Blends of nitrile butadiene rubber/poly (vinyl chloride): The use of maleated anhydride castor oil based plasticizer
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ABSTRACT

Recently, much attention has been focused on research to replace petroleum-based plasticizers, with biodegradable materials, such as biopolymer which offers competitive mechanical properties. In this study, castor oil was modified with maleic anhydride (MAH) to produce bioplasticizer named maleated anhydride castor oil (MACO), and used in nitrile butadiene rubber (NBR)/poly vinyl chloride (PVC) blend. The effect of MACO on its cure characteristics and mechanical properties of NBR/PVC blend has been determined. The reactions were carried out at different castor oil (CO)/xylene ratios, i.e. 1:0 and 1:1 by weight, and fixed CO/MAH ratio, 1:3 by mole. DOP, CO, and MACO were added into each NBR/PVC blend according to the formula. It was found that the viscosity and safe process level of NBR/PVC blend is similar from all plasticizer, however, MACO (1:0) showed the highest cure rate index (CRI). MACO-based plasticizer gave a higher value of the mechanical properties of the NBR/PVC blend as compared to DOP based plasticizer. MACO (1:1) based plasticizer showed a rather significance performance compared to another type of plasticizers both before and after aging. The value of hardness, elongation at break, tensile strength, and tear strength were 96 Shore A, 155.91 %, 19.15 MPa, and 74.47 MPa, respectively. From this result, NBR/PVC blends based on MACO plasticizer can potentially replace the DOP, and therefore, making the rubber blends eco-friendly.
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INTRODUCTION

Plasticizers are organic substances which are added to a polymer to increase its flexibility, processability or distensibility (Jia et al., 2015), to improve its softness, stretch, and flexibility in low temperature, and to decrease the intermolecular force concentration and glass transition temperature of the polymer. Common plasticizers are petroleum derived oils. High aromatic oils have traditionally been the most widely used extender oils for rubber and tyres due to their good compatibility with most of the common natural and synthetic elastomers and their low prices (Dasgupta et al., 2009). Unfortunately, they contain a large amount of polycyclic aromatic (PCA) substances, thus are identified as a carcinogen and toxic towards aquatic organism and its environment (Jiang et al., 2015). Another petroleum based oil plasticizer is phthalate which has been used since the 1930s. Phthalate is a common plasticizer used in NBR (Singh & Bhattacharya, 2011), which is the most widely used plasticizers in PVC (Li & Ko, 2012). The uses of phthalate are currently being limited, because these compounds present potential risks to human health and the environment (Erythropel et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2015; Li & Ko, 2012; Sander et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2011). Hence, there is a great incentive to develop green replacement plasticizers to reduce crude oil consumption while simultaneously maintaining plasticizing efficiency and eliminating or reducing toxicity to various organisms.

Vegetable oil is one potential source to substitute petroleum derived plasticizer. It originates from a non-toxic, biodegradable, and renewable resource. The application of vegetable oil in the polymer has been studied. Several vegetable oils which have been observed as rubber compound plasticizers are rubber seed oil, cashew, soybean, mustard, groundnut, neem, dolma, alsi, and castor oil (Dasgupta et al., 2007). Castor oil (CO) is produced from the castor bean (Ricinus communis...
It is a toxic vegetable, thus inconsumable. Esterification of CO and MAH will produce MACO. MACO has recently been used as a biomodifier on unsaturated polyester resin (UPE) and fly ash (Ghorui et al., 2011) to make an environment-friendly composite with jute fiber (Mistri et al., 2011) and a composite with epoxy resin reinforced with fly ash (Ray et al., 2012). However, there was no research on using MACO as plasticizer in NBR/PVC blends. Therefore in this study, MACO was applied as a plasticizer on NBR/PVC blends, as a single plasticizer or combine with DOP plasticizer. The effects on its cure characteristics and mechanical properties were also studied.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Materials used in this research i.e. materials for MACO synthesis, and for NBR/PVC blends. Castor oil (Thai castor oil), xylene were purchased from Bratachem, and maleic anhydride was purchased from Multi Citra Chemindo Nusa. The rubber compound comprised as NBR Krynac 4975F, carbon black N-330 (OCI, Korea), carbon black N-774 (OCI, Korea), aflux 42M (RheinChemie), polimerized 2,2,4-trimethyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline (Kemai), paraffin wax Antilux 65 A, 6PPD, MBTS (Shandong Sianxian), TMTD, sulfur SP-325 (Miwon) which were purchased from Multi Citra Chemindo Nusa, PVC powder with K-value of 65 from WMK, active ZnO (Indoxide) from Bratachem, and DOP from Indrasari. All reagents were technical grade.

**Methods**

**Synthesis of MACO**

CO adducts were prepared by CO with MAH in a fixed CO/MAH mole ratio of 1:3 by mole. Esterification reactions were carried out in a three-neck flask equipped with a dean stark apparatus, a cold water condenser, a magnetic stirrer, and a thermometer. A mixture of castor oil and xylene (at a ratio of 1:0 or 1:1 by weight) was added into the three-necked flask and heated to 140°C. Then, a portion of MAH was incrementally added to the mixture and the reaction took place for 5 h at 300 rpm. Afterward, xylene was removed by vacuum distillation.

**Preparation of the NBR/PVC blends**

The formulations of the blends are given in Table 1. Variations were made on the types of plasticizer, DOP, castor oil, MACO (1:0), MACO (1:1) as a single plasticizer and its combination with DOP. All ingredients were mixed in a laboratory scale two roll mill. The product was then soaked in a sink filled with water in order to avoid

**Table 1. Formulation of NBR/PVC blends.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBR</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC powder</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-330</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-774</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflux</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6PPD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flame retardant</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic calcium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraffin wax</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castor oil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACO (1:0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACO (1:1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBTS</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTD</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
premature crosslinking. Afterward, it allowed to mature for 24 h before subsequence processes and stored in a dry place at 25°C. The vulcanization of the test slabs was done in a hydraulic press at 15 MPa and at defined temperature and time.

**Cure characteristics**

Cure characteristics were studied by using Moving Die Rheometer (MDR) Gotech 3000A at a frequency of 100 cpm and an angle of 3 deg. Cure tests were performed at 170°C. This study was conducted to determine scorch time ($t_{sc}$), optimum cure time ($t_{90}$), maximum torque (MH), and minimum torque (ML). The cure rate index (CRI) of the mixtures was calculated according to Equation (1).

\[
CRI = \frac{100}{\frac{t_{90}}{t_{sc}} - 1}
\]  

(1)

**Mechanical properties**

Hardness, elongation at break, tensile strength, and tear strength tests were done according to ISO 37 either original or after aging. The hardness test was performed using Durometer Hardness Tester and the tensile strength test was carried out with Kao Tieh Tensile Tester. Tests were performed at an extension rate of 50 mm/min at 25°C and 50% relative humidity.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis of MACO**

CO is a versatile vegetable oil. About 90% of its fatty acid content is 12-hydroxy-9-cis-octadecenoic acid. The rich chemistry of CO is attributed to its structure which makes it a good material for a range of applications such as plasticizer (Jia et al., 2015). In this study, CO is reacted with MAH to produce MACO. This reaction occurs in two stages. The first stage is fast (without condensation), non-catalytic, and first order with respect to each reactant, while the second stage (self-esterification with condensation) is a slow equilibrium reaction that can be catalyzed with acid and is enhanced by water removal (Mazo et al., 2012). The reaction mechanism of MACO synthesis is shown in Fig. 1. MACO reacts with a mixture of CO and xylene (a CO: xylene ratio of 1:0 by weight), according to the first stage reaction shown in Path 1, whereas the reaction between MACO and a mixture of CO and xylene (a ratio of 1:1 by weight) occurs in two stages, depicted in the Path 2 of Fig. 1. Since esterification is an equilibrium reaction, high reaction yield can be achieved by shifting the chemical equilibrium towards ester formation. According to the Le Chatelier principle, the yield and selectivity of the targeted reaction product are increased by removing the by-products. H₂O is the by-product of MACO synthesis. Introducing xylene as a water trapper into the reaction system improves water removal. Xylene is water insoluble (solubility in water <0.1 g/l at 20°C), thus, water/xylene separation is feasible. Using the dean stark apparatus, H₂O can be removed easily during the reaction; hence, the equilibrium is shifted to the MACO formation. In these experiments, water formation is initially observed when the dean stark apparatus becomes cloudy.

**Cure Characteristics**

The performance of the plasticizer in the NBR/PVC blends was evaluated based on their cure and mechanical characteristics. The cure characteristic of NBR/PVC blends and the effect

Fig. 1. Synthesis of MACO reaction mechanism.
of the plasticizers on the minimum elastic torque (S’ML) and maximum elastic torque (S’MH) are shown in Table 2.

The maximum elasticity torque (MH) shows the maximum crosslinks which are formed during the vulcanization. The minimum torque (ML) indicates the compound’s viscosity level (Surya et al., 2013). The difference in MH and ML values (ΔM=MH-ML) is a slide modulus which indirectly represents crosslink density. Each plasticizer provides a rather similar ML value, i.e. between 11-14 kg.cm. Therefore, all plasticizers have a similar processability. The addition of MACO gives a similar viscosity to DOP and CO. Higher viscosity will harden the next process. When it is used in a single form, MACO plasticizer is able to give lower MH compared to CO and DOP. However, when it is combined with DOP at 1:1 ratio, it is able to increase the MH value by 21.5%. The ML values from all of the single plasticizers and their combinations are shown to be similar. Therefore, at the current condition, the crosslink density has the same trends as the MH value. The MACO/DOP combination creates the highest crosslink density blend compared to other plasticizers.

Scorch time (ts) is a point where the crosslinks start to form and it is connected to a safe process. All studied plasticizers give similar scorch time, which indicates that the use of DOP, CO, MACO and their combinations give similar safe processes, which are approximately in 19 seconds. The safe process is the time which a compound is able to stabilize at a high temperature and still in plastic properties. Scorch time marks a point where a plastic material starts to convert chemically into an elastic form. It represents the amount of heat history where a compound is able to undergo heating during forming and molding. The higher the ts, the safer the process (Manoj et al., 2011). The minimum elastic torque (S’ML) is commonly considered as a representative of uncured stock’s elastic modulus and provides valuable information about the processability of a compound (Nabil et al., 2013). S’ML is also a measure of stock viscosity (Surya et al., 2013).

The vulcanization optimum time (t90) as shown in Table 2, indicates the vulcanization reaction time to achieve the maximum torque (MH). The MACO (1:0) provides a similar t90 value compared to DOP. Instead, the MACO (1:1) results in a 53% increase compared to DOP, and 77% compared to MACO (1:0). The increase in the t90 value is due to the existing free acid groups in MACO (1:1) at the end of the process. The free acid groups are capable of decreasing the vulcanization reaction rate, therefore, the reaction time was longer. Free acid groups could be formed due to the incomplete consumption of MAH during the reaction. Unreacted MAH can react with water, which is formed during the second stage of the esterification reaction, to produce of maleic acid. This acid increases the acidity of MACO. The combined plasticizer gives a higher t90 value than a single plasticizer. The highest value of 733 s was obtained by the DOP/MACO (1:1) plasticizer.

The Cure Rate Index (CRI) as shown in Table 2, is a reaction rate measurement based on t90 and ts. The higher CRI value makes the reaction faster (Al Minnath et al., 2011). MACO (1:0) gives a similar CRI value compared to DOP. Both of them create a higher CRI value compared to other plasticizers. A single MACO plasticizer creates a higher CRI value compared to CO and DOP/MACO combinations. The highest CRI value of 0.649 s⁻¹ is created by MACO (1:0).

### Mechanical Properties

The mechanical properties of the vulcanized NBR/PVC are presented in Figs. 2-5. Fig. 2 shows that the hardness of vulcanized NBR/PVC is similar to the other plasticizer, both before and after aging. However, a slight increase in the hardness

---

Table 2. Cure characteristics of NBR/PVC blends.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasticizer</th>
<th>MH (kg.cm)</th>
<th>ML (kg.cm)</th>
<th>MH-ML (kg.cm)</th>
<th>ts₂ (s)</th>
<th>t90 (s)</th>
<th>CRI (s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOP</td>
<td>76.13</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>62.55</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>72.32</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>60.65</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACO (1:0)</td>
<td>64.91</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>52.51</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACO (1:1)</td>
<td>69.08</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>57.31</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOP/CO</td>
<td>77.43</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>65.59</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOP/MACO (1:0)</td>
<td>80.26</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>67.96</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOP/MACO (1:1)</td>
<td>82.54</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>68.95</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(±2%) of the vulcanized blend with MACO is able to improve its elasticity to 40.65% in comparison with DOP and up to 60.98% in comparison with CO. MACO could decrease the stiffness and brittleness of the blends associated with increasing the elasticity. It may be rather inferred that increase in the elasticity or lower elastic modulus/stiffness increases the adhesion strength or peel strength of the rubber blend composite (Sankaran et al., 2015). The aging properties also show a similar trend on the elasticity. The weakening matrix after thermal aging can decrease the elongation at break (Elhamouly, 2010).

Tensile strength determined for the samples of the vulcanized blends are presented in Fig. 4. It is found that the use of MACO (1:0) provides the higher tensile strength values, followed by MACO (1:1) and DOP/MACO (1:1) combination. Those three types of plasticizers affect the tensile strength in the most positive way. The use of MACO (1:0), MACO (1:1), and DOP/MACO (1:1) increase the maximum tensile strength until 17% in comparison to DOP, and 29.6% in comparison to CO. The higher values of tensile strength may have been caused by the crosslink density in the NBR/PVC matrix and carbon black migration into the polymer matrix (Formela & Haponiuk, 2014). This is in accordance with the curing characteristic of DOP/MACO (1:1), which has the highest ML and MH-ML value. As previously mentioned, ML may indicate processability and viscosity, thus, the higher ML the easier the migration of carbon black. Meanwhile, DOP, CO, DOP/CO, and DOP/MACO (1:0) plasticizers give a similar tensile strength value. Raju et al. reported that CO is capable in increasing tensile strength of natural rubber compound when it is compared with naphthenic oil (Raju et al., 2007). However, in this study CO and DOP provide similar tensile strength.

The influence of the type of plasticizers on tear strength as shown in Fig. 5 is similar to the tensile strength. The addition of oil in combination with the cross-linked rubber phase of the NBR/PVC blends allows the production of soft compositions with good processability and elastic recovery (Wei et al., 2014). MACO also contains long chain unsaturated fatty acid ester which re-
results in two types of end chain reaction with the carbon black surface and nonpolar chain terminal interacted with the rubber molecule. According to Guel et al. the interaction between the filler and elastomer is increased by the addition of vegetable oil (Guel et al., 2014), or in this case, the addition of castor oil.

The influence of the type of plasticizers on tear strength as shown in Fig. 5 is similar to the tensile strength. The oil and the cross-linked rubber within NBR/PVC blend play an important role in providing a good process ability matrix as well as elastic recovery (Wei et al., 2014). MACO also contains long chain unsaturated fatty acid ester which results in two types of end chain reaction with the carbon black surface and nonpolar chain terminal interacted with the rubber molecule. According to Guel et al., vegetable oil, or in this case is castor oil, will improve the interaction between filler and rubber matrix (Guel et al., 2014).

A slight increase in tensile and tear strength was found in the NBR/PVC blended with MACO (1:1) sample upon thermal aging. Generally, rubber products are only cured to 90% and the remains portion are kept to facilitate addition crosslinking that formed during service. When they are subjected a thermal aging, the formation of additional crosslinking will be accelerated. However, excessive thermal aging will generate negative effect since it leads to degrade the crosslink itself, resulting in decreasing the mechanical properties (Elhamouly, 2010).

CONCLUSIONS
NBR/PVC blends are attractive because they have good mechanical performances. The use of MACO based plasticizer affects positively both cure characteristics and mechanical properties. These are shown by the higher CRI, similar viscosity, safe process level, and higher mechanical properties (hardness, elongation at break, tensile strength, and tear strength value) in compared to DOP. It is expected that MACO can become a potential candidate to substitute DOP plasticizer in NBR/PVC blends.
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