

Preparation of Sulfonated Poly (ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene-graft-styrene) Based Polymer Electrolyte Membranes for Fuel Cell by using Gamma Irradiation Technique

A. Suliwarno*

Center for the Application of Isotopes and Radiation Technology, National Nuclear Energy Agency
Jl. Lebak Bulus Raya No. 49, Jakarta 12440, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 November 2011

Received in revised form 28 March 2012

Accepted 30 April 2012

Keywords:

ETFE

Graft irradiation

Styrene

Electrolyte

Membrane

Fuel cell

ABSTRACT

Poly (ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene-graft-styrene-sulfonate) was successfully prepared by irradiation grafting of a styrene monomer into ethylenetetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) films and followed by sulfonation of the graft chains. Five film sheets of ETFE which having a size of 3 cm × 8 cm, thickness of 25 μm were packed in vacuum using a Y type tube filled with argon gas. The samples were irradiated using γ-rays with a dose of 15 kGy at 15 kGy/h. The irradiated samples were grafted by using styrene monomer at 60°C for 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 h, respectively. The grafted samples (ETFE-g-St) were washed with xylene to remove homopolymer of polystyrene, and then its degree of grafting (DG) was determined. Sulfonation was carried out by using 0.2 M chlorosulfonic acid in dichloroethane solution at a water bath of 60°C for 6 h. Sulfonated samples (ETFE-g-St-SO₃H) were washed with water to neutralize its acidity, and dried in a vacuum drier at 40°C for 15 h. The dried sample was weighed as sulfonated sample in order to determine the degree of sulfonation (%DS). Membrane properties of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H sample such as ion exchange capacity (IEC), proton conductivity in cross direction (σ_1), and in-plane direction (σ_{11}) were determined by using a HIOKI-3522 LCR HI tester. Durability test of samples were conducted in hot water and 3% H₂O₂ respectively. The proton conductivity measurement and durability of the membrane were compared to Nafion 112® as standard. The experimental results showed that the degree of grafting was increased with time of reaction for copolymerization, while %DS gave excellent results with an average of 92.7%. The IEC value was more affected by the %DG as compared to that of %DS effect. The average of σ_1 value of the sample was 0.055 S/cm, compared to σ_1 of Nafion112® that was 0.066 S/cm. The average of σ_{11} of the sample was 0.18 S/cm, while the σ_{11} of Nafion 112® was = 0.36 S/cm. The sample of SA004 with 53.49% DG was more stable than both the SA001 (21.48% DG) and SA003 (41.52% DG) in durability's test of the samples against hot water (334 h resistance). On the peroxides test, all of samples were degraded at 114 h.

© 2012 Atom Indonesia. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION


Fuel cell is an electrochemical device that directly converts fuel such as H₂ (g) or methanol into an electrical current. It generates electricity inside a cell through reactions between a fuel and an oxidant. Fuel cells can operate continuously as long as the necessary reactant and oxidant flows are maintained. Many combinations of fuels and oxidants are possible, for example a hydrogen fuel cell uses hydrogen as fuel and oxygen as oxidant.

In a typical fuel cell, it consists of three main part namely the anode (negative electrode), electrolyte layer and the cathode (positive electrode) [1]. The electrolyte layer or electrolyte membrane can be made from modified polymer films which is synthesized such as by irradiation copolymerization method. The basic principle of this method is a hydrophobic polymer which has inductive effects such as fluorocarbon polymer that is grafted with hydrophobic monomer, then is followed by selective sulfonation of the grafted sample. Polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) from sulfonated graft sample will attract the hydrogen ions, because its have the negative pole (SO₃H) on the end of grafted

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ambyahs@batan.go.id

chain. Radiation graft copolymerization of styrene onto ETFE film with reaction mechanism of the sample is as follow;



Degree of grafting (DG) of ETFE-g-St was determined by the equation as follows [2,3];


$$DG (\%) = 100 \times \frac{W_g - W_o}{W_o} \quad (1)$$

where :

W_o = initial weight of film,

W_g = weight of film after grafting.

Sulfonation of grafted sample is defined a chemical reaction involving the incorporated of sulfonic acid group, $-SO_3H$. The aim of this process is to made the cation exchanger sample due to the $-SO_3H$ group. For the grafted sample were readily sulfonated in next process, the sulfonates product behave as strong-acid ion exchangers [4]. The sulfonation process was illustrated with a reaction as follows;




The Ion exchange capacity (IEC) of membrane is defined as the total number of chemical equivalents available for exchange per some unit weight or unit volume. IEC is the most important property of membrane in its application. The ideal fuel cell membranes should have low cost (less than $\$10kW^{-1}$ in PEMFC), high ion exchange capacity, good chemical stability, and maintain mechanical integrity in hot water [5].

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Materials

Twenty five micrometer thick poly ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) films that was supplied by Asahi Glass Co. Ltd. were used as the base films for the preparation of polymer electrolyte membrane. This was done by irradiation grafting using styrene monomer from Wako Chemistry, and xylene for dilution of the polystyrene homopolymer. The chlorosulfonic acid and dichloroethane were used for sulfonation process, while NaCl 3 M solution, HCl 0.1 M solution, and Na OH 0.1 M solution were used for determination of IEC membrane. According to IEC measurement, the following cationic exchange mechanisms between H^+ and Na^+ were shown in these reactions:



IEC was calculated by using titration results as follows;

$$IEC = 1000 \times \frac{M_{NaOH} \times V_{NaOH}}{W_{as}}, \text{ mmol/g} \quad (2)$$

M_{NaOH} = Concentration of Na OH solution. (0.1)

V_{NaOH} = Volume of Na OH solution used in titration, ml

W_{as} = Weight of membrane after sulfonation, mg

Method

The experiment was conducted at the laboratory of Conducting Polymer Materials Group, Environment and Industrial Materials Research Division, Quantum Beam Science Directorate, Takasaki Advanced Radiation Research Institute-Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Japan. Five sheets of ETFE films (3 cm \times 8 cm, 25 μ m thickness) were packed in a vacuumized Y type tube (Fig. 1.), then argon gas was filled into the tube. These samples were irradiated using γ -rays with an absorbed dose of 15 kGy at 15 kGy/h. After irradiation, the films were grafted by adding styrene monomer into the tube on water bath at 60°C for 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 h. The grafted samples were washed with toluene to remove the polystyrene homopolymer, then washed in water subsequently dried in a vacuum oven at 40°C for 16 h.




Fig. 1. The Y type tube (left), the main hole use for vacuum and filling argon gas, the side hole for adding the monomer and other I type tube (right [8]).

Sulfonation of grafted sample

Sulfonation was carried out by using 0.2 M chlorosulfonic acid in dichloroethane solution on a water bath at 60°C for 6 h. The sulfonated samples were washed with water until the pH of the water was 7, and dried in a vacuum drier at 40°C for 15 h, then weighed.

Ion exchange capacity (IEC)

In order to determine IEC, the EFTE-g-St-SO₃H membrane was immersed in 3M NaCl aq. solution in a closed bottle, and then was shaken using TAITEC Recipro Shaker RN-1 over night. After taking out the membrane, the solution was titrated with 0.1 N NaOH solutions by using an automatic titrator HIRANUMA COM-2000 apparatus.

The Degree of sulfonation is defined as the comparison between sulfonated results and the 100% sulfonation which is determined by using the above titration factors in the following equation:

$$\text{Degree of sulfonation} = \frac{M_{\text{NaOH}} \times V_{\text{NaOH}}}{\text{DG}} \times 100 \times \frac{\text{DG}}{(100+\text{DG})} / M_s \quad (3)$$

Where:

DG = Degree of grafting, %

W_g = Weight of grafted membrane, g

M_{St} = Molecular weight of styrene (104).

Proton conductivity measurement

Proton conductivity is defined as the capability of material (usually solid electrolyte) to transfer a number of hydrogen ions (protons). The proton conductivity of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H at 25°C was obtained by using HIOKI-3522 LCR HI tester apparatus. The films were hydrated in water overnight before measurement, and clamped between two Pt electrodes during measurement.

The proton conductivity of the film in the in-plane direction (σ_{11}) is calculated by using the following equation [6]:

$$\sigma_{11} \text{ [S/cm]} = \frac{W \text{ [cm]}}{A[1/S] \times L \times d[\text{cm}]} \quad (4)$$

where :

A[1/S] is the measured resistance of the film ,
W = (0.33 cm) is the gap length between two electrodes,

L [cm] is the film width, and d (cm) is the film thickness.




Fig. 2. Schematic method of measurement ion conductivity in the in-plan direction.

The ion conductivity of the film in the vertical/cross direction (σ_1) is calculated by utilizing the following equation:

$$\sigma_1 \text{ [S/cm]} = \frac{d \text{ [cm]}}{B[1/S] \times S \text{ [cm}^2\text{]}} \quad (5)$$

Where:

B [1/S] is resistance of the film,

d (cm) is the film thickness,

S (cm²) is the area of the film contacting the electrode. Here S (cm²) is calculated from the measured resistance C [1/S] of Nafion whose ion conductivity is known at

$$\sigma_1 = (0.066) \frac{1}{S}, \text{ using the following equation:}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S \text{ [cm}^2\text{]} &= \frac{d_{\text{Nafion}} \text{ [cm]}}{C \text{ [1/S]} \times \sigma_1 \text{ [1/S]}} \\ &= \frac{0.0060 \text{ [cm]}}{9.1 \text{ [1/S]} \times 0.066 \text{ [1/S]}} \\ &= 0.0100 \text{ cm}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$




Fig. 3. Schematic method of measurement ion conductivity in the cross direction.

Durability of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H membrane against hydrogen peroxide and hot water

The durability of the membrane against peroxide was investigated as a degradation factor of polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) because it played an important role in the application of the membrane. During the durability tests, three sheets of poly (ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethylene) films grafted with copoly (p-sulfo styrene) sulfonate (ETFE-g-St-SO₃H), namely SA003, SA005, and SA007, which have grafting degrees of 69.3%, 30.0% and 51.7% respectively, were immersed in 3% H₂O₂ aqueous solution at 60°C. After several hours, the membrane samples were taken out from the solution, and weighed after wiping out the remaining water on the surfaces. The immersion process of samples was continued until a constant weight of samples were achieved. For durability in hot water, the same samples mentioned above were immersed in H₂O and kept at 80°C. With the same manners, the weights of these samples were determined after immersion for several hours until a constant weight was found.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Radiation graft copolymerization styrene onto ETFE film

One of the first experiments was done in order to determine relationship between degree of grafting and time of reaction. Five pieces of irradiated ETFE film were grafted at 9 h of reaction time. Figure 1 shows that the degree of percent grafting was increased by longer reaction time. The curve seemed to plateau after 7 h of reaction time. The phenomena might be due to the saturation after 7 h. From this kind of irradiated samples, the reaction time of 7 h was suggested, and chosen as the optimum time for irradiation graft copolymerization.




Fig. 4. Effect of reaction time on the degree of grafting styrene onto ETFE film irradiated with the dose of 15 kGy.

Sulfonation of grafted ETFE-g-St film

Sulfonation of ETFE-g-St is a nucleophilic substitution process which is a fundamental class of substitution reaction, in which an electron rich nucleophile, attacks the positively charge atoms or group. The positive charge is the H⁺ and the nucleophilic is sulfonate group (-SO₃H⁻). Table 1 shows that grafting reaction was successfully, then the next reaction (sulfonation) to be done with a degree of the sulfonation has an average of 91.7%. It was seen that % sulfonation did not depend on % DG, and the high value of % sulfonation was due to the involvement of a strong ionic properties between the H⁺ and the nucleophilic group [7].

Table 1. Degree of grafting and degree of sulfonation and IEC of ETFE-g-SO₃H

Sample No.	Degree of grafting, %	Degree of Sulfonation, %	IEC, mmol/g
SA001	52.2	76.9	2.00
SA002	48.6	98.2	2.33
SA003	69.3	86.7	2.36
SA004	67.3	89.7	1.75
SA005	30.0	96.4	1.58
SA006	58.2	96.1	2.19
SA007	51.7	97.9	2.13

Determination of Ion exchange capacity

The total capacity of ion exchange of a membrane is defined as the total number of chemical equivalents available for exchange per some unit of weight. Concerning IEC of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H, it can be seen that IEC was increased by the increasing degree of grafting. This is due to the

active group of ionic exchange that exist on the graft chain. Thus, the IEC property will arise when the bulk polymer had certain value of %DG. On the other hand, the IEC is the function of existence of sulfonate group, it means that the IEC would increase with the higher of % sulfonation. Figure 6 shown




Fig. 5. Effect of degree of grafting styrene onto IEC of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H.




Fig. 6. Effect of degree of sulfonation versus IEC of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H.

that group of points % degree of sulfonation Vs. IEC which is located in the upper right, that is meant where IEC is a factor of the presence of % degree of sulfonation.

The proton or ion conductivity from the samples were measured by using Nafion 112® as a standard. Here S, contacting area (cm²) is calculated from the measured IEC (1/S) of Nafion 112® whose ion conductivity is known at σ₁ 0.066 (1/S), using the equation [7]. The results of sample measurement was shown in the following Table.

Some of the values of cross direction conductivity (σ₁) in Table 2 were undervalued as compared to that of Nafion 112®. This is possibly due to a different type of structure where the ETFE-g-St-SO₃H membrane contained the aromatic group, whereas Nafion 112® is composed of the aliphatic. The aromatic organic compound were contained many π electron which are delocalized around of the ring bond. This condition makes it unstable toward

some of chemical effect compared to that of aliphatic organic compound.

Table 2. In-plane direction (σ₁₁) and cross direction (σ₁) conductivities of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H.

Sample No	A, ohm	B, ohm	L, cm	d (thickness), cm	S, cm ²	σ ₁₁ [S/cm]	σ ₁ [S/cm]
Nafion 112®	388.5	9.1	2.05	0.0060	0.10	0.36	0.066
SA001	211.0	9.0	2.41	0.0040	0.10	0.16	0.040
SA002	176.7	5.6	2.63	0.0033	0.10	0.22	0.060
SA003	143.3	5.7	2.48	0.0038	0.10	0.24	0.070
SA004	158.2	6.0	1.97	0.0050	0.10	0.21	0.080
SA005	258.7	8.1	2.32	0.0039	0.12	0.10	0.020
SA006	173.1	6.7	2.29	0.0049	0.12	0.17	0.060
SA007	171.4	6.5	2.10	0.0049	0.12	0.19	0.060




Fig. 7. The general structure of Nafion ® (Du Pont) [6].

Durability of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H membrane

According to the durability test in hot water (Fig. 8.), referring to sample SA003 with higher %DG of 69.9%, the sample weight was sharply decreased until 120 h, as compared to SA005 and SA007 with 30% and 51.7% of %DG respectively. After 174 h of immersion, the SA003 sample reached a constant weight, and it was afloat on the water. On the other hand, the sample with lower %DG (SA005) showed the monotonous drop until 286 hour of immersion and then reached a constant weight. It was also noted that sample SA 007 showed the lowest decrease in weight, and it achieved a constant weight after 334 h immersion.




Fig. 8. Lost weight of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H membrane after immersing in H₂O at 80°C.

From the curve of Fig. 8, all of membrane samples were susceptible to the oxidation degradation in 3% H₂O₂ solution. The samples were totally degraded after immersion for about 36 h. The sample with low %DG such as SA005 (30.0%) degraded faster than that of the higher %DG. The constant weight of the samples at the end of the 36 h indicated that the degradation was that complete. In the final step, the floating of the samples on the H₂O₂ solution occurred, due to the disappearance of the hydrophilic properties.





Fig. 9. Lost weight of ETFE-g-St-SO₃H membrane after immersing in 3% H₂O₂ at 60 °C.

The possible mechanism of sample degradation process by peroxide was suggested in the following reaction:



CONCLUSION

From the experiment, it can be concluded that radiation graft copolymerization of styrene onto ETFE film was done successfully with the following membrane properties:

The sulfonation process by using 0.2 M sulfonic acid in dichloroethane was done with a degree of ETFE-g-St sample at 91.7%.

The IEC of membrane increased with increasing of %DG, and did not seem to correlate with the %S.

The cross direction conductivities of membranes (σ_{\perp}), was similar to the value of that of nafion-112® ie. 0.066 S/cm, and the results of in-plane direction conductivities (σ_{\parallel}) showed a substantially lower value than that of nafion-112®.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wish to thank Yasunari MAEKAWA Ph. D., Head of Conducting Polymer Materials Group, Environment and Industrial Materials Research Division, Quantum Beam Science Directorate, JAPAN ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY where this research has been carried out.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous, Fuel Cell 2000 Basics Benefits and Fuel Cell Today. Retrieved in July (2009).
2. J. Chen, M. Asano, T. Yamaki and M. Yoshida, *J. Power Sources* **158** (2006) 69.
3. J. Chen, U. Septiani, M. Asano and Y. Maekawa, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **103** (2007) 1966.
4. Y. Chao-Yeuh and C. Abraham, *React. Polym., Ion Exch., Sorbents* **5** (1987) 13.
5. S. Li-Chen, L. Krishnan, S. Srinivasan, J. Benziger and A.B. Bocarsly, *J. Membr. Sci.* **243** (2004) 327.
6. S. Upita, J. Chen, M. Asano, T. Yamaki, Y. Maekawa and M. Yoshida, *J. Mater. Sci.* **42** (2007) 1330.
7. Anonymous, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nucleophilic_substitution). Retrieved in october (2010).
8. S. Hasegawa, Y. Suzuki and Y. Maekawa, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **77** (2008) 617.