# Determination of Iron (Fe) and Calcium (Ca) in NIST SRM 1566b (Oyster tissue) using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (F-AAS) by Standard Addition Method

### Fitri Dara, Y. Susanto Ridwan

Research Center for Chemistry-Indonesian Institute of Sciences Jl. Cisitu-Sangkuriang Bandung 40135 e-mail: fitrimusthapa@hotmail.com

### **Abstract**

NIST Standard Reference Material (SRM 1566b) was employed for the determination of Iron (Fe) and Calcium (Ca) as nutrients in food matrix using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (F-AAS). The certified value of SRM 1566b for Fe and Ca are  $205.8 \pm 6.8$  mg/kg and  $0.0838 \pm 0.0020$  (%) or  $838 \pm 20$  mg/kg, respectively. This certified values are based on results obtained by single primary method (Isotope Dilution Inductively Couple Plasma Mass Spectrometry) at NIST with confirmation by other methods at National Metrology Institute of P.R. China. This paper proposed a method for determination of Fe and Ca in food matrix as recommended by AOAC official with a little modification. The method was commenced from the destruction of all organic matter by dry oxidation before analysis by standard addition. Under optimum condition, the results of the determination of Fe and Ca in SRM 1566b were agreed well with the certificate value. This method would be useful for routine analysis in food testing laboratories.

Keywords: Standard Reference Material, SRM, Flame-AAS, Standard addition method...

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Besides toxic and harmful element, essential elements in food are given attention because the lack of them can result in serious malnutrition and potential diseases. As example, an iron deficiency leads to a reduced synthesis of haemoglobin and therefore, lower than normal haemoglobin in the red cells<sup>[1]</sup>. Other effects of iron deficiency include impaired intellectual development, decreased resistance to infection, and possibly increased susceptibility to lead and cadmium toxicity<sup>[2]</sup>. Calcium is considered as essential nutrient because of its importance in building and maintaining bones and its role in blood clotting and muscle contraction<sup>[3]</sup>. To ensure the reability, traceability, comparability of analytical results, all of testing laboratories for food and food products are required to demonstrate the validity of the measurements and the accuracy of measurement results.

Well-characterized reference materials are needed by laboratories in the food testing and

nutrition communities to provide the traceability in measurement, as a crucial evidence of accuracy and to facilitate compliance with nutritional labeling laws<sup>[4,5]</sup>. NIST Standard Reference Material (SRM 1566b - oyster tissue) is one of the Certified Reference Material that often used for validation of measurement procedure in analysis of elements in food. The certified values for Fe and Ca are based on results obtained by single primary method (Isotope Dilution Inductively Couple Plasma Mass Spectrometry) at NIST with confirmation by the same method at National Metrology Institute of P.R. China [6]. This method cannot applied in routine analysis because of high cost. Indirect measurements using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (F-AAS) or Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (GF-AAS) by calibration curves with three or five standard solution are commonly carried out for elemental analysis in the food laboratories.

ISSN: 1978 - 8193

In this work, we developed a single point standard addition method using flame atomic absorption spectrometry (F-AAS) for determination of Iron (Fe) and Calcium (Ca) in NIST SRM 1566b.

# 2. EXPERIMENTAL

### Instrumentation

A Zeeman Atomic Absorption Spectrometer Hitachi Z-5000 equipped with Zeeman background correction unit was used. F-AAS was carried out using air-acetylene flame, the most sensitive analytical wavelengths 248.3 nm and 422.7 nm were chosen for Fe and Ca. The instrumental setting of the spectrofotometer for determination of Fe and Ca are given in Table 1.

Tabel 1. Instrument parameters used in the determination of Fe and Ca by F-AAS

	Fe	Ca
Wavelength (nm)	248.3	422.7
SlitWidth (nm)	0.2	1.3
Lamp Current (mA)	15.0	10.0
Flame type	$Air-C_2H_2$	$Air-C_2H_2$
Fuel flow (L/min)	2.0	2.1
Oxidant (L/min)	15.0	17.0
Oxidant (kPa)	160	188
Burner height (mm)	7.5	5.0

# Reagents

The Standard Reference Material (SRM 1566b – Oyster Tissue) was obtained from National Institute of Standards and Technology (Gaithersburg, MD, USA), and maintained in a desicator in presence of silica gel. Certified Iron stock solution (RM 3126a) for instrument calibration was purcase from NIST, and Calcium stock solution was made from CaCO<sub>3</sub> E-Merck. Acids for digestion such as HCl were purcase from E-Merck and HNO<sub>3</sub> AAS Grade were purcase from Wako Pure Chemicals, Japan.

Lanthanum buffer solution were made from  $La_2O_3$  BDH. Ultra pure water (with resistivity 18  $M\Omega$  cm) for sample preparation and dillution of minerals stock solution were purified using Milli-Q plus 185 system.

# **Procedures**

# a. Sample Preparation

Sample preparation according to AOAC's recommended method. 0.6 g sample (Oyster Tissue) was weigh into a 40 mL porcelain crucible, heat crucible on hotplate for pre-ash by increasing temperature slowly to maximum. Final temperature on ceramic plate should then be about 300-350°C and then put crucible in muffle furnace at 525-550°C for at least 3-4 h. Carbon residue in ash was removed by addition of a few drops of 9.0M HNO<sub>3</sub> and evaporated on hot plate, then return crucible back in furnace for 1 h. White/grey or slightly colored ash indicated that samples is completely ashed. The ash was dissolved in hot 6.0M HCl (2 x 5 mL), swirl crucible with care to ensuring that all ash comes into contact with acid. Transfer into 50 mL tall beaker glass, cover with watch glass and evaporate acid on hot plate to near dryness. Take up in 0.1M HNO<sub>3</sub> and transfer quantitatively into polyethylene bottle and weigh. The resulting sample solution was ready for measurement using Flame-AAS by standard addition method. Lanthanum buffer solution was used when measuring Ca.

### b. Preparation of Iron (Fe) Standard Solution.

NIST Iron standard solution, SRM 3126a  $(9.97 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg/g})$  was used. More dilute standard solution were prepared by weighing and dilution with  $0.1M \text{ HNO}_3$ .

c. Preparation of Calcium (Ca) Standard Solution.

The calcium standard solution in 0.1M HNO<sub>3</sub> was prepared by weighing 0.3881g of pure CaCO<sub>3</sub> anhidrous (after drying for 1 hour at 110°C), dissolving in 0.1M HNO<sub>3</sub>, evaporated slowly to near dryness and taken

up in 103.3736g of 0.1M HNO<sub>3</sub>. The concentration of calcium is  $1495.99 \pm 8,71$  mg/kg. More dilute standard solutions were prepared by weighing and dilution with 0.1M HNO<sub>3</sub>.

# d. Preparation of Lanthanum Buffer Solution

The lanthanum buffer solution was prepared by weighing 2.9319g of La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, dissolving in 20 mL of 6M HCl and heated slowly over a hotplate. More 6M HCl (10 mL) were added to warm lanthanum solution and taken up in 250 mL volumetric flask of aquamillipore.

# 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Dry ashing is a suitable way for digesting oyster tissue samples prior to determination of Fe and Ca because they are not volatile metallic compounds. Although dry ashing consume more time than wet digestion, it consume less chemicals and the resulting ash can be dissolved in a small amount of diluent. This type of samples pretreatment also environmental friendly.

Six (6) independent determination were carried out for the Fe and Ca content in NIST SRM 1566b by single point standard addition method. Each sample and standard solution was measured 8 times. The concentration of analyte added must be more than 2 times its concentration in sample solution measured. As it can be seen in Table 2, a good reproducibility was achieved for both metals since RSD was less than 1.75% for iron determination and 3.01% for calcium determination. The accuracy of the method was expressed as the percent of recovery. The accuracy of the studied method was good, and recoveries determined for iron ranged from 97.39 to 102.46% and for calcium from 95.57 to 103.93%.

Table 2. Summary of results for determination of Fe and Ca in NIST SRM 1566b by F-AAS using standard addition method.

ISSN: 1978 - 8193

Sample	Element				
code	Fe <sup>(a)</sup>	Recovery,	Ca <sup>(a)</sup>	Recovery,%	
OT-01	204.9548	99.59	870.9459	103.93	
OT-02	208.2768	101.20	805.6043	96.13	
OT-04	206.2295	100.21	825.6726	98.53	
OT-05	200.4303	97.39	828.9118	98.92	
OT-06	210.8729	102.46	800.8829	95.57	
OT-C	208.2131	101.17	831.0645	99.17	
Mean	206.4962	100.34	827.1803	98.71	
RSD, %	1.74	-	3.01	-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Results are given in mg of Fe or Ca per kg of sample.

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

Determination of Iron (Fe) and Calcium (Ca) in NIST SRM 1566b using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (F-AAS) by standard addition method have done successfully. The value of Fe and Ca was found to be  $206.5 \pm 9.01$  mg/kg and  $827.18 \pm 34.49$  mg/kg respectively. This method would be useful for routine analysis in food testing laboratories eventhough the uncertainty more bigger than determination using IDMS.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Thanks to Dr. Julia Kantasubrata for supervision in uncertainty measurement, and Dra. Retno Yusiasih, MS for corrections in this paper.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Anzano JM., Gonzalez P., 2000, Microchemical Journal 64: 141-145
- 2. Casarett & Doull's., 2001, *Toxicology, The Basic Science of Poisons, 6*<sup>th</sup> edition, McGraw-Hill, USA.

- 3. Vidal MT., Pascual-Marti MC., Salvador A., Llabata A., 2002, *Microchemical Journal* 72: 221-228
- 4. Sharpless KE., Greenberg RR., Schantz MM., Welch MJ., Wise SA., and Ihnat M., 2004, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem* 378: 1161-1167
- 5. Kaarls R, 2010, RM 2010-Beijing International Symposium on Reference Materials, 3-4
- 6. National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2010, Certificate of Analysis, Standard Reference Material 1556b Oyster Tissue, Gaithersburg, USA.
- 7. Horwitz W.(Ed.), 2005, Official Methods of Analysis, 18<sup>th</sup> edition, Gaithersburg, USA.