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ABSTRACT

The rapid growth in Indonesia’s automotive industry makes it becomes a lucrative market for automaker companies. Of all Japanese car brands in Indonesia, ABC and XYZ are considered the biggest rivals. Hence, strong brand equity should be built, not only for distinguishing themselves from competitors, but also for stimulating consumers’ purchase intention. Consequently, this research aims to analyze the impact of brand equity towards purchase intention in automobile industry. This research uses Aaker’s brand equity theory, covering brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty. In this research, the writers use simple random sampling in which the population is Surabaya people who own or used to own both ABC and XYZ cars. 125 samples collected from the research are analyzed using Multiple Linear Regression Analysis and comparative analysis. The result shows that brand equity simultaneously has a significant influence towards purchase intention while only brand association and brand loyalty individually have a significant influence towards purchase intention. According to comparative analysis, ABC has a better brand association than XYZ, but it has lower brand loyalty than XYZ.
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INTRODUCTION

Indonesia has a very prospective market in the automotive industry, making it as the second largest automotive market in ASEAN (Gaikindo, 2014). Based on BPS (Badan Pusat Statistik), in the year of 2000, of 68 people there was one car owner. Meanwhile in 2010, of 27 people there was at least one car owner, which means that there was a significant growth in number of cars in Indonesia’s automotive market.
As there are more than 30 brands in the automotive industry in Indonesia, consumers have a higher degree of freedom and wider variety of choices for brands, which suit them the most. Hence, companies should build a strong brand in order to distinguish themselves in the tight competition. Campbell (2002) stated that brand equity is the added values, which have been gained by the effort of creating a strong brand. Meaning, one way a company can be distinguished is by having strong brand equity.

Looking at the importance of brand equity to help companies acknowledge their position in the consumers’ perceptions and win the tight competition in the industry, the writers would like to observe and analyze the importance of brand equity to purchase intention in the automotive industry, using a case study of ABC in Surabaya. Moreover, the writers will also compare the most influence element(s) of brand equity towards purchase intention in the automotive industry between the company and its closest competitor, XYZ.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Brand Equity

Although there are various definitions with different views of brand equity, all researchers mostly agree with the term “added value”. Aaker (1991; 2009) believed that “brand equity is a set of brand assets and liabilities linked to a brand; its name and symbol, which add to or subtract from the value provided by a product or service to a firm and/or to that firm’s customers”. It means that brand equity is the added value that bonds with consumers.

Brand equity generates value for the company and the consumers. It creates value to the consumers by giving information to consumers, giving confidence in making decision, reinforcing buying, and contributing to self-esteem, such as confidence in using the brands. Brand equity gives value to companies by increasing marketing efficiency and effectiveness, creating brand loyalty, increasing profit margins and winning over the competition (Bagozzi, 1998).

According to Aaker (1991; 2009), brand equity could be evaluated from brand loyalty, brand awareness, perceived quality, brand associations and other proprietary brand assets. Aaker categorized brand equity into two groups, which are consumer-based brand equity, consisting of the first four elements, and the second group is the other proprietary assets, such as patents, trademarks and channel relationships.

This paper focuses on consumer-based brand equity, which consists of brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality and brand loyalty.

Brand Awareness

Brand awareness relates to how strong a brand is in the memory of consumers (Aaker, 1991; 2009). Based on Kim and Kim (2004) brand awareness is the ability of a brand to appear in consumers mind when they are thinking about a category of a product. Brondoni (2001) believed that brand awareness becomes a crucial point of difference between a certain brand and its competitors.

Ukpebor and Ipogah (2008) believe that brand awareness can be determined as the degree of consumer’s familiarity towards a brand that can be measured through brand recognition, brand recall, and top of mind. Brand recognition is defined as consumers’ ability to remember a certain brand with the help of something or someone to remember it, such as logo, slogan, tagline, advertising and packaging which often called as aided recall. The purpose of brand recognition is to reassure the brand and help the brand to be recognized by other people.

Brand recall is defined when consumers can easily retrieve the brand of a category from their mind without any help or clue (unaided recall). The purpose of brand recall is to position the brand in consumers’ minds.

Finally, top of mind is defined as the first brand that appears in consumers’ minds when they think about a product/service category, as they know and familiar about the brand. The purpose of top of mind is to position the brand to be the first brand in consumers’ mind.

Brand Association

According to Aaker (1991; 2009), brand association is something in consumers’ minds or memories that connect to the brand including product attributes, consumers’ benefits, uses, life-styles, product classes, competitors and countries of origins. Brand associations can provide a point-of-different, purchase reasons, positive attitudes and feelings which may influence purchase behavior and satisfaction, reduce reasons to shift to other brands, and provide basis for brand loyalty (Aaker, 1991; Tuominen, 1999). Brand associations can be categorized into three types which are attributes, benefits and attitudes.

The first types of brand associations are brand attributes, which characterize a product or service. The attributes can be both product related attributes and non-product related attributes. Product related attributes are those related to a product’s physical composition or a service’s requirements, varying in product or service category. Non-product related attributes are the external aspects of the product or service related to its purchase including price information, user imaginary, usage imaginary, and packaging information (Keller, 1993; 1998). Price information refers consumers’ belief about the price and value they get from the brands. User imaginary
refers to the type of consumers use the brands. Usage imaginary refers to the ideas or type of situations in which the brand is used (Condor.depaul.edu, n.d.). Packaging information refers to information of the products in the packaging.

The second types of brand associations are brand benefits, meaning the associations of the brand is linked with the benefit of the brand itself which makes consumers attached to the brand. Benefits can be categorized into three types, functional benefits, experiential benefits, and symbolic benefits. Functional benefits are related with fairly basic motivations like safety needs or avoidance. Experiential benefits are related to how consumers feel when the products or services are being used. Symbolic benefits are the extrinsic benefits of products or service usage which are related to the needs for social approval or personal expression such as prestige and exclusivity (Keller, 1993; Keller, 1998).

The last type and the most abstract types of brand associations is brand attitudes, which are consumers’ complete evaluations of a brand. Brand attitudes provide the basis for consumers’ action and behavior related to the brand depending on the attributes and benefits of the brand itself (Keller, 1993; Keller, 1998).

Perceived Quality

Lee (2011) stated that perceived quality was the consumers’ judgments and perceptions on overall excellence or superiority of a product or service compared to others. Lee also believed that consumers face difficulties in assessing the correct judgment about a product; thus, perceived quality is formed to judge the overall quality of a product. Perceived quality enables a brand to provide purchase reasons for their consumers and create a strong aspect to differentiate and position its product or service. Perceived quality is useful for the companies as it enables the brand to charge a premium price, attract new consumers, and allow brand extensions (Aaker, 1991; 2009).

According to David A. Garvin (in Vantamay, 2007), there are seven dimensions of perceived quality, which are performance (the primary functioning characteristics of the product), features (the secondary elements of products giving additional function to the product), conformance with specifications (zero defect of the products), reliability (the steadiness of performance in every transaction), durability (how durable the product is), serviceability (how good the services are being provided to the consumers), and fit and finish (how consumers feel and judge the quality of the products or services given).

Brand Loyalty

Aaker (1991) defined brand loyalty as a measurement of how consumers’ bond to a brand. Brand loyalty reflects whether consumers will change to other brand due to changing of prices or features. According to Sadat (2009), brand loyalty relates to consumers’ strong commitments to subscribe or purchase the same brand again in the future. It affects consumers’ vulnerability to shift its competitors. A consumer is considered loyal to a brand when they do not easily shift a brand to another, even when the brand is not available in the store (Jalilvand, Samiei & Mahdavinia, 2011). Brand loyalty can also be shown by spreading the positive words and recommendation (2012 Brand Loyalty Survey, 2012). Brand loyalty is essential as it provides the basis and motivation for consumers to buy the brand again in the future (Ahmed & Moosavi, 2013). Kotler and Keller (2006) measure brand loyalty through repeat purchase (consumers’ loyalty towards a certain brand), retention (consumers’ resistance towards the brand), and referrals (consumers’ willingness to recommend the brand). Jalilvand, Samiei and Mahdavinia (2011) measures through consumers’ first choice, preference, and unwillingness to shift to other brands. Agusli and Sondang (2013) measure through positive wording, recommendation, and repeated usage towards a brand.

Keller (1998) believed that loyalty is attached to consumer behavior to repurchase the brand as their first choice. Hence, it can be said that a brand should be able to become consumers’ primary choice so that consumers will repurchase the product. By having a brand loyalty, company can cut marketing costand increase the number of purchase.

Purchase Intention

According to Howard (1991, p. 41), purchase intention is closely related to consumers’ plans in making actual purchase in certain period of time. Purchase intention also can be described as the urge or motivation arising from consumers’ minds to purchase a certain brand after they evaluate it, before deciding to make any purchase based on their needs, attitudes, and perceptions towards the brands (Mc Carthy, 2002, p.298; Durianto & Liana, 2004, p. 44; Madahi & Sukati, 2012).

In marketing a brand, purchase intention is considered as a crucial factor to know and predict the consumer behavior (Durianto & Liana, 2004). It is also proven by some researches (Pi et al., 2011; Pavlou & Gefen, 2004) that purchase intention acts as an important factor influencing the actual final purchase and may lead to repeat buying in the future. Tariq et al., (2013) argued that purchase intention is a multi-step process. First, consumers collect any related information regarding their desired brand. Then, they will start to evaluate it by using its
attributes since they have experienced the product and have complete product knowledge about the product. If the product suits them, they will purchase it again in the future.

Purchase intention includes two perspectives, from new consumers and from current consumers. Purchase intention from new consumers is used to form brand choices and know consumers behavior (Rizwan et al., n.d.). Purchase intention from current buyers is more to predict consumers’ satisfaction and future sales once the consumers find out that the brands suits them and worth buying (Madahi & Sukati, 2012). This research focuses in observing purchase intention on future sales of current consumers.

According to Hosein (2012), consumers purchase intention can be measured by using interest, attending, and information. Interest is consumers’ feelings towards a brand that influences them to purchase. Attending is the attendance of consumers in exhibitions and other supporting events that influence them to purchase. Information is any additional knowledge and facts about the brand gathered by the consumers and make them consider to purchase it.

**Relationship Between Concepts**

Many empirical studies have indicated that brand equity has an impact towards the purchase intention (Ashill & Sinha, 2004; Chang & Lie, 2009). Aaker (1991; 2009) also stated that brand equity has a positive impact towards the consumers purchase intention. According to Aaker (1991; 2009) and Keller (1993; 2003), brand equity’s elements (e.g. brand awareness, brand associations, perceived quality, brand loyalty) influence the consumers purchase intention.

Brand awareness plays an important role in consumers purchase intention as it can increase the familiarity of consumers towards the brand, which can then lead to possible purchase. Brand associations affect the basis for purchase intention towards a brand as it helps consumers to obtain information, distinguish the brand, generates reasons to purchase, and creates positive values/attitudes to the firms and their consumers. Similarly, perceived quality also provides values to consumers as it can be the point of differentiation and reason to purchase. Finally, brand loyalty will make consumers attached to the brand. Consumers do not only repeat buying but also make them invulnerable to any brand changes such as change of price or product features.

All these four dimensions are the construct of the brand equity. Therefore, brand equity will also significantly influence purchase intention depending on the four dimensions (Jalilvand, Samiei & Mahdavinia, 2011).

The writers has developed two hypotheses, which are:
- ABC’s brand equity simultaneously has a significant influence towards purchase intention
- ABC’s brand equity individually has a significant influence toward purchase intention

**RESEARCH METHOD**

This research aims to analyze the impacts of brand equity elements towards purchase intention in the case of ABC in Surabaya. Therefore, The writers use both descriptive and causal studies as their research methods. The writers apply descriptive study for profiling respondents’ data and causal studies for answering the research questions regarding the impacts of brand equity towards purchase intention. The two hypotheses that have been developed are also part of causal study.

The dependent variable in this research is purchase intention, which can be evaluated from these statements:
- Because I am interested in ABC (XYZ) car, I will buy it in the future
- If I want to buy a car in the future, I will come to ABC (XYZ) showroom
- If I want to buy a car, I will gather information about ABC (XYZ)

In this research, the independent variable is the elements of brand equity which are brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty.

Below are the items to measure brand awareness.
- I have ever heard about ABC (XYZ)
- I know how ABC (XYZ) cars look like
- When talking about automobiles, I can remember ABC (XYZ)
- When talking about automobiles, ABC (XYZ) becomes my top of mind

Below are the items to measure brand association.
- ABC (XYZ) cars’ features fulfill my needs
- ABC (XYZ) car is worth buying
- I think ABC (XYZ) car is only for medium up class people
- I can use ABC (XYZ) car as my daily car
- ABC (XYZ) provides technology in terms of safety and security
When I drive ABC (XYZ) car, it makes me feel comfortable.
Driving ABC (XYZ) car gives me high prestige.
I am interested in ABC (XYZ) car because of its features.

Below are the items to measure perceived quality.
ABC (XYZ) cars have excellent performances.
Cars from ABC (XYZ) have excellent features.
The specifications of ABC (XYZ) cars match with the promised ones.
ABC (XYZ) always gives perfect and qualified cars in the transaction.
ABC (XYZ) cars are durable.
ABC (XYZ) sales promotion staff provide excellent services towards their consumers.
Overall, I am satisfied with the car that I received from ABC (XYZ).

Below are the items to measure brand loyalty.
I can easily replace ABC (XYZ) with another brand.
When the ABC (XYZ) car that I want is not available, I will buy a car of another brand.
I buy ABC (XYZ) car because its dealers are everywhere.
Since I get a lot of benefits from ABC (XYZ) car, I will purchase ABC (XYZ) car again.
I will recommend ABC (XYZ) cars to other people.

In this research, the writers used nominal and ordinal data. Nominal data are used for the classification questions of the respondents such as gender, grouping of job positions, living area, ABC’s main competitor, and ABC and XYZ cars that respondents have or had. While for the ordinal data are used for age group and income group. As for the main questionnaires, the writers use Likert-scale as their rating scales, starting from strongly disagree up to strongly agree in each statement.

The population in this study is represented by people who own or used to own both ABC and XYZ cars in Surabaya. The population is chosen as this research aims to identify the brand equity of ABC towards XYZ, their biggest rival. Thus, the target respondents or samples are those among the population. In doing this research, the writers use simple random sampling from probability sampling. The writers try to be as random as possible in choosing the sample by distributing questionnaires in all areas in Surabaya. The writers distribute questionnaires to those who attend or come to ABC’s exhibition at shopping malls and ABC’s main dealers. Moreover, the writers also distribute questionnaires to shopping malls and random places, such as churches and universities.

Ghozali (p. 52, 2013) mentioned that validity is useful in measuring how valid a questionnaire used in a test. Validity can be measured by comparing the value of r calculated from the questionnaire results (r-data) with the r-value in the table (r-table). The r-table can be seen from the 2-tailed table with degree of freedom (df) = n-2, n as the number of samples. In SPSS, the r-data can be seen in Cronbach Alpha, located in Correlated Item – Total Correlation column. If the r-data is higher than r-table and the value is positive, then the indicators of a variable can be said as valid.

According to Cooper & Schindler (2011), reliability is concerned with the estimation of degrees to which a measurement is free of random or unstable error. According to Ghozali (2013), measuring reliability can be calculated by using Cronbach Alpha in SPSS. The Cronbach’s Alpha value ranges from 0 to 1. The closer the value to 1, the higher the internal consistency reliability. The indicators can be said reliable to represent the variable if the Cronbach Alpha is higher than 0.7 (Ghozali, 2013). This research applies the one-shot measure using the Cronbach Alpha in measuring the reliability.

Since the objective of this research is to analyze the relationship between the elements of brand equity towards purchase intention, the writers used multiple linear regression analysis, with this equation in figure 2:

\[ Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_k x_k + \varepsilon_i \]

Where:
\[ Y_i \] = The i-th observation of the dependent variable.
\[ \beta_0 \] = Constant value (the value of Y when all X equals to zero).
\[ x_i \] = The i-th observation of the independent variable.
\[ \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k \] = The slope coefficients for each of the independent variables.
\[ \varepsilon_i \] = The error term in i-th observation (normally assumed to be zero).

In the multiple regression analysis, the writers focused on the result of Adjusted \( R^2 \), F-test and t-test.

Coefficient of determination or Adjusted \( R^2 \) measures how well the regression model explains about the variation of dependent variable (Ghozali, 2013). The closer Adjusted \( R^2 \) value to 1, the better the independent variables can predict the dependent variables.
The F-test basically shows whether all independent variables used in the regression model simultaneously have impacts toward dependent variable (Ghozali, 2013). This research used 95% confidence level in which the significance level is 5%. In this research, the hypothesis that will be tested using the F-test is shown below:

\[ H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_k = 0 \]
\[ H_1: \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \ldots \neq \beta_k \neq 0 \]

According to Ghozali (2013), testing the hypothesis can be done by comparing the significance to significance level (\( \alpha \)) or comparing the F value to F table. If the significance is less than 0.05 significance level or F value is higher than F table, H0 should be rejected, which indicates that the independent variables simultaneously influence the dependent variable.

The t-test shows how far the influence of the independent variables individually explains the dependent variable (Ghozali, 2013). In this research, the hypothesis that will be tested using the t-test is shown below:

\[ H_0: \beta_k = 0 \]
\[ H_1: \beta_k \neq 0 \]

According to Ghozali (2013), testing the hypothesis can be done by comparing the significance to significance level (\( \alpha \)) or comparing t-test statistic to t-table (t-critical value). If the significance is less than 0.05 or t-test statistic value is greater or lower than \( \pm t \)-table, H1 is accepted. It indicates that the independent variables individually have an influence towards the dependent variable.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlated Item</th>
<th>Total Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AW1</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW2</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW3</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW4</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlated Item</th>
<th>Total Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS1</td>
<td>0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS2</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS3</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS4</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS5</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS6</td>
<td>0.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS7</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS8</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlated Item</th>
<th>Total Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PQ1</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ2</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Validity Statistic of Brand Awareness**

**Table 2. Validity Statistic of Brand Association**

**Table 3. Validity Statistic of Perceived Quality**

**Table 4. Validity Statistic of Brand Loyalty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlated Item</th>
<th>Total Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL1</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL2</td>
<td>0.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL3</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL4</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL5</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5. Validity Statistic of Purchase Intention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlated Item</th>
<th>Total Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI1</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI2</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Ghozali (2013), validity test can be conducted by comparing the value of r calculated from the questionnaire results (r-data) with the r-value in the table (r-table). This research uses 125 samples, thus r-table used is 0.1757. From table 1 until table 5, it can be seen that all the Correlated Item – Total Correlation (r-data) are higher than 0.1757 (r-table), indicating that all the indicators used to explain brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, brand loyalty, and purchase intention are valid.

**Table 6. Reliability Statistic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Ghozali (2013), the indicators are considered reliable to represent the variables when the value of Cronbach’s Alpha is higher than 0.7. Table 6 indicates that all Cronbachs’ Alpha are higher than 0.7, indicating that all indicators are reliable to represent the variables.

**Table 7. ANOVA Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.355</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 shows whether the independent variables simultaneously have an influence toward the dependent variable. According to F-test shown in table 7, it can be seen that the significance F in the Sig. column shows value of 0.000, which means it is way lower than 0.05 significance level. Moreover, the F value shown in the
The result of this research shows brand equity simultaneously has an influence towards purchase intention, which is inline with the previous relevant research (Jalilvand, Samiei & Mahdavinia, 2011; Agusli & Sondang, 2013; Astuti & Kurnianto, 2013).

Table 8 shows whether the independent variables individually have an influence toward the dependent variable. According to t-test, individual variable (e.g. brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty) has a significant influence toward the dependent variable (purchase intention) when the significant t is less than significance level (0.05) and t-test statistic value is greater than or lower than ± t-table (± 1.979).

As shown in table 8, only brand association and brand loyalty that significantly influence purchase intention as the t-critical values is greater than 1.979 and significance t is lower than 0.05. Hence, it can be concluded that only brand association and brand loyalty that are confirmed to individually have a significant influence towards purchase intention of ABC, while brand awareness and perceived quality are confirmed not to have a significant influence toward purchase intention of ABC.

However, this research shows some differences compared to the previous relevant research. Both Jalilvand, Samiei, and Mahdavinia (2011) and Astuti and Kurnianto (2013) indicate that all elements of brand equity individually has a significant influence on purchase intention, while this research indicates that only brand association and brand loyalty individually influence purchase intention. This difference may occur because of different countries and different industries. According to Jalilvand, Samiei, and Mahdavinia (2011), “further quantitative research is needed to identify the determinants of brand equity using cross-country applications to predict purchase behavior in various contexts”. It means their research might have different results from other research due to different countries and different industries. Moreover, different country will have different culture that may lead to different consumers’ buying behavior (Bhasin, 2014). The result of brand association and brand loyalty which individually influence purchase intention is similar to previous relevant research (Jalilvand, Samiei & Mahdavinia, 2011; Agusli & Sondang, 2013; Astuti & Kurnianto, 2013).

The result of this research shows that brand association and brand loyalty have a significant influence on purchase intention. According to Chatterjee (2012), car brand is considered as one of status symbols in Indonesia. Indonesian people care about how others see them and concern their prestige when they purchase a car. As a result, prestige is perceived as an important factor in purchasing a car. As prestige is one of the indicators in brand association, this finding supports the result of this research result in which brand association has a significant influence on purchase intention.

Brand loyalty is also proven significantly to influence purchase intention. In the automobile industry, Indonesian consumers can be categorized loyal to a brand, especially when they already trust and like the brand (BMW: Indonesia Lebih Setia Daripada Cina, 2013).

In contrast to brand association and brand loyalty that significantly influence purchase intention, brand awareness and perceived quality are shown insignificant in influencing purchase intention.

The result of this research shows that brand awareness does not have any significant influence towards purchase intention. As indicated by Lenskold (2011) and Gordon (2014), there is a chance that brand awareness individually does not influence purchase intention and drive sales, though it is still believed to take significant part together with other elements to drive purchase intention. According to Gordon (2014), being aware of a brand may not drive purchase intention if it is not combined with its unique characteristics that differentiate the brand with other brands.

Perceived quality is confirmed does not have any significant influence towards purchase intention in this research. The possible reason behind this result may be because most Indonesian consumers do not have a lot of knowledge of cars. A research of J.D. Power Asia Pasific in 2011, 50% of Indonesian consumers do not have enough knowledge of the technology and features used in a car (Akib, 2011). Thus, it can be seen that perceived quality does not have a significant influence towards car purchase intention in Indonesia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg_ABC_aw</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.495</td>
<td>.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg_ABC_as</td>
<td>.294</td>
<td>2.608</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg_ABC_pq</td>
<td>.191</td>
<td>1.829</td>
<td>.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg_ABC_bl</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>3.113</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 shows whether the independent variables individually have an influence toward the dependent variable. According to t-test, individual variable (e.g. brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty) has a significant influence toward the dependent variable (purchase intention) when the significant t is less than significance level (0.05) and t-test statistic value is greater than or lower than ± t-table (± 1.979).

As shown in table 8, only brand association and brand loyalty that significantly influence purchase intention as the t-critical values is greater than 1.979 and significance t is lower than 0.05. Hence, it can be concluded that only brand association and brand loyalty that are confirmed to individually have a significant influence towards purchase intention of ABC, while brand awareness and perceived quality are confirmed not to have a significant influence toward purchase intention of ABC.

However, this research shows some differences compared to the previous relevant research. Both Jalilvand, Samiei, and Mahdavinia (2011) and Astuti and Kurnianto (2013) indicate that all elements of brand equity individually has a significant influence on purchase intention, while this research indicates that only brand association and brand loyalty individually influence purchase intention. This difference may occur because of different countries and different industries. According to Jalilvand, Samiei, and Mahdavinia (2011), “further quantitative research is needed to identify the determinants of brand equity using cross-country applications to predict purchase behavior in various contexts”. It means their research might have different results from other research due to different countries and different industries. Moreover, different country will have different culture that may lead to different consumers’ buying behavior (Bhasin, 2014). The result of brand association and brand loyalty which individually influence purchase intention is similar to previous relevant research (Jalilvand, Samiei & Mahdavinia, 2011; Agusli & Sondang, 2013; Astuti & Kurnianto, 2013).

The result of this research shows that brand association and brand loyalty have a significant influence on purchase intention. According to Chatterjee (2012), car brand is considered as one of status symbols in Indonesia. Indonesian people care about how others see them and concern their prestige when they purchase a car. As a result, prestige is perceived as an important factor in purchasing a car. As prestige is one of the indicators in brand association, this finding supports the result of this research result in which brand association has a significant influence on purchase intention.

Brand loyalty is also proven significantly to influence purchase intention. In the automobile industry, Indonesian consumers can be categorized loyal to a brand, especially when they already trust and like the brand (BMW: Indonesia Lebih Setia Daripada Cina, 2013).

In contrast to brand association and brand loyalty that significantly influence purchase intention, brand awareness and perceived quality are shown insignificant in influencing purchase intention.

The result of this research shows that brand awareness does not have any significant influence towards purchase intention. As indicated by Lenskold (2011) and Gordon (2014), there is a chance that brand awareness individually does not influence purchase intention and drive sales, though it is still believed to take significant part together with other elements to drive purchase intention. According to Gordon (2014), being aware of a brand may not drive purchase intention if it is not combined with its unique characteristics that differentiate the brand with other brands.

Perceived quality is confirmed does not have any significant influence towards purchase intention in this research. The possible reason behind this result may be because most Indonesian consumers do not have a lot of knowledge of cars. A research of J.D. Power Asia Pasific in 2011, 50% of Indonesian consumers do not have enough knowledge of the technology and features used in a car (Akib, 2011). Thus, it can be seen that perceived quality does not have a significant influence towards car purchase intention in Indonesia.

Table 9. Adjusted R Square Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.662</td>
<td>.438</td>
<td>.419</td>
<td>.50080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9 shows how well the regression model explains about the variation of dependent variable. The higher the value of adjusted R², the better the independent variables in explaining the dependent variable.

Based on table 9, it can be seen that the adjusted R Square is 0.419. This indicates that 41.9% of the variance in purchase intention of ABC cars can be explained by the variance of the elements of brand equity (e.g. brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty), taking into account the sample size and independent variables.

Besides, this also indicates that there are 58.1% other factors that cannot be explained in this research but have significant impacts towards purchase intention in the automobile industry.

Internet holds such a crucial role in giving information to consumers when they want to buy cars. According to research conducted by Netpop and Google, 96% of prospective buyers in Indonesia will seek information through online research before they go to the car dealers (Saputra, 2013; Mangalandum, 2013). The research also found out that 44% chance that prospective buyers shift to other car brands after they receive information from the internet (Saputra, 2013; Mangalandum, 2013). Hence, based on the research, it can be implied that internet plays an important role in influencing car purchase intention as it provides information, testimonials, photos, and videos.

Another factor might come from promotions and credit installment. Promotions, such as free annual service, free body paint, and other services are provided in order to boost the purchase intention of consumers. Besides providing free services, ease in the transaction such as providing light installment and low down payment (dp) is also done (Kurniawan, 2013). According to Davy Tuilan, Director of Marketing and Development PT. Suzuki Indomobil Sales (SIS), interest rate (BI-rate) plays an important role in purchase intention, especially in the automobile industry (Kurniawan, 2013). The higher the interest rate, the heavier consumers will bear the installment. Therefore, it can be implied that promotions and credit installment may influence car purchase intention.

The other factor that may have influence towards purchase intention is the car resale value. In Indonesia, people often buy second-hand cars as the price is lower and more negotiable (Serial Area Perdagangan: Nensy: Rejeki Manis Mobil Bekas, 2013). As the demand for second-hand cars in Indonesia is high, cars are often considered one of the forms of investment. Therefore, the writers believe that the resale value can influence car purchase intention.

Finally, another factor influencing purchase intention is the social factor, including reference groups and family. Reference group is defined as a group/people whom an individual looks for the basis for self-behavior (Howkins & Mothersbaugh, p.227, 2010). Meanwhile, a family, consisting of parents, siblings, spouses, and children, have the biggest influence on consumer behavior (Kotler & Keller, 2012; Konecnik Ruzzier, Ruzzier & Hisrich (p. 102, 2014). Since purchasing a car is not the same as purchasing consumer goods, consumers are likely to use the opinion from the family and their reference groups as the basis for the purchase. Thus, the writers believe that the social factor, consisting of family and reference group, may have a significant influence on car purchase intention.

Furthermore, the writers would like to analyze and compare the elements of brand equity of ABC and XYZ. From the multiple regression analysis that has been done before, it can be concluded that there are two elements of brand equity of ABC that are significant, which are brand association and brand loyalty. XYZ plays as the benchmark of this research, the analysis below will focus on the significant elements of ABC’s brand equity. The writers compare the average value between ABC and XYZ in order to gain deep analysis about the competition.

Table 10. Summary of Average Value of ABC and XYZ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Average Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>XYZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product-related attributes</td>
<td>3.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-product related attributes (Price)</td>
<td>3.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-product related attributes (User imaginary)</td>
<td>3.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-product related attributes (Usage imaginary)</td>
<td>4.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Benefit</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiential Benefit</td>
<td>3.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Benefit</td>
<td>3.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>3.552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Summary of Average Value of ABC and XYZ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Average Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>XYZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwillingness to Shift to Other Brands</td>
<td>3.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference</td>
<td>3.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat Purchase</td>
<td>3.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>3.624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the table 10 and table 11, it can be seen that in the brand association, ABC is behind XYZ in terms of product-related attributes, non-product attributes (user imagery), and functional benefit while ABC wins over XYZ in terms of non-product attributes (price and usage imagery), experiential benefit, symbolic benefit, and attitude. In terms of brand loyalty, all indicators for ABC are below XYZ, indicating that ABC lacks in providing loyalty program to its consumers. To sum up, ABC has a better brand association than XYZ, but it lacks in loyalty from the consumers.

**CONCLUSION**

The writers have gathered 138 questionnaires; however, only 125 questionnaires are valid and used for this research. The result of the reliability and validity test shows that all indicators used in representing the variables are reliable and valid. This research aims to determine whether ABC’s brand equity leads to purchase intention and to determine which element(s) of ABC’s brand equity have the biggest influence on purchase intention. Furthermore, the writers also conducted the comparative analysis between the significant element(s) of ABC and XYZ in order to gain deep analysis about the competition.

Based on the multiple regression test, brand equity (brand awareness, brand association, perceived quality, and brand loyalty) simultaneously has significant influence towards purchase intention. Moreover, it is found that only two out of four elements of brand equity individually have a significant influence towards purchase intention, namely brand association and brand loyalty whereas brand awareness and perceived quality do not have significant influence on purchase intention.

Finally, the differences of significant elements between ABC and XYZ can be found from the comparative analysis. The result of comparative analysis indicates that ABC has a better brand association than XYZ as the indicators of non-product attributes (price and usage imaginary), experiential benefit, symbolic benefit, and attitude are higher than XYZ. However, in terms of brand loyalty, ABC is below XYZ, proven by all indicators’ values of brand loyalty of ABC which are less than those of XYZ.

Realizing that this research is still far away from perfection, the writers noticed several limitations that should be noted while composing this research. The first limitation is limited respondents. The respondents in this research are limited to those who own or used to own both ABC and XYZ. Though the number of samples have already fulfilled the requirement (90 samples), the sample gathered in this research may be too few to represent the actual perceptions of a car brand.

The second limitation is limited coverage area of the research. This research only covers Surabaya area where ABC Surabaya Center is located. However, ABC Surabaya Center also supervises some areas, such as East Java, Bali, and Lombok. Hence, the result and findings of this research may be applicable in Surabaya area only, while there may be difference results for other areas.

The third limitation is that the result and findings cannot be generalized. Though this research is conducted in the automobile industry, this research only compares two well-known car brands in Indonesia, namely ABC and XYZ. As a result, the result and findings of this research may not be generalized and applied for all car brands since the respondents involved limited to those who own or used to own both ABC and XYZ.

Finally, the last limitation is respondents’ honesty, memory, and reluctance. In gathering the respondents for this research, the writers have to find those who own or used to own both ABC and XYZ. However, the honesty of the respondents who say that they own or used to own both ABC and XYZ cannot be proven. Moreover, even though the respondents have honestly admitted that they own or used to own both car brands, the respondents may forget or carelessly answer the questionnaires. The respondents might experience ABC and XYZ long time ago, thus they may forget about the cars, especially when they have sold it. Finally, even though the respondents remember the cars they own or used to own, they may be reluctant to fill out the questionnaires wholeheartedly. To sum up, the writers believe that respondents’ honesty, memory, and reluctance is one of the limitations in this research.

Realizing the limitations, the writers propose some suggestions that might be useful for conducting further researches. The first suggestion is to increase the number of respondents. Increasing the number of samples will lead to a better result, since it can represent the whole population. Not to mention more respondents will create a more accurate result. The second suggestion is to enlarge the area of the sample. Covering other areas beside Surabaya will also create a better result for the research that might be applicable not only in Surabaya, but also in other areas. By expanding the location for the research, it also will ease the further researchers to find more number of samples.

Finally, the third suggestion is to set a time frame for the respondents. As mentioned before that respondents’ honesty, memory and reluctance are barriers for the writers to get accurate yet reliable answers, the future researchers can set a time frame for the respondents in filling out the questionnaires. For
instance, the researchers should find people who own or ever owned ABC and XYZ cars within five years.
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