

## International Conference on Engineering and Technology Development



# 3<sup>rd</sup> ICETD 2014

28, 29 October 2014, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

Hosted By :

Faculty of Engineering and Faculty of Computer Science  
Bandar Lampung University, Indonesia



In cooperation  
with :



THE UNIVERSITY OF KITAKYUSHU



الجامعة الإسلامية العالمية  
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA  
بوترا برني

# 3<sup>rd</sup> ICETD 2014

THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT

28 -29 October 2014  
Bandar Lampung University (UBL)  
Lampung, Indonesia

## PROCEEDINGS

Organized by:



Faculty of Computer Science and Faculty of Engineering  
Bandar Lampung University (UBL)  
Jl. Zainal Abidin Pagar Alam No.26 Labuhan Ratu, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia  
Phone: +62 721 36 666 25, Fax: +62 721 701 467  
website : [www.ubl.ac.id](http://www.ubl.ac.id)

## **PREFACE**

The Activities of the International Conference is in line and very appropriate with the vision and mission of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) to promote training and education as well as research in these areas.

On behalf of the Second International Conference on Engineering and Technology Development ( 3<sup>rd</sup> ICETD 2014) organizing committee, we are very pleased with the very good response especially from the keynote speaker and from the participans. It is noteworthy to point out that about 80 technical papers were received for this conference.

The participants of the conference come from many well known universities, among others : University Kebangsaan Malaysia – Malaysia, IEEE – Indonesia, Institut Teknologi sepuluh November – Indonesia, Surya Institute – Indonesia, International Islamic University – Malaysia, STMIK Mitra Lampung – lampung, Bandung Institut of Technology – Bandung, Lecture of The Malahayati University, B2TP – BPPT Researcher – lampung, University of Kitakyushu – Japan, Gadjah Mada University – Indonesia, Universitas Malahayati – Lampung, Lampung University – lampung,

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the International Advisory Board members, sponsor and also to all keynote speakers and all participants. I am also gratefull to all organizing committee and all of the reviewers who contribute to the high standard of the conference. Also I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the Rector of Bandar Lampung University (UBL) who give us endless support to these activities, so that the conference can be administrated on time

Bandar Lampung, 22 October 2014

Mustofa Usman, Ph.D  
3<sup>rd</sup> ICETD Chairman

# PROCEEDINGS

## 3rd ICETD 2014

The Third International Conference  
On Engineering And Technology Development

28 -29 October2014

### INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD

Y. M Barusman, Indonesia

Ahmad F. Ismail, Malaysia

Mustofa Usman, Indonesia

Moses L. Singgih, Indonesia

Andreas Dress, Germany

Faiz A.M Elfaki, Malaysia

Warsono, Indonesia

Raihan Othman, Malaysia

Zeng Bing Zen, China

Tjin Swee Chuan, Singapore

Khomsahrial R, Indonesia

Rony Purba, Indonesia

Hon Wei Leong, Singapore

Imad Khamis, USA

Rozlan Alias, Malaysia

Rudi Irawan, Indonesia

Gusri Ibrahim, Indonesia

Jamal I Daoud, Malaysia

Riza Muhida, Indonesia

Heri Riyanto, Indonesia

Agus Wahyudi, Indonesia

# PROCEEDINGS

## 3<sup>rd</sup> ICETD 2014

The Third International Conference  
On Engineering And Technology Development

28 -29 October 2014

### STEERING COMMITTEE

#### Executive Advisors

Dr. M. Yusuf S. Barusman  
Andala R. P. Barusman, MA.Ec

#### Chairman

Mustofa Usman, Ph.D

#### Co-Chairman

Dr. Ir. Hery Riyanto, MT  
Ahmad Cucus, S.Kom., M.Kom

#### Secretary

Yuthsi Aprilinda S.Kom., M.Kom  
Marzuki, S.Kom., M.Kom  
Maria Shusanti Febrianti, S.Kom., M.Kom

#### Technical Committee

Robby Yuli Endra, S.Kom., M.Kom  
Sofiah Islamiah, ST. MT  
Fenty Ariani, S.Kom., M.Kom  
Taqwan Thamrin, ST., MSc  
Dina Ika Wahyuningsih, S.Kom  
Agus Sukoco, M.Kom  
Hj. Susilowati, ST. MT  
Haris Murwadi, ST, MT

#### Treasure

Samsul Bahri, SE  
Dian Agustina, SE

# PROCEEDINGS

## 3<sup>rd</sup> ICETD 2014

The Third International Conference  
On Engineering And Technology Development

28 -29 October 2014

### ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

#### Chair Person

Dr. Ir. Hery Riyanto, MT

#### Vice Chair Person

Ahmad Cucus, S.Kom., M.Kom

#### Treasure

Dian Agustina, S.E

#### Secretary

Robby Yuli Endra, S.Kom., M.Kom

Sofia Islamiah Izhar, S.T., M.T.

Taqwan Thamrin, ST., MSc

Erlangga, S.Kom., M.Kom

Iwan Purwanto S.Kom., MTI

#### Special Events

Agus Sukoco, M.Kom

Dra. Yulfriwini, M.T.

Ir. Juniardi, MT

Ir. Indra Surya, MT

Ir. Najamudin, MT

Kunarto, ST. MT

IB. Ilham Malik, ST. MT

Ir.A Ikhsan Karim, MT

Usman Rizal, ST., M.MSi

Ir. Sugito, MT

Berry Salatar, S.Pd

Ayu Kartika Puspa S.Kom., MTI.

Helta Anggia S.Pd., MA

Yanuaris Yanu Darmawan SS. M.Hum

#### Receptionist

Indyah Kumoro K.W., S.T., IAI.

Haris Murwadi, S.T., M.T.

### **Transportation and Acomodation**

Irawati, SE  
Desi Puspita Sari, S.E  
Ifa Ditta, S.E., S.T.P  
Riffandi Ritonga, S.H.

### **Publication and Documentation**

Ir. Indriati Agustina Gultom, M.M  
Noning Verawati, S.Sos  
Hesti, S.H  
Masitoh S.Sos

### **Cosumption**

Susilowati, S.T., M.T  
Yuthsi Aprilinda S.Kom., M.Kom  
Maria Shusanti Febrianti, S.Kom.,M.Kom  
Fenty Ariani, S.Kom., M.Kom  
Reni Nursyanti, S.Kom., M.Kom  
Sundari, S.Kom

### **Facility and Decoration**

Siti Rahma Wati, S.E.  
Dina Ika Wahyuningsih, S.Kom.  
Arnes Yuli Vandika, S.Kom, M.Kom.  
Zainal Abidin, S.E.  
Ahyar Saleh, S.E.  
Eko Suhardiyanto  
Wagino  
Sugimin

## Table Of Content

No	Title	Author	Page
1	The Influence Of Implementing Information Technology On Knowledge Management Toward Performance Evaluation Using Balanced Scorecard	Sarjito Surya	1-3
2	Implementation Of Customer Relationship Management (Crm) To Automate Logging Track Record Students And Alumni	Robby Yuli Endra <sup>#1</sup> Fenti Aryani <sup>*2</sup> Septiany Dian Puspita <sup>#3</sup> Ade Kurniawan <sup>*4</sup>	4-10
3	Prototype Model Classification System Level Internal Audit Findings Based On Case-Based Reasoning In Education Quality Management	Marzuki <sup>#1</sup> Maria Shusanti Febrianti <sup>*2</sup>	11-13
4	Implementation Case Based Reasoning In Determining The Rational Prescription Of Tb Drugs	Ahmad Cucus	14-19
5	Implementation Of Workflow Management System On E-Learning Platform For The Effectiveness Of Distance Learning	Yuthsi Aprilinda <sup>#1</sup> Agus Sukoco <sup>*2</sup> Ahmad Cucus <sup>#3</sup>	20-25
6	Thermal Bioclimate For Tourism: Case Study Of Kuta, Bali Province, Indonesia	Nyoman Sugiarta <sup>#1</sup> Andreas Matzarakis <sup>#2</sup>	26-32
7	Minimum System Design Of Android Based Pstn Phone	Deo Kiatama <sup>#1</sup> Fransiscus Ati Halim <sup>*2</sup> Arnold Aribowo <sup>#3</sup>	33-38
8	The Design Of Pressing Equipment For Banana Fruit	M.C. Tri Atmodjo	39-44
9	Modelling Supply Chain Management In B2b E-Commerce Systems	Idris Asmuni	45-51
10	Extreme Programming Study Method Case Study On Designing Of Accounting Term Dictionary	Usman Ependi <sup>#1</sup> Qoriani Widayati <sup>*2</sup>	52-55
11	Review On Economic Valuation Of Solid Waste Management In Bandar Lampung, Lampung	ling Lukman <sup>#1</sup> , Diah Ayu Wulandari Sulistyaningrum <sup>*2</sup> , Taqwan Thamrin <sup>#3</sup>	56-57



No	Title	Author	Page
12	Prototype Topology Sdn For Simple Network Campus	Arnesyulivandika	58-61
13	Tsunami Force On A Building With Sea Wall	Any Nurhasanah <sup>#1</sup> Nizam <sup>*2</sup> Radianta Triatmadja <sup>#3</sup>	62-64
14	Analysis The Quality Of Website Service Information System Academic Integrated ( Siater ) Bandar Lampung University Using Pieces Methods	Yusinta Ria Disanda	65-71
15	Organize Bad Manual Financial Database Of Educational Organization By Bank To Decrease Financial Criminalize	Ruri Koesliandana <sup>#1</sup> Eka Imama Novita Sari <sup>*2</sup> Arnes Yuli Vandika <sup>#3</sup>	72-74
16	Design Of Lampung Bay Waterfront Using Poetic Architecture Approach	Shofia Islamia Ishar, S.T.,M.T. Muhammad Syahroni, S.T.	75-83
17	Analysis Limiting Internet Sites With The Method Using Squid Proxy Server At Smkn 1 South Rawajitu	Reni Tri Astuti	83-88
18	Effect Of Grading On Differences Using Mixed Concrete Aggregate Rough And Fine Aggregate Concrete Compressive Strength Of Natural	Yulfriwini	89-97
19	Analysis Quality Dino Tour Travel Management Website Using Webqual 4.0	Rola Hengki	98-105
20	Holonic Manufacturing System: Current Development And Future Applications	Moses Laksono Singgih	106-113
21	An Analysis Perspective Implemented Text Mining Analytics Information Extraction For Impact Of Indonesian Social Media	Agus Suryana.Mti <sup>#1</sup> Sri Ipnuwati.M.Kom <sup>*2</sup>	114-123
22	Study Of Gold Mine Tailings Utilization As Fine Aggregate Material For Producing Shotcrete Based On Concept Of Green Technology	Lilies Widojoko <sup>1)</sup> Harianto Hardjasaputra <sup>2)</sup> Susilowati <sup>3)</sup>	124-133

No	Title	Author	Page
23	Decision Support System For Determined Recommendations Lecturer Teaching Handbook Using Fuzzy	Usman Rizal <sup>#1</sup> Fenti Aryani <sup>*2</sup>	134-140
24	The Expert System Software Application On Lecture Scheduling Based On Rule Based Reasoning	Taqwan Thamrin <sup>#1</sup> Ahmad Cucus <sup>*2</sup> Adi Wijaya <sup>#3</sup>	141-144
25	Portal Website Analysis Using Iso / Iec 9126-4 Metric Effectiveness (Case Study Indonesia Wi-Fi Portal Website)	Refky Jumrotuhuda	145-149
26	Student Satisfaction Analysis Of Siater Using End User Computing Satisfaction (Eucs)	Erlangga, Jefri Krisna Putra	150-155
27	Urban Tourism Development Through Low Impact Development (Lid) Towards Green-Tourism	*Iir. Wiwik Setyaningsih, Mt *2tri Yuni Iswati, St., Mt, *2sri Yuliani, St., M.App.Sc.	156-161
28	Hawkers Empowerment Strategy To Promote Sustainable Economy In Surakarta	Murtantjanirahayu Rufiaandisetyanaputri	162-172
29	New Urbanism: A Comparative Analysis Between Traditional Village And Housing Estate	Bhakti Alamsyah	173-179
30	Traditional Market Revitalization As An Urban Catalyst In The City Of Surakarta	Istijabatul Aliyah #1, Bambang Setioko #2, Wisnu Pradoto #3	180-188
31	The Robinson Mall Impact On Fv And Ds In Zapa Street, Bandar Lampung City	Ida Bagus Ilham Malik Ilyas Sadad	189-195
32	Decision Support System For Mall Nutrition Using Simple Additive Weighting (Saw) Method	Reni Nursyanti Mujiasih	196-200
33	Effect Of Cement Composition In Lampung On Concrete Strength	Heri Riyanto	201 - 204

No	Title	Author	Page
34	E-Archive digital storage media	Arnes yuli vandika, ade kurniawan, ari kurniawan	205 -207
35	Virtualization Technology for Optimizing Server Resource Usage	Edwar Ali, Didik Sudyana	208 - 212
36	Decision Support System (DSS) For The Determination Of Percentage Of Scholarship Quantity Based Fuzzy Tahani	Robby Yuli Endra #1, Agus Sukoco #2	213 -223
37	Evaluation of Pedestrian Way's Comfort Case Study: Jl. Z. A. Pagar Alam, Bandar Lampung	Haris Murwadi 1*, Fritz Akhmad Nuzir 2	224 - 228
38	Modification Effect Of Volume Cylinder Four Stroke Engine To Effective Power	Ir. Najamudin, MT	229-239
39	Impact Of Motor Vehicle Emissions On Air Quality In Urban And Sub Urban Area ( Case Study: Bandarlampung City)	Ir. A. Ikhsan Karim, MT., Ir. Sugito, MT	240-249

# Decision Support System for Malnutrition Using *Simple Additive Weighting* (SAW) Method

Reni Nursyanti, Mujiasih  
Faculty of Computer Science, Bandar Lampung University  
Jl. ZA.Pagar Alam No.26 Labuhan Ratu Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

**Abstract-**The background of this research concerns the background by the number of severely malnourished children are increasing each year. Currently the data processing system and the calculation of the nutritional status of children under five are still using manual systems. Reporting nutritional status of children still using paper media which resulted in the frequent occurrence of data redundancy toddlers and infants often data loss occurs. To the authors conducted in-depth research that focuses on how to do the reporting and determination of the nutritional status of infants is more effective and efficient utuk always monitoring early childhood development. So in scientific research, the writer make an application determinants of nutrition in infants to help health centers in Mount harbor reporting and monitoring.

This application method is used to support the assessment of nutritional status of children in health centers Mount Labuan is Simple Additive Weighting (SAW). SAW method is to find a weighted summation of rating the performance of each alternative on all attributes (Fishburn, 1967) (MacCrimmon, 1968). This method is the most famous and most widely used in dealing with situations of Multiple Attribute Decision Making (MADM). MADM itself is a method used to find the optimal alternative of a number of alternatives to certain criteria

**Keyword** : Saw, decision support systems, information systems and Java.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nutrition in children under five years of age (infants) are factors to consider in maintaining health, since infancy is a vulnerable period of development of nutrition. Deaths occurred in infants is a result of poor nutrition. Poor nutrition starts from the weight loss of a child until he looks very bad. Based around the Indonesian Health Department reports a decline in malnutrition which in 2005 recorded 76 178 cases

and then dropped to 50 106 cases in 2006 and 39 080 cases occurred in 2007. The decline in malnutrition over the years this has not been established because of the case unreported.

symptoms that mark children clinically malnourished can be characterized as follows: Marasmus (Children are very thin, like the old man's face, concave stomach, skin wrinkles and maudlin), Kwashiorkor (swelling throughout the body, especially the legs, rounded and swollen face, thin hair, redness, irritability, and apathy muscles shrink), and Marasmus-Kwashiorkor.

Preliminary examination of the symptoms of malnutrition, is quite difficult in the set, then built a system that can help people to be easily able to solve the problem. The method can be used is the SAW (Simple Additive Weighting). SAW method is to find a weighted summation of rating the performance of each alternative on all attributes (Fishburn, 1967) (MacCrimmon, 1968).

SAW method requires the decision matrix normalization process (X) to a scale that can be compared with all the ratings of the alternatives. This method is the most famous and most widely used in dealing with situations of Multiple Attribute Decision Making (MADM). MADM itself is a method used to find the optimal alternative of a number of alternatives to certain criteria.

From the above background, the researchers raised the heading "Decision Support System Diseases Malnutrition Using Simple Additive Weighting Method (SAW)".

## II. LITERATUR REVIEW

### *Multiple Attribute Decision Making* (MADM)

Multiple Attribute Decision Making (MADM) is a method used to find the optimal alternative of a number of alternatives to certain criteria. The essence of MADM is to determine the weights for each attribute value, then proceed with the process of ranking the alternatives that will select already given.

Many cases with MADM using SAW method to look for an alternative. A common problem is the difficulty of

choosing which method is most relevant to solve a problem by using MADM models. SAW method is also a method of MADM simplest and most widely used. This method is also the easiest method to be applied, because it has an algorithm that is not too complicated.

**System Addictive Weighting (SAW)**

Is a weighted sum method. The basic concept is to find a method of SAW weighted summation of rating the performance of each alternative on all criteria (Kusumadewi, 2006). SAW method requires the decision matrix normalization process (X) to a scale that can be compared with all the alternative rating ada. Metode SAW recognize the existence of two (2) attributes that criterion gains (benefits) and cost criteria (cost). The fundamental difference of the two criteria is in the selection criteria when making decisions.

**Research Method**

**Step by step Simple Additive Weighting Method (SAW) for malnutrition prediction**

- a. Alternative Determination.  
In this study, alternative toddler nutritional status assessed by AB1 to AB10, with the following description:

$$W = [ W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_j ]$$

- AB1= Toddlers 1
- AB2= Toddlers 2
- AB3= Toddlers 3
- AB4= Toddlers 4
- AB5= Toddlers 5
- AB6= Toddlers 6
- AB7= Toddlers 7
- AB8= Toddlers 8
- AB9= Toddlers 9
- AB10= Toddlers 10

- b. Indicators marked with the assessment criteria C1 through C5 with the following details

1. Weight (C1)
2. Tall (C2)
3. Age (C3)
4. Wrist Circumference (C4)
5. abdominal circumference (C5)

- c. Determining the Likert scale or a scale with the value of nutritional status:

Catogory	poin(Cut Of Point)
More nutrition	>120 % Median BB/U Standard WHO NCHS

Good Nutrition	80 % -120% Median BB/U Standard WHO-NCHS
Medium Nutrition	70 %-79,9% Median BB/U Standard WHO-NCHS
Less Nutrition	60 %-69,9% Median BB/U Standard WHO-NCHS
Mall Nutrition	< 60 % Median BB/U Standard WHO- NCHS

(Supariasa, 2001)

Weight of preference or level of importance of each indicator, given to each indicator value (2,2,2,2), where the weighting preference or interest rate is taken from the health center management wisdom Mount Labuan Waykanan on manual calculations. The following data will be known toddler nutritional status in Table as follows:

**Toddlers Table**

	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
AB1	20	100	40	30	60
AB2	30	80	50	30	70
AB3	25	70	40	20	40
AB4	18	80	35	25	55
AB5	25	70	40	15	40
AB6	20	70	40	30	60
AB7	30	65	50	30	70
AB8	25	60	40	20	40
AB9	18	70	35	25	55
AB10	25	70	40	15	40

Making the decision matrix of weighted scores of each alternative on each indicator:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i1} & x_{i2} & \dots & x_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$

R=

20	100	40	30	60
30	80	50	30	70
25	70	40	20	40
18	80	35	25	55
25	70	40	15	40
20	70	40	30	60
30	65	50	30	70
25	60	40	20	40

R=

0.666666667	1	0.8	1	0.857142857	
1	0.8	1	1	1	
0.833333333	0.7	0.8	0.666666667	0.571428571	
0.6	0.8	0.7	0.833333333	0.785714286	
0.833333333	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.571428571	
0.666666667	0.7	0.8	1	0.857142857	
1	0.65	1	1	1	
0.833333333	0.6	0.8	0.666666667	0.571428571	
0.6	0.7	0.7	0.833333333	0.785714286	
0.833333333	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.571428571	
	18	70	35	25	55
	25	70	40	15	40

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \dots & r_{1j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ r_{i1} & r_{i2} & \dots & r_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$

And The Result Is

The process of determining the nutritional status

	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	Value	Nutrition Status
AB1	0.6666 6	1	0.8	1	0.8571 4	86.5 %	Good Nutrition
AB2	1	0.8	1	1	1	96.0 %	Good Nutrition
AB3	0.8333	0.7	0.8	0.66666666 7	0.5714 2	71.4 %	Medium Nutrition
AB4	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.83333333 3	0.7857 1	74.4 %	Medium Nutrition
AB5	0.8333	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5714 2	68.1 %	Less Nutrition
AB6	0.6666 6	0.7	0.8	1	0.8571 4	80.5 %	Good Nutrition
AB7	1	0.6 5	1	1	1	93.0 %	Good Nutrition
AB8	0.8333 3	0.6	0.8	0.66666666 7	0.5714 2	69.4 %	Less Nutrition
AB9	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.83333333 3	0.7857 1	72.4 %	Medium Nutrition
AB10	0.8333	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5714 2	68.1 %	Less Nutrition

d. Conducting the process of normalization matrix ( Rij )

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{x_{ij}}{\text{Max}_i(x_{ij})} \\ \frac{\text{Min}_i x_{ij}}{x_{ij}} \end{cases}$$

r11	$\frac{20}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	0.666666667
r12	$\frac{30}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	1
r13	$\frac{25}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	0.833333333
r14	$\frac{18}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	0.6
r15	$\frac{25}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	0.833333333
r16	$\frac{20}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	0.666666667
r17	$\frac{30}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	1
r18	$\frac{25}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	0.833333333
r19	$\frac{18}{\text{MAX}(20,30,25,18,25,20,30,25,18,25)}$	0.6

e. Membentuk matrik ternormalisasi

$$V_i = \sum_{j=1}^n W_j r_{ij}$$

AB1 = { ((2\*0.666666667) + (2\*1) + (2\*0.8)+(2\*0.857142857))\*(10/100)} = 86%  
 Because the value of 86% AB1 is on the Likert scale 80% - 120% median W / A Standard WHO-NCHS, the nutritional status is Good Nutrition.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Diagnosis Diagnosis Process nutritional status of infants using the Simple Additive Weighting Method (SAW)

The data is the data sample is tested, the data taken twenty children, namely:

Data normalization is a data sample tested, the data taken twenty children, namely:

No Toddlers	Weight (Kg)	Tall (cm)	Age (moon)	wrist circumference (cm)	abdominal circumference (cm)
1	20/30	100/100	40/50	30/30	60/60
2	30/30	80/100	50/50	30/30	70/60
3	25/30	70/100	40/50	20/30	40/60
4	18/30	80/100	35/50	25/30	55/60
5	25/30	70/100	40/50	15/30	40/60
6	20/30	70/100	40/50	30/30	60/60
7	30/30	65/100	50/50	30/30	70/60
8	25/30	60/100	40/50	20/30	40/60
9	18/30	70/100	35/50	25/30	55/60
10	25/30	70/100	40/50	15/30	40/60
11	24/30	50/100	50/50	30/30	40/60
12	20/30	60/100	40/50	25/30	30/60
13	15/30	65/100	40/50	20/30	55/60
14	18/30	70/100	30/50	25/30	45/60
15	17/30	50/100	45/50	15/30	45/60
16	20/30	45/100	40/50	18/30	40/60
17	24/30	65/100	35/50	19/30	50/60
18	25/30	65/100	40/50	20/30	50/60
19	26/30	55/100	40/50	20/30	50/60
20	24/30	60/100	40/50	30/30	40/60

No Toddlers	Weight (Kg)	Tall (cm)	Age (moon)	wrist circumference (cm)	abdominal circumference (cm)
1	20	100	40	30	60
2	30	80	50	30	70
3	25	70	40	20	40
4	18	80	35	25	55
5	25	70	40	15	40
6	20	70	40	30	60
7	30	65	50	30	70
8	25	60	40	20	40
9	18	70	35	25	55
10	25	70	40	15	40
11	24	50	50	30	40
12	20	60	40	25	30
13	15	65	40	20	55
14	18	70	30	25	45
15	17	50	45	15	45
16	20	45	40	18	40
17	24	65	35	19	50
18	25	65	40	20	50
19	26	55	40	20	50
20	24	60	40	30	40
<b>Max</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>70</b>

No Toddlers	Weight (Kg)	Tall (cm)	Age (moon)	wrist circumference (cm)	point (Cut Of Point)	Nutrition Status
0.6666	1	0.8	1	0.8571	86.50%	Good Nutrition
1	0.8	1	1	1	96.00%	Good Nutrition
0.8333	0.7	0.8	0.6666	0.5714	71.40%	Medium Nutrition
0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8333	0.7857	74.40%	Medium Nutrition
0.8333	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5714	68.10%	Less Nutrition
0.6666	0.7	0.8	1	0.8571	80.50%	Good Nutrition
1	0.65	1	1	1	93.00%	Good Nutrition
0.8333	0.6	0.8	0.6666	0.5714	69.40%	Less Nutrition
0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8333	0.7857	72.40%	Medium Nutrition
0.8333	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5714	68.10%	Less Nutrition
0.8	0.5	1	1	0.5714	77.40%	Medium Nutrition
0.6666	0.6	0.8	0.8333	0.4285	66.60%	Less Nutrition
0.5	0.65	0.8	0.6666	0.7857	68.00%	Less Nutrition
0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8333	0.6428	67.50%	Less Nutrition
0.5666	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.6428	62.20%	Less Nutrition
0.6666	0.45	0.8	0.6	0.5714	61.80%	Less Nutrition
0.8	0.65	0.7	0.6333	0.7142	70.00%	Medium Nutrition
0.8333	0.65	0.8	0.6666	0.7142	73.30%	Medium Nutrition
0.8666	0.55	0.8	0.6666	0.7142	72.00%	Medium Nutrition
0.8	0.6	0.8	1	0.5714	75.40%	Medium Nutrition

Here's Nutritional Status Toddlers were tested, namely:

No Balita	Status Gizi
1	Good Nutrition
2	Good Nutrition
3	Medium Nutrition
4	Medium Nutrition
5	Less Nutrition
6	Good Nutrition
7	Good Nutrition
8	Less Nutrition
9	Medium Nutrition
10	Less Nutrition
11	Medium Nutrition
12	Less Nutrition
13	Less Nutrition
14	Less Nutrition
15	Less Nutrition
16	Less Nutrition
17	Less Nutrition
18	Medium Nutrition
19	Medium Nutrition
20	Medium Nutrition

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study, Decision Support Systems MallNutrition Disease Using Simple Additive Weighting Method (SAW) can be deduced that SAW method

can be used to determine the status of malnutrition in children under five.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Hartono, Jogiyanto.2005, *Analisis dan Desain*, Andi Offset ,Yogyakarta.
- [2] Kadir Abdul, Heriyanto, 2005, *Algoritma Pemograman Menggunakan C++*, Andi Offset, Yogyakarta.
- [3] Sutabri Tata, S.Kom, M.M, 2005, *Analisa Sistem Informasi*, Andi Offset, Yogyakarta.
- [4] O'Brien, 2003, *Introduction To Information System: Essential For E-Bussines Enterprise*.
- [5] Kusumadewi, Sri, 2006, *Fuzzy Multi-Attribute Decision Making (Fuzzy MADM)*, Graha Ilmu, Yogyakarta
- [6] <http://eprints.undip.ac.id/40488/> (Diakses pada 1 juli 2014)
- [7] <http://portalgaruda.org/?ref=browse&mod=viewarticle&article=112016> (Diakses pada 1 juli 2014)
- [8] <http://ptiik.ub.ac.id/doro/archives/detail/DR00030201406> (Diakses pada 1 juli 2014)
- [9] Turban, E, 1995, *Decision Support System and Intelligence System:Fourth Edition*,Prentice Hall
- [10] Sprague, Ralph H and Watson, Hugh J., 1993, *Decision Support System, Putting Theory into Practice*, Prentice Hall, Inc. 3rd –ed.
- [11] Moore, J. H. and M. G. Chang (1980). "Design of Decision Support Systems", *Data Base* 12(1-2).
- [12] Bonczek R.H, Holsapple C.W, dan whinston A.B, 1980, *The evolving Roles of Models in Decision Support System*, Decision Science.
- [13] Supariasa, I.D.N.2002.penilaian Status Gizi.Jakarta:*EGC*



# PROCEEDINGS

3<sup>rd</sup> ICETD 2014



Hosted By :  
Faculty of Engineering and Faculty of Computer Science  
Bandar Lampung University, Indonesia