora dri potensiFrom clause to Nominal6Metaphorical reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora diri potensiFrom clause to irrelevant irrelevant6Metaphorical requiredReaders required to diperlukanGroupIrrelevant irrelevant7CongruentReaders required togiperlukanBehaviora dari potensiFrom dian pengamanan dari potensi8Congruent <th></th> <th></th> <th>potential for</th> <th>sebuah jalan yang</th> <th></th> <th>of the verb</th> <th>was</th>			potential for	sebuah jalan yang		of the verb	was
CongruentTags arrives to alertpembaca agar artikel yang"The arrival of [citationFormsreaders to the potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.artikel yang didukung, atau benar."The arrival of [citation5MetaphoricalSelf-editing readers of the potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.Pengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to Nominal5MetaphoricalSelf-editing by themlseves.Pengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to NominalTranslation of "self-editing"6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is potential flaws issedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora l processFrom Clause to NominalIrrelevant realers6Metaphorical reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora l processFrom clause to l means pengeditan mandiri6Metaphorical reader of these potential flaws is potential flaws is dari potensiBehaviora dari potensiFrom l awareness"Irrelevant ranslation of "awareness"			statements to be	baik sebagai		form)	mistranslated.
CongruentTags arrives to alert readers to the potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.artikel yang dimuat tersebut menjadi aman, didukung, atau"The arrival of [citation needed] tag" means masuknya tanda [kutipan diperlukan].5MetaphoricalSelf-editing readers of the or flat-out wrong in a good way.Pengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Irrelevant Translation of "self-editing"5Metaphorical FormsSelf-editing by themlseves.Pengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Irrelevant Translation of "self-editing"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora l processFrom Irrelevant Translation of "awareness"6Metaphorical requireda bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is dari potensisedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora l processFrom required6Metaphorical requireda bit of these pengurangan yangBehaviora dari potensiFrom l awareness"Irrelevant required to diperlukan			unsafe, unsupported,	sinyal bagi			
Formsreaders to the potential for statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.dimuat tersebut menjadi aman, didukung, atau benar.[citation needed] tag" means <i>masuknya</i> <i>tanda [kutipan</i> <i>diperlukan].</i> 5MetaphoricalSelf-editing TermsPengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to NominalIrrelevant "self-editing"6Metaphorical FormsPeople edit the entry by themlseves.Pengaditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to NominalSelf-editing "self-editing"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is potential flaws issedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora l processFrom clause to ranslation of "awareness"6Congruent FormsPeople flaws is potential flaws is potential flaws isgroup diari potensiFrom groupIrrelevant ranslation of "awareness"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws isgroup dari potensiGroupIrrelevant ranslation of "awareness"6Congruent FormsReaders required to potential flaws isgengurangan yang diperlukanGroupawareness"			or flat-out wrong.	pembaca agar			
Image: constraint of the section of		Congruent	Tags arrives to alert	artikel yang			"The arrival of
Image: statements to be unsafe, unsupported, or flat-out wrong in a good way.didukung, atau benar.means masuknya tanda [kutipan diperlukan].5Metaphorical FormsSelf-editing Image: sendiriPengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to Image: sendiriFrom Self-editingIrrelevant means diperlukan].6Metaphorical FormsPeople edit the entry by themlseves.Self-editing sendiriSelf-editing means processSelf-editing means pengeditan mandiri6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and awareness in the reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan kepada pembacaBehaviora I processFrom Clause to Irrelevant6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and awareness in the reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan kepada pembaca dari potensiBehaviora I processFrom Clause to Nominal i awareness'6Metaphorical reader of these potential flaws is requiredReaders required todiperlukanawareness		Forms	readers to the	dimuat tersebut			[citation
Image: section of			potential for	menjadi aman,			needed] tag"
or flat-out wrong in a good way.or flat-out wrong in a good way.tanda [kutipan diperlukan].5MetaphoricalSelf-editingPengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFromIrrelevant6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is requiredPengeditan sendiriBehaviora l processSelf-editing means pengeditan in mandiri6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan dari potensi pengurangan yangBehaviora l processFrom requiredIrrelevant ranslation of "awareness"6Metaphorical requireda bit of caution and requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan dari potensi pengurangan yangBehaviora l processFrom ranslation of "awareness"7Metaphorical requireda Bit of caution and amareness in the requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan pengurangan yangBehaviora l processFrom ranslation of "awareness"6Metaphorical requireda Bit of caution and amarenesssedikit perhatian dan pengamanan pengurangan yangBehaviora l processFrom ranslation of "awareness"			statements to be	didukung, atau			means
Image: series of the section of the			unsafe, unsupported,	benar.			masuknya
5Metaphorical FormsSelf-editingPengeditan diri sendiriMaterial processFrom Clause to NominalIrrelevant6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is requiredsendiri sendiriMaterial processFrom NominalIrrelevant6Metaphorical requireda bit of caution and reader of these potential flaws is dari potensisedikit perhatian dari potensiBehaviora l processFrom reader of these pengurangan yang diperlukanFrom sediceIrrelevant reader of these pengurangan yang diperlukan6Congruent requiredReaders required tomeans pengurangan yang diperlukanSelf-editing means			or flat-out wrong in a				tanda [kutipan
FormssendiriprocessClause toTranslation ofCongruentPeople edit the entryFormsby themlseves.Image: SendiriSelf-editingFormsby themlseves.Image: SendiriImage: SendiriSelf-editing6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of thesesedikit perhatian kepada pembacaBehavioraFromIrrelevant translation of6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of thesesedikit perhatian kepada pembacaBehavioraFromIrrelevant translation of6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of thesesedikit perhatian kepada pembacaBehavioraFromIrrelevant translation of6MetaphoricalReaders required todari potensiImage: SendiriImage: SendiriImage: Sendiri6MetaphoricalReaders required todiperlukanImage: SendiriImage: SendiriImage: Sendiri7MetaphoricalReaders required todiperlukanImage: SendiriImage: SendiriImage: Sendiri7MetaphoricalReaders required toSendiriImage: SendiriImage: SendiriImage: Sendiri			good way.				diperlukan].
CongruentPeople edit the entry by themlseves.Nominal"self-editing"Formsby themlseves.Image: Congruent in the entry in	5	Metaphorical	Self-editing	Pengeditan diri	Material	From	Irrelevant
CongruentPeople edit the entry by themlseves.GroupSelf-editing means pengeditan mandiri6Metaphoricala bit of caution and reader of thesesedikit perhatianBehavioraFromIrrelevant1potential flaws is requireddan pengamanan pengurangan yangl processClause to mandiri"awareness"0CongruentReaders required todiperlukanGroupawareness		Forms		sendiri	process	Clause to	Translation of
Formsby themlseves.means6Metaphoricala bit of caution andsedikit perhatianBehavioraFromIrrelevant6Metaphoricala bit of caution andsedikit perhatianBehavioraFromIrrelevant6Formsawareness in the reader of thesedan pengamanan kepada pembaca1 processClause totranslation of "awareness"7potential flaws is requireddari potensi pengurangan yang diperlukanGroupawareness7CongruentReaders required todiperlukanawareness						Nominal	"self-editing"
6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and awareness in the reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan dan pengamanan dari potensiBehaviora 1 processFrom Clause to translation of "awareness"6Metaphorical Formsa bit of caution and awareness in the reader of these potential flaws is requiredsedikit perhatian dan pengamanan dari potensiBehaviora 1 processFrom Clause to translation of "awareness"6Metaphorical requiredrequired pengurangan yang diperlukanI process translationClause to translation of awareness"		Congruent	People edit the entry			Group	Self-editing
Image: constraint of these is constraint of these is constraint of these is constraint of these is constraint of the c		Forms	by themlseves.				means
6Metaphoricala bit of caution and awareness in the reader of thesesedikit perhatian dan pengamanan behavioraBehavioraFromIrrelevant1Formsawareness in the reader of thesedan pengamanan kepada pembaca1 processClause to Nominaltranslation of "awareness"1potential flaws is requireddari potensiGroupGroup							pengeditan
Formsawareness in the reader of these potential flaws isdan pengamanan pengurangan yangl processClause to Nominaltranslation of "awareness"Image: Congruentimage: C							mandiri
reader of thesekepada pembacaNominal"awareness"potential flaws isdari potensiGroup"awareness"requiredpengurangan yangImage: CongruentReaders required todiperlukan	6	Metaphorical	a bit of caution and	sedikit perhatian	Behaviora	From	Irrelevant
potential flaws is dari potensi Group required pengurangan yang awareness Congruent Readers required to diperlukan		Forms	awareness in the	dan <u>pengamanan</u>	1 process	Clause to	translation of
required pengurangan yang Congruent Readers required to			reader of these	kepada pembaca		Nominal	"awareness"
Congruent Readers required to diperlukan			potential flaws is	dari potensi		Group	
			required	pengurangan yang			
Forms be aware and concern means		Congruent	Readers required to	diperlukan			awareness
		Forms	be aware and concern				means
of these potential <i>kesadaran</i>			of these potential				kesadaran
flaws.			flaws.				

7	Metaphorical	At the same time,	pengeditan	Material	From	Irrelevat
	Forms	"organized"	"terstruktur" yang	process	Clause to	grammatical
		problematic editing	bermasalah		Nominal	structure from
					Group	source
						language to
						target
						langauge.
	Congruent	Problem in editing				It is supposed
	Forms	process was				to be
		organized				pengeditan
						bermasalah
						yang
						terstruktur.

No	Source Language		Target Language	Orientation	Value	Translation
						Result
1	Metaphorical	<u>I'd suggest</u>	Yang <u>saya anjurkan</u>	Subjective	Low	The
	Forms	abandoning the	<u>untuk</u> dipahami			interpersonal
		use of Wikipedia	mengenai kegunaan			metaphor is
			dari Wikipedia			relevant. But the
						word abandon is
						not equivalent to
						the source
						language.
	Congruent	People are				Abandon means
	Forms	conflicting due				meninggalkan
		to the article				
		editing from the				
		beginning of				
		Wikipedia				