Some Rice Varieties Tolerant to Nitrogen Deprivation

^{1*}Muhammad Hatta, ²Rosmayati, ²Chairani Hanum, and ²Hamidah Hanum

*Corresponding Author: hatta2ksg@gmail.com

Abstract

A research on rice varieties tolerant to nitrogen deficiency has been conducted. The experiment was arranged in a split plot design with 3 replicates. There were 15 varieties of rice studied and 2 levels of nitrogen applied, i.e. 0 kg Nha⁻¹ and 90 kg Nha⁻¹. The results showed that rice varieties resistant to nitrogen deficiency were Inpari 16, Marga Sari, Lambur, Inpari 19, Limboto, and Batang Hari. However, rice varieties with high-tolerant to nitrogen deficiency were Marga Sari, Inpari 16, Lambur, Batang Hari, and Situ Patenggang.

Keywords: rice, variety, tolerance, nitrogen, deficiency, agronomic character

Introduction

World and Indonesia rice production have flourished. This has been thanks to high yield varieties produced since the Green Revolution. One of the characteristics of these high yield varieties is highly responsive to fertilization. However, we need to realize that this has double-sided nature; one side gives a higher rice yield, but on the other hand brings serious implications for the sustainability of rice farming in the future. One implication is that exceedingly high doses of chemical fertilizers have been applied in rice land farmers. This is mainly due to the fact that rice varieties developed today generally are "greedy" for fertilizers, especially nitrogen fertilizers.

The adverse effects of excessive use of chemical fertilizers in the long run will upset agricultural land, environment, and economy. Chemical nitrogen fertilizer continuously applied to soil will cause damage as a result of the loss of organic matter, soil compaction, increased salinity, and inorganic nitrate leaching (Cummings and Orr, 2010). In addition, chemical fertilizer nitrogen when fed in excess can lead to eutrophication of the river and coastal ecosystems as well as increased emissions of greenhouse gases such as nitrous oxide (N2O) (Vinod and Heuer, 2012). Excessive use of chemical fertilizers is also critical for the economy. In 2012, Indonesia is expected to spend 35 trillion rupiah for subsidized fertilizer, which is 18.5 trillion dollars paid by farmers and government subsidies of 16.9 trillion rupiah (Deptan, 2012).

Problems arising from "greedy" N fertilizer varieties are to drive future rice farming systems be based on varieties that are resistant and tolerant to nitrogen deficiency and more efficient in the use of nitrogen fertilizer. Resistant varieties are varieties that provide high yields under conditions of nitrogen deficiency, whereas tolerant varieties according to Fritsche-Neto and Do Vale (2012) are varieties that have a narrow productivity gap between the stress conditions to normal conditions. Moreover, efficient varieties are varieties that provide high rice yield per unit of nitrogen supplied (Witcombe et al., 2008).

Development of varieties that are resistant and tolerant to nitrogen deficiency requires a series of very complex plant breeding research and development activities. Progress towards these efforts is still very little. Selection of local and national rice germplasm is essential to obtain resistant and tolerant rice varieties to nitrogen deficiency. According to Bänzinger et al. (2000), the selection of appropriate germplasm is critical and requires careful consideration of all available information.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted in Village of Cot Cut, Sub District of Kuta Baro, Aceh Besar District from November 2014 until April 2015. Materials used were urea (46% N), SP36 (36% P2O5), and KCl (60% K2O). Rice seeds used were 15 varieties of local and national rice seed.

¹Graduate Student, Doctoral Study Program of Agriculture Science, University of Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

²Lectures, Study Program of Agroekoteknologi, Agriculture Faculty, University of Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

Proceedings of The 5th Annual International Conference Syiah Kuala University (AIC Unsyiah) 2015 In conjunction with The 8th International Conference of Chemical Engineering on Science and Applications (ChESA) 2015 September 9-11, 2015, Banda Aceh, Indonesia

The experiment was arranged in a split plot design with 3 replications. Factors studied were varieties (V) and nitrogen (N). Variety was placed as the subplot and nitrogen as the main plot. Nitrogen consisted of two levels: N0 = without nitrogen and N1 = 90 kg Nha^{-1} (195.65 kg Urea ha^{-1}). Variety consisted of 15 varieties.

Data were analyzed using anova followed by Duncan multiple range test at 5 percent and correlation analysis. The analysis was conducted by using statistical program of CoStat 6311, Minitab 14, and SPSS 19 (Munir, 2012; Minitab, 2004; SPSS, 2010; Santoso, 2012; Steel and Torrie, 1980; CoStat, 1998). Correlation between the characters was conducted by Pearson correlation analysis at $\alpha = 0.05$ (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981).

Tillage was done using hand tractors, twice as deep as 20 cm, using a rotary plow. Then, the soil was left for 7 days (Jumin, 2010) so that the grass and organic matter were mixed with soil to decompose completely.

Seeds of 15 varieties were soaked in water for 24 hours. Then, the seeds were put in a plastic bag to be aerated for 48 hours. The germinated seeds, then, were moved to a nursery by evenly spreading them on seedling media. A nursery medium was a mixture of soil and compost (1:1) in perforated plastic trays.

Seedlings were transplanted in a square pattern with a spacing of $20 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}$. Each variety was planted in three rows along 2 m. Space between the varieties was 40 cm. The seedling used was at age of 21 days after sowing. The number of seedling was one per hole. At the time of planting, water was in a state of shallow depth.

Nitrogen fertilizer dosages were given in accordance with the treatment, i.e. 0 and 90 kg N ha^{-1} , equivalent to 0 and 195.65 kg Urea (Deptan, 2007). Phosphate fertilizer was given in the form of SP36 and K fertilizers in the form of KCl. Dosage of phosphate and K fertilizer given were 50 P_2O_5 kg ha^{-1} and 50 K_2O kg ha^{-1} , respectively (Hach and Nam, 2006), equivalent to 139 kg ha^{-1} of SP36 and 83 kg ha^{-1} of KCl.

The first application of N (25% dosage), P, and K fertilizing were carried out at age of 7 days after transplanting (DAT). The second N fertilizing (37.5% dosage) was done at age 25, and the third N fertilizing (37.5% dosage) was at the age of 40 DAT (Deptan, 2006). Fertilizer was given by way of spread. At the time of application of fertilizers, the water in the rice fields dried up to shallow depth.

Observations were made on 8 clumps of sample plants taken in the middle of the plot. Rows of plants at the edge of the plot were not included in the sampling. Variables observed were as follows. Age flowering was calculated as 50 percent of flowering plants (Yoshida, 1981). Plant height was carried out on the base of the stem to the tip of the highest panicle (Gomez, 1972). The number of productive tillers per hill was done when the plant has flowered, by calculating tillers producing panicles (Gomez, 1972). Dried weight was measured at the end of the study. The weighing is done after being dried in the sun for 3 days and put in oven at 60° C for 72 hours (Dartius et al., 1991). Panicle length was measured from the neck to the tip of the panicle (IRRI, 2002). Empty grain percentage and weight of 100 grains (W100G) was calculated according to Gomez (1972) and Yoshida et al. (1976). Potential yield per hectare (PYH) was calculated using the formula, PYH = grain yield per clump contain x (10,000)/ (spacing). Stress tolerance index (STI) was calculated according to Fernandez (1992).

Results and Discussion

Varieties Resistant to Nitrogen Deficiency

Data of yield potential of several national-high-yielding rice varieties are showed in Table 1.

Table 1. Yield potential of several national-high-yielding rice varieties

Varieties	Yield potential (t/ha)		
	<u>0 kg N</u> ha ⁻¹	90 kg N ha ⁻¹	
Inpari 7	1.14 k	 2.12 ijk	
Inpari 10	4.37 bcdefg	4.73 abcdefg	
Inpari 16	4.99 abcdefg	5.53 abcd	
Inpari 19	4.64 abcdefg	3.26 ghij	
Limboto	4.50 abcdefg	4.95 abcdefg	
Towuti	3.72 efghi	5.46 abcde	
IPB 3S	3.80 defgh	5.83 abc	
IPB 4S	3.71 fghi	5.13 abcdef	
IR 42	1.98 jk	2.22 hijk	
Ciherang	3.65 fghi	4.92 abcdefg	
Situ Patenggang	4.32 bcdefg	5.87 abc	
Banyu Asin	4.13 cdefg	5.84 abc	
Batang Hari	4.48 abcdefg	6.20 a	
Marga Sari	4.89 abcdefg	5.83 abc	
Lambur	4.79 abcdefg	6.05 ab	

Note: the numbers followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to DMRT at a = 0.05.

The results showed that yield potential of the varieties under study was varied, both in conditions without nitrogen fertilizer and with nitrogen fertilizers. At the conditions without nitrogen fertilizer, Inpari 16 gave the highest yield. In addition to Inpari 16, there were also several other varieties which show high yields. Among others were Marga Sari, Lambur, Inpari 19, Limboto, and Batang Hari. Varieties that gave the lowest yield were Inpari 7 and IR42.

By definition, varieties that provided high yields under conditions without nitrogen fertilizer was expressed as resistant varieties to nitrogen deficient. Therefore, Inpari 16, Marga Sari, Lambur, Inpari 19, Limboto, and Batang Hari can be regarded as resistant varieties.

Then again, yield potential in paddy fields with nitrogen fertilizer was also varied with a slightly different performance. Batang Hari gave the highest potential yield, followed by Lambur, Situ, Banyu Asin, Marga Sari, IPB 3S, and Inpari 16. Likewise, Inpari 7 also provided the lowest yield.

According to DMRT test, yield potential of each variety was not much different between nitrogen conditions. Almost all varieties showed results that were not significantly different between the two conditions, without nitrogen fertilizer and with nitrogen fertilizer. Exception was on the variety of IPB 3S, which showed that more yield potential under nitrogen fertilizer than under no nitrogen fertilizer

Varieties Tolerant To Nitrogen Deficiency

Analysis of the above data was still limited to knowing the varieties that were resistant to nitrogen nutrient deficient, but could not reveal the varieties which were tolerant to nitrogen nutrient deficiency. Therefore, to find varieties that were tolerant to nitrogen nutrient deficiency, it was necessary to calculate tolerance indices of each variety. Tolerant indices of some rice varieties were presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Tolerant indices of national rice varieties

Varieties	tolerant Index
Inpari 7	0.103
Inpari 10	0.820
Inpari 16	1.177
Inpari 19	0.629
Limboto	0.942
Towuti	0.861
IPB 3S	0.927
IPB 4S	0.771
IR 42	0.179
Ciherang	0.733
Situ Patenggang	1.016
Banyu Asin	0.982
Batang Hari	1.127
Marga Sari	1.181
Lambur	1.164

Table 2 showed that tolerance indices of rice varieties above were very diverse, from 0.103 of Inpari 7 to 1.177 of Inpari 16. Basically, tolerance index gave an idea of the level of tolerance of a variety, in this case to nitrogen deficiency. The higher the index value of tolerance was, the higher the level of tolerance of a variety would be. From this understanding, then, Inpari 16 was much more tolerant to nitrogen deficiency than Inpari 7.

To make it easier, tolerant index could be categorized into five groups. The category was made based on all values of tolerant index obtained from this experiment. The category of tolerance index was presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Category of tolerance index

Table of Category of Colorance in	307	
≤ 0.35	Very low	
0.36 - 0.67	Low	
0.68 - 0.99	Medium	
1.00 - 1.31	High	
≥ 1.32	Very high	

Based on the above categories of tolerance index, the varieties categorized as high tolerance were Marga Sari, Inpari 16, Lambur, Batang Hari, and Situ Patenggang. Afterward, medium tolerant varieties were Inpari 10, Limboto, Towuti, 3S IPB, IPB 4S, Ciherang, and Banyu Asin. The rest belonged to the category of low and very low tolerances.

Conclusions

- 1. Varieties resistant to nitrogen deficiency were Inpari 16, Marga Sari, Lambur, Inpari 19, Limboto, and Batang Hari.
- 2. Varieties categorized as high tolerance to nitrogen deficiency were Marga Sari, Inpari 16, Lambur, Batang Hari, and Situ Patenggang.

References

Bänzinger, M., Edmeades, GO, Beck, D., and Bellon, M. Breeding for Drought and Nitrogen Stress Tolerance in Maize: From Theory to Practice. CIMMYT, Mexico.

CoStat. 1998. CoStat 6311. Cohort Software, Monterey, USA.

Cummings, SP and Orr, C. 2010. The role of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in sustainable and low-input crop production graminaceous. In Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria and Health. D.K. Maheshwari (ed.). Microbiology Monographs 18, DOI 10.1007 / 978-3-642-13612-2_13, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

Dartius, Djafar, Z.R., Aswad, M., Ardi, Sjofyan, Y., Hadiyono, Lakitan, B., Suryati, D., Hanolo, W., dan Sagiman, S. 1991. *Plant Growth Analysis.* Board of Western Universities Cooperation, Palembang.

Deptan. 2006. Fertilization for rice based on yield target. http://lampung.litbang.deptan.go.id/ind/images/stories/publikasi/padisawah.pdf. [13-10-2014].

Deptan. 2007. Reference of fertilizer recommendation for N, P, and K in specific wetland (per districts) as the attachment of Agriculture Ministry Regulation, number 40/Permentan/OT. 140/04/2007 about fertilizer recommendation for N, P, and K on rice at specific location. http://psp.deptan.go.id/assets/file/66d1189256a51f097c2863e1b0411107.pdf. [13-10-2014].

Deptan. 2012. Guidelines for implementation of provision of subsidized fertilizers to agricultural sector in 2012.

Proceedings of The 5th Annual International Conference Syiah Kuala University (AIC Unsyiah) 2015

In conjunction with The 8th International Conference of Chemical Engineering on Science and Applications (ChESA) 2015

September 9-11, 2015, Banda Aceh, Indonesia
Infrastructure Directorate General of Agriculture, Agriculture Ministry. http://www.deptan.go.id/

<u>pedum2012/ PSP/5.0.%20JUKLAK%20PUPUK%20BERSUBSIDI%202012.pdf</u>. [8-3-2013].
Fritsche-Neto, R. and DoVale, J.C. 2012. Breeding for stress-tolerance or resource-use efficiency? In Plant Breeding for Abiotic Stress Tolerance. R. Fritsche-Neto and A. Borem (eds.), DOI: 10.1007 / 978-3-642-30553-5_2,
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

Gomez, K.A. 1972. Techniques for Field Experiments with Rice. International Rice Research Institute.

Hach, C.V and Nam, N.T.H. 2006. Responses of some promising high-yielding rice varieties to nitrogen fertilizer. Omonrice 14: 78-91.

IRRI. 2002. Standard Evaluation System for Rice (SES). International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).

Jumin, H.B. 2010. Dasar-Dasar Agronomi. PT Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta.

Minitab. 2004. Minitab Realease 14 Statistical Software.

Munir, A.R. 2012. Application for Path Analysis Using SPSS Version 12. http://repository.unhas.ac.id/handle/123456789/870

Santoso, S. 2012. SPSS Application in Multivariable Statistic. PT. Elex Media Komputindo, Kompas Gramedia, Jakarta.

Sokal, R.R. and Rohlf, F.J. 1981. biometry. W.H. Freeman and comapany, New York.

2010. IBM SPSS Statistics SPSS version 19.

Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A biometrical Approach. Second Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

Vinod, K.K. and Heuer, S. 2012. Approaches towards nitrogen- and phosphorus-efficient rice. PLANTS: pls028; doi: 10.1093 / aobpla / pls028.

Witcombe, JR, Hollington, PA, Howarth, CJ, Reader, S. and Steele, KA. 2008. Breeding for abiotic stresses for sustainable Agriculture. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 363, 703-716. doi: 10.1098 / rstb.2007.2179

Yoshida, S., 1981. Fundamentals of Rice Crop Science. The International of Rice Research Institute. Manila, Philippines. 269 pp.