

THE ILLOCUTIONARY ACT IN SUSILO BAMBANG YUDHOYONO

TWEET IN TWITTER

***Boediman HM Sitohang**

****Lidiman Sahat Martua Sinaga**

ABSTRACT

This research focused on illocutionary act used in *SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweet in twitter*. The research was conducted by using qualitative research method. The data were collected from the twitter account of SusiloBambangYudhoyono. The findings of the thesis shows that there are 191 occurrences of illocutionary act which classified into five types of illocutionary act. They were declarative (5), representative (112), expressive (36), directive (32), and commissive (6). The most dominant type of illocutionary act that used in SusiloBambangYudhoyono Tweet in Twitter was representative with (58,63%). Representatives became the most dominant types of illocutionary act because most of Susilo tweets were informs, reports, describes, and claims. The implication is Susilo needs to inform the people about his work so that they know what ever he did and as he said the purpose of making twitter account is to hear people aspiration so he informs his people and people will support him.

Keywords: *Illocutionary Act, Tweet, Twitter.*

*Graduate Status

**Lecturer Status

INTRODUCTION

Language cannot be separated from human in their daily life because it has an important role to connect one another in communication. By using language in the daily life, people as social creatures show their community interests. Language then has other functions such as to express themselves, make an interaction with one another and to exercise a social control depending on many situations.

This study focuses on analyzing the classification of illocutionary act in the Susilo's twitters. The writer will use the five classifications from Searle's theory to analyze them such as Declarative, Representative, Expressive, Directive, and Commissive.

Twitter is a media service where we can share information to many people around the world. Twitter consists of short messages of only 140 characters, the message is like the chirping of birds, called Tweets. The initial concept of twitter is a system that users can send messages to be propagated to all friends. By doing discussion and correction in the system, so this time twitter has grown into a social networking service that has features to publish a short post or a similar status to the SMS that can be accessed on the Internet.

The writer interest to analyze twitter because in this era twitter has been very phenomenal in the world and it has become a media to distribute opinions, criticism, expectations, and also various kinds of ideas to others and ourselves. The twitter account users to easily update the status on social media when they're happy, sad and angry. In this case writer analyze the SBY twitter because SBY twitter also very phenomenal and got a variety of reactions from the public, some of which are

appreciated but not a few who criticize and even blasphemous. The writer was analyze SBY status in twitter base on five types of illocutionary act.

Research Questions

These research questions of the the present study was ; what types of illocutionary act are used in SusiloBambangYudhoyono direct tweet on twitter, what is the dominant type of illocutionary act used in SusiloBambangYudhoyono direct tweet on twitter, what is the implication of the dominant type of illocutionary act to the SusiloBambangYudhoyono direct tweet on twitter

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Illocutionary Act

Illocutionary acts performed in saying something which has to do with the social function of an utterance. For example, if someone say that “ its too hot in this room” may be a request to turn on the fan in a certain room.

Yule (1996 : 48) states “we form an utterance with some kind of function in mind. This is the second dimension, or the illocutionary acts. The illocutionary act is performed via the communicative force of an utterance. We might utter a sentence to make a statement, an offer, an explanation, or for some other communicative purpose”.

Illocutionary act is the act in doing something with specific intent and function. This kind of speech act can be cited the act of doing something. The speech “ my hand is itchy” is not solely intended to inform the listener that the hand of the speaker is itchy, but

moreover that the speaker wants the listener do certain action related to the itchy hand (Rahardi, 2005 :35)

Types Of Illocutionary Act

Searlea(1969) divides the illocutionary acts into five types, such as : Declarative, Representative, Expressive, Directive, and Commissive. The writer will identify these five classifications by using Sear lea's theory.

a. Declarative

Declarative is the kind of speech act that change the world through their utterance. Statement of bless, Christian, bett, approve, confirm, curse, declare, name, resign, nominate belong to this category.

b. Representative

Representative is kind of speech act that state what the speaker believes to be the case or not, to the truth of the expressed proposition. Verbs denoting members of this class are fact, assertions, conclusions, descriptions, describes, informs, reports, insists, claims.

c. Expressive

Expressives is kind of speech act that state what the speaker feels. They can be caused by something the speaker does or the hearer does, but they are about the speakers experience. They express various psychological states such as likes, dislikes, joy, sorrow, praise, thank, regret, apologize.

d. Directive

Directive is kind of speech act which the speaker gets someone to do something. Commands, orders, requests, suggestions, invites, permits, asks, begs, recommends belong to this category. They express what the speaker wants and can be positive or negative.

e. Commissive

Commissive is kind of speech act that speakers use to commit themselves to some future action such as promises, threats, refusal, accepts, vows, offer. They express what the speaker intends and can be performed by the speaker alone or by the speaker as a member of a group.

RESEARCH METHOD

In this research, the writer used descriptive qualitative method because the final result of this study will be identified and analyzed by five classification of illocutionary act in SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweets, they are: declarative, representative, expressive, directive, and commissive. The writer used Searlea theory to analyzed five types of illocutionary acts. The writer analyzed 121 tweets and found 191 illocutionary acts. All the illocutionary acts divided into five types of illocutionary acts and also found the dominant type and the implication of the dominant type.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The data analysis shown that the illocutionary act used in SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweet in twitter.

There are 191 Illocutionary Acts in SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweet. There were five types of illocutionary act occurred in SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweet, they are declarative (2,61%), representative (58,63%), expressive (18,84%), directive (16,75%) and Commissive (3,14%).

The most dominant type of illocutionary act found in those tweets was Representative (58,63%), Representative became the most dominant types of illocutionary act, because most of Susilo tweets were describing, statement, and as we know describing and statement are part of representative.

The Implication is SusiloBambangYudhoyono dominantly tweet a statement such as describes, reports, inform and claims. He wanted to let people know all his activity by his twitter. Beside that he made statements which he assume is true and hope that his follower would be affected

Discussion

This study focuses on analyzing the classification of illocutionary act in the Susilo's twitters. The writer will use the five classifications from Searle's theory to analyze them such as Declarative, Representative, Expressive, Directive, and Commissive.

Declarative

example : Perangadlhjalanterakhirjktdkadapilihan lain.*SBY*

War is a last resort if no other options. *SBY*

(He declared that War is a last resort if no other option)

Representative

example : KegiatandiakhiridgnsalatJumatberjamaah di Masjid Baiturrahim, dipimpin Imam Masjidil Haram Makkah DR. SolehbinThalib. *SBY*

Event ended with Friday congregational prayers in the Baiturrahim mosque, led by the Imam of the Grand Mosqueof Mecca DR. Saleh bin Talib. *SBY*

(He informed that the activity ended with Baiturrahim Friday prayers at the Baiturrahim mosque, led by the Grand Mosque of Mecca Imam, DR. Salah Bin Talib.

Expressive

example :SayaucapkanterimakasihkpdJordania, karenatelah bantu evakuasi WNI, ketikakonflikbersenjata di Suriahmencapaipuncaknya. *SBY*

I would like to thank the state of Jordan, for helping evacuate citizens, when the armed conflict in Syria reaches climax. *SBY*

(He thanked Jordan for having helped evacuate Indonesian citizens, when the armed conflict in Syria reaches climax)

Directive

example :Kepala BNPB &GubernurJatimada di lokasi, utk pimpin langsung kegiatan tanggapdarurat, dgn prioritas penanganan pengungsi. *SBY*

Head of BNPB &the East Java Governor are on-site, to lead direct emergency response activities, with priority handling of refugees.*SBY*

(He wants a local government that is in the location of the earthquake to lead direct emergency response operations)

Commissive

example :Kalaudlmwaktu 1-2 hariiniPemda Riau ¶Menteritidakbisamengatas, kepemimpinan&pengendalianakansayaambilalih. *SBY*

If it's within 1 - 2 days regional government of Riau and the Minister can not cope, I will take over leadership and control. *SBY*

(He threatened that if within 1-2 days, Riau Government and the Minister can not cope, he will take over leadership and control)

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Conclusions

After analyzing the data to get the illocutionary act used in SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweets, it can be concluded that :first, there were 191 Illocutionary Acts in SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweet. There were five types of illocutionary actoccurred in SusiloBambangYudhoyono tweet, they were declarative (2,61%), representative (58,63%), expressive (18,84%), directive (16,75%) and Commissive (3,14%).

Second, the most dominant types of illocutionary actfound in the tweets were representative (58,63%). Representative became the most dominant types of illocutionary act, because most of Susilo tweets were describing, statement, and as we know describing and statement are part of representative.

And the third, the Implication of the studyisSusiloBambangYudhoyonodominantly tweet a statement such as describes, reports, inform and claims. He wanted to let people

know all his activity by his twitter. Beside that he makes statements which he assume is true and hope that his follower would be affected.

Suggestion

With reference to the conclusions, there were some suggestions needed to be considered. By considering the research finding and conclusions, there were some suggestions which were presented as follows ;students need to improve their knowledge about illocutionary act, so that they can avoid miss understanding in communication and can understand the purpose of social media such as facebook or twitter that usually using illocutionary act. Readers are suggested to improve their knowledge about illocutionary act and make the study become interesting. Readers are suggested to make more depth analysis of illocutionary act in twitter. Other researchers are suggested to conduct deeper study of illocutionary act in other objects.

REFERENCES

Austin, J.L. 1971 Performativeconstative, in J.R. Searle (ed.). *The Philosophy of Language*, Oxford: University.

Arikunto, S.2006. *ProsedurPenelitian. Suatu pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

Clark,H.H and Clark, E.V. 1977. *Psychology and Language : An Introduction to psycholinguistics*. New York: Harcourt Braced Jovanoic,.

Corrigan,Glazov.2002. Speech acts. generic differences and the curious case of Cymbeline.Ebsco :*ejournal of Pragmatics*. Vol.34 Issue 2, P379, 21p

Cruse, D Alan. 2000. Meaning and Language :*An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics*. New York : Oxford University.

Hurford, J.R. and Heasley, B. 1983. *Semantic : a coursebook*. London : Cambridge University.

Jannedy, S.; Poletto, R.; and Weldon, T. 1994. *Language Files Materials for an introduction to Language & Linguistics (6th ed.)*. Columbus, OH: Ohio State University.

Mahadin.R and Jaradat. A.2011. The Pragmatic Function Of Intonation In Irbid Dialect Acoustic Analysis Of SomeSpeech Acts. University of Jordan :*International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, Vol. 1 No. 9*

Megowan. 2011. A Partial Defense of Illocutionary Silencing. Ebsco :*ejournal of Pragmatics. Vol.26 Issue 1, p132-149, 18p*

Peccei, J.S. 1999. *Pragmatics*.London: Routledge

Rahardi, K. 2005. Pragmatik. *Kesantunan Imperatif Bahasa Indonesia*. Jakarta: Penerbit Erlangga

Searle, J.R. 1969. *Speech Acts.An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University.

Searle, J. 1979. *Expression and meaning: studies in the theory of speech acts*. Cambridge University. UK.

Searle, J.R. 1976. The Classification of Illocutionary Acts. Cambridge Society :*Journal of Language Society*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 1-23

Shalev, D. 2001. Illocutionary Clauses Accompanying Questions in Greek Drama and Platonic Dialogue. Ebsco :*ejournal of Pragmatics. Vol. 54 Issue 5, p531-561, 31p*

Yule, G. 1996. *Pragmatics*. London.Oxford University.

<http://teknologi.kompasiana.com/internet> (Accessed at 20.00, 10 January 2014)

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Social_media (Accessed at 19.30, 14 February 2014)

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Facebook> (Accessed at 19.30, 14 February 2014)

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Twitter> (Accessed at 19.30, 14 February 2014)

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Youtube> (Accessed at 19.30, 14 February 2014)

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speech_act) (Accessed at 15.00, March 2014)